ment of the policy of the design of the control of the second of the control of t CHAPTER 940

2200	the Maria Barana and Carlotter and Allera and		The contract of the contract o
		AND R	ODII Y SECURITY
	and the state of t		
	LIFE First-degree intentional homicide First-degree reckless homicide Felony murder	940 227	Forced viewing of sexual activity
940.01	First-degree intentional homicide	940 23	Reckless injury
940.02	First-degree reckless homicide	940.24	Injury by packagent handling of denousage yearon evaluation of
940.03	Felony murder	JTU.2T	fire.
940.04	Abortion	940.25	Injury by intoxicated use of a vehicle.
940.05	Second-degree intentional homicide.	940.285	Abuse of vulnerable adults.
940.06	Second-degree reckless homicide	940.283	
940.07	Homicide resulting from negligent control of vicious animal		Abuse of residents of facilities
940.08	Homicide by negligent handling of dangerous weapon, explosives or	940.291	Law enforcement officer; failure to render aid
	fire.	940.30	False imprisonment
940.09	Homicide by intoxicated user of vehicle or firearm.	940.305	Taking hostages
940.10	Homicide by negligent operation of vehicle.	940.31	Kidnapping
940.12	Assisting suicide.	940.34	Duty to aid victim or report crime
940.13	Abortion exception	940.41	Definitions
940.15	Abortion	940.42	Intimidation of witnesses; misdemeanor.
	BODILY SECURITY	940.43	Intimidation of witnesses; felony
940.19	Battery; aggravated battery	940.44	Intimidation of victims; misdemeanor
940.20	Battery: special circumstances.	940.45	Intimidation of victims; felony.
940.205	Battery or threat to department of revenue employe	940.46	Attempt prosecuted as completed act
940.21	Mayhem.	940.47	Court orders.
940.22	Sexual exploitation by therapist; duty to report	940.48	Violation of court orders.
940.225	Sexual assault	940.49	Pretrial release.

NOTE: 1987 Wis. Act 399 included changes in homicide and lesser included offenses. The sections affected had previously passed the senate as 1987 Senate Bill 191, which was prepared by the Judicial Council and contained explanatory notes. These notes have been inserted following the sections affected and are credited to SB 191 as "Bill 191-S". These notes do not appear in the 1987-88 edition of the Wisconsin Statutes.

LIFE.

- 940.01 First-degree intentional homicide. (1) Offense. Except as provided in sub. (2), whoever causes the death of another human being with intent to kill that person or another is guilty of a Class A felony.
- (2) MITIGATING CIRCUMSTANCES. The following are affirmative defenses to prosecution under this section which mitigate the offense to 2nd-degree intentional homicide under s. 940.05:
- (a) Adequate provocation. Death was caused under the influence of adequate provocation as defined in s. 939.44.
- (b) Unnecessary defensive force. Death was caused because the actor believed he or she or another was in imminent danger of death or great bodily harm and that the force used was necessary to defend the endangered person, if either belief was unreasonable.
- (c) Prevention of felony. Death was caused because the actor believed that the force used was necessary in the exercise of the privilege to prevent or terminate the commission of a felony, if that belief was unreasonable.
- (d) Coercion, necessity. Death was caused in the exercise of a privilege under s. 939.45 (1).
- (3) BURDEN OF PROOF. When the existence of an affirmative defense under sub. (2) has been placed in issue by the trial evidence, the state must prove beyond a reasonable doubt that the facts constituting the defense did not exist in order to sustain a finding of guilt under sub. (1).

History: 1987 a. 399

Judicial Council Note, 1988: First-degree intentional homicide is analogous to the prior offense of first-degree murder. Sub. (2) formerly contained a narrower definition of "intent to kill" than the general definition of criminal intent. That narrower definition has been eliminated in the interest of uniform-

ity Section 939.23 now defines the intent referred to.

The affirmative defenses specified in sub. (2) were formerly treated in s. 940.05. This caused confusion because they seemed to be elements of manslaughter rather than defenses to first-degree murder. Sub. (2) specifies only those affirmative defenses which mitigate an intentional homicide from first to 2nd degree. Other affirmative defenses are a defense to 2nd-degree intentional

homicide also, such as self-defense, i.e., when both beliefs specified in sub. (2) (b) are reasonable. Section 939.48

Sub. (3) codifies Wisconsin's traditional rule that the state bears the burden of disproving certain affirmative defenses beyond a reasonable doubt. Moes v. State, 91 Wis. 2d 756 (1979); State v. Lee, 108 Wis. 2d 1 (1982). The state need not plead the nonexistence of those defenses, however. Furthermore, the court need not honor a request that the jury be instructed to consider the lesser included offense of 2nd-degree intentional homicide unless the existence of an affirmative defense has been "placed in issue". This means that a reasonable view of the evidence could support a jury finding that the state has not borne its burden of disproving beyond a reasonable doubt the facts constituting the defense. State v. Felton, 110 Wis. 2d 465, 508 (1983). [Bill 191-S]

Importance of clarity in law of homicide: The Wisconsin revision. Dickey, Schultz & Fullin. 1989 WLR 1323 (1989).

- 940.02 First-degree reckless homicide. (1) Whoever recklessly causes the death of another human being under circumstances which show utter disregard for human life is guilty of a Class B felony.
- (2) Whoever causes the death of another human being under any of the following circumstances is guilty of a Class B felony:
- (a) By manufacture or delivery of a controlled substance classified in schedule I or II under ch. 161 in violation of s. 161.41 which another human being uses and dies as a result of that use. This paragraph applies:
- 1. Whether the human being dies as a result of using the controlled substance by itself or with any compound, mixture, diluent or other substance mixed or combined with the controlled substance.
- 2. Whether or not the controlled substance is mixed or combined with any compound, mixture, diluent or other substance after the violation of s. 161.41 occurs.
- 3. To any delivery described in this paragraph, regardless of whether the delivery is made directly to the human being who dies. If possession of the controlled substance classified in schedule I or II under ch. 161 is transferred more than once prior to the death as described in this paragraph, each person who delivers the controlled substance in violation of s. 161.41 is guilty under this paragraph.
- (b) By administering or assisting in administering a controlled substance classified in schedule I or II under ch. 161, without lawful authority to do so, to another human being and that human being dies as a result of the use of the substance. This paragraph applies whether the human being dies as a result of using the controlled substance by itself or

with any compound, mixture, diluent or other substance mixed or combined with the controlled substance

1987 a. 339, 399

Judicial Council Note, 1988: [As to sub. (1)] First-degree reckless homicide is analogous to the prior offense of 2nd-degree murder. The concept of "conduct evincing a depraved mind, regardless of human life" has been a difficult one for modern juries to comprehend. To avoid the mistaken connotation that a clinical mental disorder is involved, the offense has been recodified as aggra-vated reckless homicide. The revision clarifies that a subjective mental state, valed reckiess nomicide. The revision clarifies that a subjective mental state, i.e., criminal recklessness, is required for liability. See s. 939.24. The aggravating element, i.e., circumstances which show utter disregard for human life, is intended to codify judicial interpretations of "conduct evincing a deprayed mind, regardless of life". State v. Dolan, 44 Wis. 2d 68 (1969); State v. Weso, 60 Wis. 2d 404 (1973).

Under prior law, adequate provocation mitigated 2nd-degree murder to manslaughter. State v. Hoyt, 21 Wis. 2d 284 (1964). Under this revision, the analogs of those crimes, i.e., first-degree reckless and 2nd-degree intentional homicide, carry the same penalty; thus mitigation is impossible. Evidence of provocation will usually be admissible in prosecutions for crimes requiring criminal recklessness, however, as relevant to the reasonableness of the risk (and, in prosecutions under this section, whether the circumstances show utter disregard for human life). Since provocation is integrated into the calculus of recklessness, it is not an affirmative defense thereto and the burdens of production and persuasion stated in s. 940.01 (3) are inapplicable. [Bill 191-5] See note to 940.01, citing 1989 WLR 1323 (1989).

940.03 Felony murder. Whoever causes the death of another human being while committing or attempting to commit a crime specified in s. 940.225 (1) or (2) (a), 943.02, 943.10 (2) or 943 32 (2) may be imprisoned for not more than 20 years in excess of the maximum period of imprisonment provided by law for that crime or attempt.

History: 1987 a. 399

Judicial Council Note, 1988: The prior felony murder statute (s. 940.02 (2)) did not allow enhanced punishment for homicides caused in the commission of a Class B felony State v. Gordon, 111 Wis. 2d 133, 330 N.W. 2d 564 (1983). The revised statute eliminates the "natural and probable consequence" limitation and limits the offense to homicides caused in the commission of or attempt to commit armed robbery, armed burglary, arson, first degree sexual assault or 2nd degree sexual assault by use or threat of force or violence. The revised penalty clause allows imposition of up to 20 years' imprisonment more than that prescribed for the underlying felony. Prosecution and punishment for both offenses remain barred by double jeopardy. State v. Carlson, 5 Wis. 2d 595, 93 N.W. 2d 355 (1958). [Bill 191-S]

- 940.04 Abortion. (1) Any person, other than the mother, who intentionally destroys the life of an unborn child may be fined not more than \$5,000 or imprisoned not more than 3 years or both.
- (2) Any person, other than the mother, who does either of the following may be imprisoned not more than 15 years:
- (a) Intentionally destroys the life of an unborn quick child;
- (b) Causes the death of the mother by an act done with intent to destroy the life of an unborn child. It is unnecessary to prove that the fetus was alive when the act so causing the mother's death was committed.
- (3) Any pregnant woman who intentionally destroys the life of her unborn child or who consents to such destruction by another may be fined not more than \$200 or imprisoned not more than 6 months or both.
- (4) Any pregnant woman who intentionally destroys the life of her unborn quick child or who consents to such destruction by another may be imprisoned not more than 2
- (5) This section does not apply to a therapeutic abortion which:
 - (a) Is performed by a physician; and
- (b) Is necessary, or is advised by 2 other physicians as necessary, to save the life of the mother; and
- (c) Unless an emergency prevents, is performed in a licensed maternity hospital.
- (6) In this section "unborn child" means a human being from the time of conception until it is born alive.

Aborting child against father's wishes does not constitute intentional inflic tion of emotional distress. Przybyla v. Przybyla, 87 W (2d) 441, 275 NW (2d) 112 (Ct. App. 1978).

This section cited as similar to Texas statute which was held to violate the

due process clause of the 14th amendment, which protects against state action

CRIMES-LIFE AND BODILY SECURITY 940.08

the right to privacy, including a woman's qualified right to terminate her pregnancy. Roe'v. Wade, 410 US 113.

State may prohibit first trimester abortions by nonphysicians. Connecticut v. Menillo, 423 US 9.

Viability of unborn child discussed Colautti v. Franklin, 439 US 379 (1979)

Any law requiring parental consent for minor to obtain abortion must ensure that parent does not have absolute, and possibly arbitrary, veto Bellotti v. Baird, 443 US 622 (1979)

See note to art. I, sec. 1, citing Harris v. McRae, 448 US 297 (1980) Abortion issues discussed Health, 462 US 416 (1983). Akron v. Akron Center for Reproductive

Abortion issues discussed. Planned Parenthood Assn. v. Ashcraft, 462 US

Abortion issues discussed. Simopoulas v. Virginia, 462 US 506 (1983) See note to art I, sec. 1, citing Babbitz v. McCann, 310 F Supp. 293

Where U.S. supreme court decisions clearly made Wisconsin antiabortion statute unenforceable, issue in physician's action for injunctive relief against enforcement became mooted, and it no longer presented case or controversy over which court could have jurisdiction. Larkin v. McCann, 368 F Supp

State regulation of abortion: 1970 WLR 933

- 940.05 Second-degree intentional homicide. (1) Whoever causes the death of another human being with intent to kill that person or another is guilty of a Class B felony if:
- (a) In prosecutions under s. 940.01, the state fails to prove beyond a reasonable doubt that the mitigating circumstances specified in s. 940.01 (2) did not exist as required by s. 940.01
- (b) The state concedes that it is unable to prove beyond a reasonable doubt that the mitigating circumstances specified in s. 940.01 (2) did not exist. By charging under this section, the state so concedes.
- (2) In prosecutions under this section, it is sufficient to allege and prove that the defendant caused the death of another human being with intent to kill that person or another
- (3) The mitigating circumstances specified in s. 940.01 (2) are not defenses to prosecution for this offense.

History: 1987 a 399

Judicial Council Note, 1988: Second-degree intentional homicide is analogous to the prior offense of manslaughter. The penalty is increased and the elements clarified in order to encourage charging under this section in appro-

Adequate provocation, unnecessary defensive force, prevention of felony, coercion and necessity, which are affirmative defenses to first-degree intentional homicide but not this offense, mitigate that offense to this offense is charged, the state's inability to disprove their existence is conceded. Their existence need not, however, be pleaded or proved by the state in order to sustain a finding of guilty

When first-degree intentional homicide is charged, this lesser offense must be submitted upon request if the evidence, reasonably viewed, could support the jury's finding that the state has not borne its burden of persuasion under s 940.01 (3). State v. Felton, 110 Wis. 2d 465, 508 (1983). [Bill 191-S]

See note to 940 01, citing 1989 WLR 1323 (1989).

940.06 Second-degree reckless homicide. Whoever recklessly causes the death of another human being is guilty of a Class C felony

History: 1987 a. 399

Judicial Council Note, 1988: Second-degree reckless homicide is analogous to the prior offense of homicide by reckless conduct. The revised statute clearly requires proof of a subjective mental state, i.e., criminal recklessness See s 939 24 and the NOTE thereto. [Bill 191-S]

See note to 940 01, citing 1989 WLR 1323 (1989)

940.07 Homicide resulting from negligent control of vicious animal. Whoever knowing the vicious propensities of any animal intentionally allows it to go at large or keeps it without ordinary care, if such animal, while so at large or not confined, kills any human being who has taken all the precautions which the circumstances may permit to avoid such animal, is guilty of a Class C felony.

History: 1977 c. 173.

940.08 Homicide by negligent handling of dangerous weapon, explosives or fire. Whoever causes the death of another human being by the negligent operation or handling

4662

of a dangerous weapon, explosives or fire is guilty of a Class D felony.

History: 1977 c. 173; 1985 a. 293; 1987 a. 399.

Judicial Council Note, 1988: The definition of the offense is broadened to include highly negligent handling of fire, explosives and dangerous weapons in addition to firearm, airgun, knife or bow and arrow. See s. 939 22 (10). [Bill 191-S]

High degree of negligence is determined by objective "reasonable person" test; subjective intent is not an element of the offense. Victim's contributory negligence is no defense. Hart v. State, 75 W (2d) 371, 249 NW (2d) 810.

Motorist was properly convicted under this section for running red light at 50 m.p.h., even though speed limit was 55 m.p.h. State v. Cooper, 117 W (2d) 30, 344 NW (2d) 194 (Ct. App. 1983).

940.09 Homicide by intoxicated user of vehicle or firearm.

(1) Any person who does any of the following is guilty of a Class D felony:

- (a) Causes the death of another by the operation or handling of a vehicle, firearm or airgun and while under the influence of an intoxicant:
- (b) Causes the death of another by the operation or handling of a vehicle, firearm or airgun while the person has a blood alcohol concentration of 0.1% or more by weight of alcohol in that person's blood or 0.1 grams or more of alcohol in 210 liters of that person's breath.
- (bm) Causes the death of another by the operation of a commercial motor vehicle while the person has an alcohol concentration of 0.04 or more but less than 0.1.
- (1m) A person may be charged with and a prosecutor may proceed upon an information based upon a violation of sub. (1) (a) or (b) or both or of sub. (1) (a) or (bm) or both for acts arising out of the same incident or occurrence. If the person is charged with violating both sub. (1) (a) and (b) or both sub. (1) (a) and (bm) in the information, the crimes shall be joined under s. 971.12. If the person is found guilty of both sub. (1) (a) and (b) or of sub. (1) (a) and (bm) for acts arising out of the same incident or occurrence, there shall be a single conviction for purposes of sentencing and for purposes of counting convictions under s. 23.33 (13) (b) 2 and 3, under s. 30.80 (6) (a) 2 and 3, under ss. 343.30 (1q) and 343.305 or under s. 350.11 (3) (a) 2 and 3. Subsection (1) (a), (b) and (bm) each require proof of a fact for conviction which the other does not require.
- (2) The defendant has a defense if he or she proves by a preponderance of the evidence that the death would have occurred even if he or she had been exercising due care and he or she had not been under the influence of an intoxicant or did not have a blood alcohol concentration described under sub. (1) (b) or if the actor did not have an alcohol concentration of 0.04 or more but less than 0.1 under sub. (1) (bm).
- (3) An officer who makes an arrest for a violation of this section shall make a report as required under s. 23.33 (4t), 30.686, 346.635 or 350.106.

History: 1977 c 173; 1981 c. 20, 184, 314, 391; 1983 a 459; 1985 a 331; 1987 a 399; 1989 a 105, 275, 359

NOTE: For legislative intent see chapter 20, laws of 1981, section 2051 (13). See note to art I, sec 11, citing State v Jenkins, 80 W (2d) 426, 259 NW (2d) 109.

See note to art. I, sec. 11, citing State v. Bentley, 92 W (2d) 860, 286 NW (2d) 153 (Ct. App. 1979).

See note to art. I, sec. 8, citing State v. Rabe, 96 W (2d) 48, 291 NW (2d) 809

(1980).

Because driving while intoxicated is inherently dangerous, state need not prove causal connection between driver's intoxication and victim's death. Sub. (2) does not violate right against self-incrimination. State v. Caibaiosai, 122 W (2d) 587, 363 NW (2d) 574 (1985).

Where state impounded vehicle but released it to scrap dealer before defendant's expert could examine it, charge was properly dismissed for destruction of exculpatory evidence. State v. Hahn, 132 W (2d) 351, 392 NW (2d) 464 (Ct. App. 1986).

This statute doesn't violate due process. Caibaiosai v. Barrington, 643 F. Supp. 1007 (W. D. Wis. 1986).

940.10 Homicide by negligent operation of vehicle. Whoever causes the death of another human being by the negligent operation or handling of a vehicle is guilty of a Class E felony.

History: 1987 a. 399.

Judicial Council Note, 1988 Homicide by negligent operation of vehicle is analogous to prior s. 940.08. The mental element is criminal negligence as defined in s. 939.25. [Bill 191-S]

940.12 Assisting suicide. Whoever with intent that another take his or her own life assists such person to commit suicide is guilty of a Class D felony.

History: 1977 c. 173.

940.13 Abortion exception. No fine or imprisonment may be imposed or enforced against and no prosecution may be brought against a woman who obtains an abortion or otherwise violates any provision of any abortion statute with respect to her unborn child or fetus, and s 939.05, 939.30 or 939.31 does not apply to a woman who obtains an abortion or otherwise violates any provision of any abortion statute with respect to her unborn child or fetus.

History: 1985 a 56.

- **940.15** Abortion. (1) In this section, "viability" means that stage of fetal development when, in the medical judgment of the attending physician based on the particular facts of the case before him or her, there is a reasonable likelihood of sustained survival of the fetus outside the womb, with or without artificial support.
- (2) Whoever intentionally performs an abortion after the fetus or unborn child reaches viability, as determined by reasonable medical judgment of the woman's attending physician, is guilty of a Class E felony.
- (3) Subsection (2) does not apply if the abortion is necessary to preserve the life or health of the woman, as determined by reasonable medical judgment of the woman's attending physician
- (4) Any abortion performed under sub. (3) after viability of the fetus or unborn child, as determined by reasonable medical judgment of the woman's attending physician, shall be performed in a hospital on an inpatient basis.
- (5) Whoever intentionally performs an abortion and who is not a physician is guilty of a Class E felony.
- (6) Any physician who intentionally performs an abortion under sub. (3) shall use that method of abortion which, of those he or she knows to be available, is in his or her medical judgment most likely to preserve the life and health of the fetus or unborn child. Nothing in this subsection requires a physician performing an abortion to employ a method of abortion which, in his or her medical judgment based on the particular facts of the case before him or her, would increase the risk to the woman. Any physician violating this subsection is guilty of a Class E felony.
- (7) Subsections (2) to (6) and s. 939 05, 939.30 or 939.31 do not apply to a woman who obtains an abortion that is in violation of this section or otherwise violates this section with respect to her unborn child or fetus.

History: 1985 a. 56

BODILY SECURITY.

- 940.19 Battery; aggravated battery. (1) Whoever causes bodily harm to another by an act done with intent to cause bodily harm to that person or another without the consent of the person so harmed is guilty of a Class A misdemeanor.
- (1m) Whoever causes great bodily harm to another by an act done with intent to cause bodily harm to that person or another without the consent of the person so harmed is guilty of a Class E felony.
- (2) Whoever causes great bodily harm to another by an act done with intent to cause great bodily harm to that person or

CRIMES—LIFE AND BODILY SECURITY 940.22

another with or without the consent of the person so harmed is guilty of a Class C felony.

- (3) Whoever intentionally causes bodily harm to another by conduct which creates a high probability of great bodily harm is guilty of a Class E felony. A rebuttable presumption of conduct creating a substantial risk of great bodily harm arises:
- (a) If the person harmed is 62 years of age or older; or
- (b) If the person harmed has a physical disability, whether congenital or acquired by accident, injury or disease, which is discernible by an ordinary person viewing the physically disabled person.

History: 1977 c. 173; 1979 c. 111, 113; 1987 a. 399

See note to 939.22, citing La Barge v. State, 74 W (2d) 327, 246 NW (2d)

Under facts of aggravated battery case, trial court erred in finding "great bodily harm" as a matter of law. Flores v. State, 76 W (2d) 50, 250 NW (2d)

See note to Art. I, sec. 5, citing State v. Giwosky, 109 W (2d) 446, 326 NW (2d) 232 (1982)

As matter of law, under "elements only" test, both simple battery under (1) and intermediate battery under (1m) require proof of element (nonconsent) not required in aggravated battery under (2) and, hence, are not lesser included offenses. State v. Richards, 123 W (2d) 1, 365 NW (2d) 7 (1985)

"Physical disability" under (3) discussed State v. Crowley, 143 W (2d) 324, 422 NW (2d) 847 (1988)

- 940.20 Battery: special circumstances. (1) BAITERY BY PRISONERS. Any prisoner confined to a state prison or other state, county or municipal detention facility who intentionally causes bodily harm to an officer, employe, visitor or another inmate of such prison or institution, without his or her consent, is guilty of a Class D felony.
- (2) BATTERY TO LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICERS AND FIRE FIGHTERS. Whoever intentionally causes bodily harm to a law enforcement officer or fire fighter, as those terms are defined in s. 102.475 (8) (b) and (c), acting in an official capacity and the person knows or has reason to know that the victim is a law enforcement officer or fire fighter, by an act done without the consent of the person so injured, is guilty of a Class D
- (2m) BATTERY TO PROBATION AND PAROLE AGENTS. (a) In this subsection, "probation and parole agent" means any person authorized by the department of corrections to exercise control over a probationer or parolee.
- (b) Whoever intentionally causes bodily harm to a probation and parole agent, acting in an official capacity and the person knows or has reason to know that the victim is a probation and parole agent, by an act done without the consent of the person so injured, is guilty of a Class D felony.
- (3) BATTERY TO WITNESSES AND JURORS. Whoever intentionally causes bodily harm to a person who he or she knows or has reason to know is or was a witness as defined in s. 940.41 (3) or a grand or petit juror, and by reason of the person having attended or testified as a witness or by reason of any verdict or indictment assented to by the person, without the consent of the person injured, is guilty of a Class D felony.
- (4) BATTERY TO PUBLIC OFFICERS. Whoever intentionally causes bodily harm to a public officer in order to influence the action of such officer or as a result of any action taken within an official capacity, without the consent of the person injured, is guilty of a Class E felonv.

History: 1977 c. 173; 1979 c. 30, 113, 221; 1981 c. 118 s. 9; 1983 a. 189 s. 329 (4); 1989 a. 336

Resisting or obstructing an officer (946.41) is not a lesser-included crime of battery to a peace officer. State v. Zdiarstek, 53 W (2d) 776, 193 NW (2d) 833 Battery to prospective witness is prohibited by 940.206, 1975 stats. [now 940.20 (3)]. McLeod v. State, 85 W (2d) 787, 271 NW (2d) 157 (Ct. App. 1978).

County deputy sheriff was not acting in official capacity under 940 205, 1975 stats [now 940.20 (2)] when making arrest outside county of employment. State v. Barrett, 96 W (2d) 174, 291 NW (2d) 498 (1980).

See note to 48.34, citing In Interest of C.D.M. 125 W (2d) 170, 370 NW (2d) 287 (Ct. App. 1985)

Prisoner is confined to state prison under (1) when kept under guard at hospital for treatment. State v. Cummings, 153 W (2d) 603, 451 NW (2d) 463 (Ct. App. 1989)

- 940.205 Battery or threat to department of revenue employe. (1) In this section, "family member" means a parent, spouse, sibling, child, stepchild or foster child
- (2) Whoever intentionally causes bodily harm or threatens to cause bodily harm to the person or family member of any department of revenue official, employe or agent under all of the following circumstances is guilty of a Class D felony:
- (a) At the time of the act or threat, the actor knows or should have known that the victim is a department of revenue official, employe or agent or a member of his or her family
- (b) The official, employe or agent is acting in an official capacity at the time of the act or threat or the act or threat is in response to any action taken in an official capacity
- (c) There is no consent by the person harmed or threatened

History: 1985 a 29

940.21 Mayhem. Whoever, with intent to disable or disfigure another, cuts or mutilates the tongue, eye, ear, nose, lip, limb or other bodily member of another, is guilty of a Class B felony.

History:

History: 1977 c. 173

Injury by conduct regardless of life (940.23) and endangering safety by conduct regardless of life (941.30) can be lesser included offenses of mayhem. Kirby v. State, 86 W (2d) 292, 272 NW (2d) 113 (Ct. App. 1978)

Failure to instruct jury that great bodily harm is essential element of mayhem was reversible error. Cole v. Young, 817 F (2d) 412 (7th Cir. 1987).

940.22 Sexual exploitation by therapist; duty to report. (1) DEFINITIONS In this section:

- (a) "Department" means the department of regulation and licensing
- (b) "Physician" has the meaning designated in s. 448.01
- (c) "Psychologist" means a person who practices psychology, as described in s. 455.01 (5)
- (d) "Psychotherapy" has the meaning designated in s 455.01 (6)
- (e) "Record" means any document relating to the investigation, assessment and disposition of a report under this section
- (f) "Reporter" means a therapist who reports suspected sexual contact between his or her patient or client and another therapist.
- (g) "Sexual contact" has the meaning designated in s. 940.225 (5) (b)
- (h) "Subject" means the therapist named in a report or record as being suspected of having sexual contact with a patient or client or who has been determined to have engaged in sexual contact with a patient or client.
- (i) "Therapist" means a physician, psychologist, social worker, nurse, chemical dependency counselor, member of the clergy or other person, whether or not licensed by the state, who performs or purports to perform psychotherapy.
- (2) SEXUAL CONTACT PROHIBITED. Any person who is or who holds himself or herself out to be a therapist and who intentionally has sexual contact with a patient or client during any ongoing therapist-patient or therapist-client relationship, regardless of whether it occurs during any treatment, consultation, interview or examination, is guilty of a Class D felony. Consent is not an issue in an action under this subsection.
- (3) REPORTS OF SEXUAL CONTACT (a) If a therapist has reasonable cause to suspect that a patient or client he or she has seen in the course of professional duties is a victim of sexual contact by another therapist or a person who holds himself or herself out to be a therapist in violation of sub. (2), as soon thereafter as practicable the therapist shall ask the

4664

patient or client if he or she wants the therapist to make a report under this subsection. The therapist shall explain that the report need not identify the patient or client as the victim. If the patient or client wants the therapist to make the report, the patient or client shall provide the therapist with a written consent to the report and shall specify whether the patient's or client's identity will be included in the report.

- (b) Within 30 days after a patient or client consents under par (a) to a report, the therapist shall report the suspicion to:
- 1 The department, if the reporter believes the subject of the report is licensed by the state. The department shall promptly communicate the information to the appropriate examining board.
- 2. The district attorney for the county in which the sexual contact is likely, in the opinion of the reporter, to have occurred, if subd. 1 is not applicable.
- (c) A report under this subsection shall contain only information that is necessary to identify the reporter and subject and to express the suspicion that sexual contact has occurred in violation of sub. (2). The report shall not contain information as to the identity of the alleged victim of sexual contact unless the patient or client requests under par. (a) that this information be included.
- (d) Whoever intentionally violates this subsection by failing to report as required under pars. (a) to (c) is guilty of a Class A misdemeanor.
- (4) CONFIDENTIALITY OF REPORTS AND RECORDS. (a) All reports and records made from reports under sub. (3) and maintained by the department, examining boards, district attorneys and other persons, officials and institutions shall be confidential and are exempt from disclosure under s. 19.35 (1) Information regarding the identity of a victim or alleged victim of sexual contact by a therapist shall not be disclosed by a reporter or by persons who have received or have access to a report or record unless disclosure is consented to in writing by the victim or alleged victim. The report of information under sub. (3) and the disclosure of a report or record under this subsection does not violate any person's responsibility for maintaining the confidentiality of patient health care records, as defined in s. 146.81 (4) and as required under s. 146.82. Reports and records may be disclosed only to appropriate staff of a district attorney or a law enforcement agency within this state for purposes of investigation or prosecution
- (b) 1. The department, a district attorney or an examining board within this state may exchange information from a report or record on the same subject.
- 2 If the department receives 2 or more reports under sub (3) regarding the same subject, the department shall communicate information from the reports to the appropriate district attorneys and may inform the applicable reporters that another report has been received regarding the same subject.
- 3 If a district attorney receives 2 or more reports under sub. (3) regarding the same subject, the district attorney may inform the applicable reporters that another report has been received regarding the same subject.
- 4. After reporters receive the information under subd. 2 or 3, they may inform the applicable patients or clients that another report was received regarding the same subject.
- (c) A person to whom a report or record is disclosed under this subsection may not further disclose it, except to the persons and for the purposes specified in this section.
- (d) Whoever intentionally violates this subsection, or permits or encourages the unauthorized dissemination or use of information contained in reports and records made under this section, is guilty of a Class A misdemeanor.

(5) IMMUNITY FROM LIABILITY. Any person or institution participating in good faith in the making of a report or record under this section is immune from any civil or criminal liability that results by reason of the action. For the purpose of any civil or criminal action or proceeding, any person reporting under this section is presumed to be acting in good faith. The immunity provided under this subsection does not apply to liability resulting from sexual contact by a therapist with a patient or client.

History: 1983 a. 434; 1985 a. 275; 1987 a. 352, 380.

940.225 Sexual assault. (1) FIRST DEGREE SEXUAL ASSAULT. Whoever does any of the following is guilty of a Class B felony:

- (a) Has sexual contact or sexual intercourse with another person without consent of that person and causes pregnancy or great bodily harm to that person.
- (b) Has sexual contact or sexual intercourse with another person without consent of that person by use or threat of use of a dangerous weapon or any article used or fashioned in a manner to lead the victim reasonably to believe it to be a dangerous weapon.
- (c) Is aided or abetted by one or more other persons and has sexual contact or sexual intercourse with another person without consent of that person by use or threat of force or violence
- (2) SECOND DEGREE SEXUAL ASSAULT. Whoever does any of the following is guilty of a Class C felony:
- (a) Has sexual contact or sexual intercourse with another person without consent of that person by use or threat of force or violence
- (b) Has sexual contact or sexual intercourse with another person without consent of that person and causes injury, illness, disease or impairment of a sexual or reproductive organ, or mental anguish requiring psychiatric care for the victim
- (c) Has sexual contact or sexual intercourse with a person who suffers from a mental illness or deficiency which renders that person temporarily or permanently incapable of appraising the person's conduct, and the defendant knows of such condition.
- (d) Has sexual contact or sexual intercourse with a person who the defendant knows is unconscious.
- (f) Is aided or abetted by one or more other persons and has sexual contact or sexual intercourse with another person without the consent of that person.
- (g) Is an employe of an inpatient facility or a state treatment facility and has sexual contact or sexual intercourse with a person who is a patient or resident of the facility.
- (3) THIRD DEGREE SEXUAL ASSAULT. Whoever has sexual intercourse with a person without the consent of that person is guilty of a Class D felony.
- (3m) FOURTH DEGREE SEXUAL ASSAULT. Whoever has sexual contact with a person without the consent of that person is guilty of a Class A misdemeanor.
- (4) Consent. "Consent", as used in this section, means words or overt actions by a person who is competent to give informed consent indicating a freely given agreement to have sexual intercourse or sexual contact. Consent is not an issue in alleged violations of sub (2) (c), (d) and (g). The following persons are presumed incapable of consent but the presumption may be rebutted by competent evidence, subject to the provisions of s. 972.11 (2):
- (b) A person suffering from a mental illness or defect which impairs capacity to appraise personal conduct.
- (c) A person who is unconscious or for any other reason is physically unable to communicate unwillingness to an act

- (5) DEFINITIONS. In this section:
- (a) "Inpatient facility" has the meaning designated in s. 51.01 (10).
- (b) "Sexual contact" means any intentional touching by the complainant or defendant, either directly or through clothing by the use of any body part or object, of the complainant's or defendant's intimate parts if that intentional touching is either for the purpose of sexually degrading; or for the purpose of sexually humiliating the complainant or sexually arousing or gratifying the defendant or if the touching contains the elements of actual or attempted battery under s. 940.19 (1).
- (c) "Sexual intercourse" includes the meaning assigned under s. 939.22 (36) as well as cunnilingus, fellatio or anal intercourse between persons or any other intrusion, however slight, of any part of a person's body or of any object into the genital or anal opening either by the defendant or upon the defendant's instruction. The emission of semen is not
- (d) "State treatment facility" has the meaning designated in s. 51.01 (15).
- (6) MARRIAGE NOI A BAR TO PROSECUTION. A defendant shall not be presumed to be incapable of violating this section because of marriage to the complainant
- (7) DEATH OF VICTIM. This section applies whether a victim is dead or alive at the time of the sexual contact or sexual intercourse.

History: 1975 c. 184, 421; 1977 c. 173; 1979 c. 24, 25, 175, 221; 1981 c. 89, 308, 309, 310, 311; 1985 a. 134; 1987 a. 245, 332, 352; 1987 a. 403 ss. 235, 236,

Legislative Council Note, 1981: Presently, [in sub. (5) (a)] the definition of "sexual intercourse" in the sexual assault statute includes any intrusion of any part of a person's body or of any object into the genital or anal opening of another person. This proposal clarifies that the intrusion of the body part or object may be caused by the direct act of the offender (defendant) or may occur as a result of an act by the victim which is done in compliance with instructions of the offender (defendant) [Bill 630-S]

Failure to resist is not consent under (4) State v. Clark, 87 W (2d) 804, 275 NW (2d) 715 (1979).

Injury by conduct regardless of life is not a lesser-included crime of first-degree sexual assault. Hagenkord v. State, 94 W (2d) 250, 287 NW (2d) 834 (Ct. App. 1979).

Multiplicitous sexual assault charges discussed. State v Eisch, 96 W (2d)

25, 291 NW (2d) 800 (1980)

Trial court did not err in denying accused's motions to compel psychiatric examination of victim and to discover victim's past addresses. State v Lederer, 99 W (2d) 430, 299 NW (2d) 457 (Ct. App. 1980).

See note to Art. I, sec. 5, citing State v. Baldwin, 101 W (2d) 441, 304 NW

(2d) 742 (1981)

Age classifications under this section may be open to question. State v. Cuyler, 110 W (2d) 133, 327 NW (2d) 662 (1983).

See note to Art. I, sec. 5, citing State v. Lomagro, 113 W (2d) 582, 335 NW

Jury instruction that touching "vaginal area" constituted sexual contact was correct State v. Morse, 126 W (2d) 1, 374 NW (2d) 388 (Ct. App. 1985). "Unconscious" as used in (2) (d) is a loss of awareness which may be caused by sleep. State v. Curtis, 144 W (2d) 691, 424 NW (2d) 719 (Ct. App. 1988). See note to 904.01, citing State v. Hartman, 145 W (2d) 1, 426 NW (2d) 320

Conviction on 2 counts of rape, where offenses occurred 25 minutes apart in same location, did not violate double jeopardy provisions of U S Constitution. Harrell v. Israel, 478 F Supp. 752 (1979).

Conviction for attempted first degree sexual assault based on circumstantial evidence did not deny due process. Upshaw v. Powell, 478 F Supp. 1264 (1979).

- 940.227 Forced viewing of sexual activity. (1) In this section, "sexually explicit conduct" has the meaning specified in s. 948.01 (7)
- (2) Whoever, by use or threat of force or violence, causes a child who has not attained 18 years of age to view sexually explicit conduct may be penalized as provided in sub. (3).
 - (3) Whoever violates sub. (2) is guilty of:
- (a) A Class C felony if the child has not attained the age of 13 years
- (b) A Class D felony if the child has attained the age of 13 years but has not attained the age of 18 years.

History: 1987 a. 334; 1989 a. 359.

CRIMES-LIFE AND BODILY SECURITY 940.25

- 940.23 Reckless injury. (1) FIRST-DEGREE RECKLESS INJURY. Whoever recklessly causes great bodily harm to another human being under circumstances which show utter disregard for human life is guilty of a Class C felony.
- (2) SECOND-DEGREE RECKLESS INJURY. Whoever recklessly causes great bodily harm to another human being is guilty of a Class D felony.

History: 1987 a 399

Judicial Council Note, 1988: Sub (1) is analogous to the prior offense of

injury by conduct regardless of life.

Sub. (2) is new. It creates the crime of injury by criminal recklessness. See s. 939.24. [Bill 191-S]

940.24 Injury by negligent handling of dangerous weapon, explosives or fire. Whoever causes bodily harm to another by the negligent operation or handling of a dangerous weapon, explosives or fire is guilty of a Class E felony.

History: 1977 c. 173; 1987 a. 399

Judicial Council Note, 1988: The definition of the offense is broadened to include highly negligent handling of fire, explosives and dangerous weapons other than a firearm, airgun, knife or bow and arrow. See s. 939 22 (10). The culpable mental state is criminal negligence. See s. 939 25 and the NOTE thereto [Bill 191-S]

- 940.25 Injury by intoxicated use of a vehicle. (1) Any person who does any of the following is guilty of a Class E felony:
- (a) Causes great bodily harm to another human being by the operation of a vehicle while under the influence of an intoxicant
- (b) Causes great bodily harm to another human being by the operation of a vehicle while the person has a blood alcohol concentration of 0.1% or more by weight of alcohol in that person's blood or 0.1 grams or more of alcohol in 210 liters of that person's breath.

(bm) Causes great bodily harm to another human being by the operation of a commercial motor vehicle while the person has an alcohol concentration of 0.04 or more but less than 0.1

- (1m) A person may be charged with and a prosecutor may proceed upon an information based upon a violation of sub-(1) (a) or (b) or both or of sub. (1) (a) or (bm) or both for acts arising out of the same incident or occurrence. If the person is charged with violating both sub. (1) (a) and (b) or both sub. (1) (a) and (bm) in the information, the crimes shall be joined under s. 971.12. If the person is found guilty of both sub. (1) (a) and (b) or of sub. (1) (a) and (bm) for acts arising out of the same incident or occurrence, there shall be a single conviction for purposes of sentencing and for purposes of counting convictions under s. 23.33 (13) (b) 2 and 3, under s. 30.80 (6) (a) 2 or 3, under ss. 343.30 (1q) and 343.305 or under s. 350.11 (3) (a) 2 and 3. Subsection (1) (a), (b) and (bm) each require proof of a fact for conviction which the other does not require
- (2) The defendant has a defense if he or she proves by a preponderance of the evidence that the great bodily harm would have occurred even if he or she had been exercising due care and he or she had not been under the influence of an intoxicant or did not have a blood alcohol concentration described under sub. (1) (b) or if the actor did not have an alcohol concentration of 0.04 or more but less than 0.1 under sub. (1) (bm).
- (3) An officer who makes an arrest for a violation of this section shall make a report as required under s. 23.33 (4t), 30.686, 346.635 or 350.106

History: 1977 c. 193, 272; 1981 c. 20, 184; 1983 a 459; 1985 a 331; 1987 a 399; 1989 a 105, 275, 359.

NOTE: For legislative intent see chapter 20, laws of 1981, section 2051 (13).

Double jeopardy clause was not violated by charge under (1) (c), 1987 stats.

[now (1m)] of violations of (1) (a) and (b) State v Bohacheff, 114 W (2d) 402, 338 NW (2d) 466 (1983).

Trial court did not err in refusing to admit expert testimony indicating that victims would not have suffered same injury had they been wearing seat belts; evidence not relevant to defense under (2). State v. Turk, 154 W (2d) 294, 453 NW (2d) 163 (1990).

940.285 Abuse of vulnerable adults. (1) In this section:

- (a) "Developmentally disabled person" has the meaning specified in s. 55.01 (2).
- (b) "Infirmities of aging" has the meaning specified in s. 55.01 (3).
- (c) "Mental illness" has the meaning specified in s. 55.01
- (d) "Other like incapacities" has the meaning specified in s.
- (e) "Vulnerable adult" means any person 18 years of age or older who either is a developmentally disabled person or has infirmities of aging, mental illness or other like incapacities and who is:
- 1 Substantially mentally incapable of providing for his or her needs for food, shelter, clothing or personal or health care; or
 - 2. Unable to report cruel maltreatment without assistance.
- (2) Any person, other than a person in charge of or employed in any facility enumerated in s. 940.29, who intentionally subjects a vulnerable adult to cruel maltreatment is guilty of a Class A misdemeanor. Cruel maltreatment includes, but is not limited to, any of the following conduct:
- (a) Conduct which causes or could reasonably be expected to cause bodily harm.
- (b) Restraint, isolation or confinement which causes or could reasonably be expected to cause bodily harm or mental or emotional damage, including harm to the vulnerable adult's psychological or intellectual functioning which is exhibited by severe anxiety, depression, withdrawal, regression or outward aggressive behavior or a combination of these behaviors. This paragraph does not apply to restraint, isolation or confinement by order of a court or other lawful authority
- (c) Cruel deprivation of a basic need for food, shelter. clothing or personal or health care, including cruel deprivation resulting from the failure to provide or arrange for a basic need by a person who has assumed responsibility for meeting the need voluntarily or by contract, agreement or court order.

History: 1985 a 306

- 940.29 Abuse of residents of facilities. Any person in charge of or employed in any of the following facilities who abuses, neglects or ill-treats any person confined in or a resident of any such facility or who knowingly permits another person to do so is guilty of a Class E felony:
- (1) A penal or correctional institution or other place of confinement; or
 - (2) A home for the aged; or
 - (3) A hospital for the mentally ill; or
 - (4) A school or institution for the mentally deficient; or
 - (5) A state school for the blind or deaf; or
 - (7) A nursing home as defined in s. 50.01 (3).
- (8) A community-based residential facility as defined in s. 50.01 (1g)
- (9) An adult family home, as defined in s. 50.01 (1).

History: 1975 c. 119; 1975 c. 413 s. 18; 1977 c. 173; 1979 c. 124; 1981 c. 20; 1987 a. 161 ss. 12, 13m; 1987 a. 332.

Evidence that residents suffered weight loss and bedsores was sufficient to support nursing home administrator's conviction of abuse of residents. State v. Serebin, 119 W (2d) 837, 350 NW (2d) 65 (1984)

940.291 Law enforcement officer; failure to render aid. (1) Any peace officer, while acting in the course of employment or under the authority of employment, who intentionally fails to render or make arrangements for any necessary first aid for any person in his or her actual custody is guilty of a Class A

misdemeanor if bodily harm results from the failure. This subsection applies whether the custody is lawful or unlawful and whether the custody is actual or constructive. A violation for intentionally failing to render first aid under this subsection applies only to first aid which the officer has the knowledge and ability to render.

(2) Any peace officer who knowingly permits another person to violate sub. (1), while acting in the course of employment or under the authority of employment, is guilty of a Class A misdemeanor.

History: 1983 a. 27

940.30 False imprisonment. Whoever intentionally confines or restrains another without the person's consent and with knowledge that he or she has no lawful authority to do so is guilty of a Class E felony.

History: 1977 c 173

False imprisonment is not a lesser included offense of the crime of kidnapping. Geitner v. State, 59 W (2d) 128; 207 NW (2d) 837

Victim need only take advantage of reasonable means of escape; victim need not expose self or others to danger in attempt to escape State v C.V.C. 153 W (2d) 145, 450 NW (2d) 463 (Ct. App. 1989)

940.305 Taking hostages. Whoever by force or threat of imminent force seizes, confines or restrains a person without the person's consent and with the intent to use the person as a hostage in order to influence a person to perform or not to perform some action demanded by the actor is guilty of a Class A felony; but if each person who is held as a hostage is released without bodily harm prior to the time of the defendant's arrest, the defendant is guilty of a Class B felony.

History: 1979 c. 118.

- 940.31 Kidnapping. (1) Whoever does any of the following is guilty of a Class B felony:
- (a) By force or threat of imminent force carries another from one place to another without his consent and with intent to cause him to be secretly confined or imprisoned or to be carried out of this state or to be held to service against his will;
- (b) By force or threat of imminent force seizes or confines another without his consent and with intent to cause him to be secretly confined or imprisoned or to be carried out of this state or to be held to service against his will; or
- (c) By deceit induces another to go from one place to another with intent to cause him to be secretly confined or imprisoned or to be carried out of this state or to be held to service against his will.
- (2) Whoever violates sub. (1) with intent to cause another to transfer property in order to obtain the release of the victim is guilty of a Class A felony; but if the victim is released without permanent physical injury prior to the time the first witness is sworn at the trial the defendant is guilty of a Class B felony.

History: 1977 c. 173.

Conviction under (1) (c) does not require proof of express or implied misrepresentations. State v Dalton, 98 W (2d) 725, 298 NW (2d) 398 (Ct. App. 1980).

"Service" element under (1) (b) is satisfied by proof of sexual assault State v. Clement, 153 W (2d) 287, 450 NW (2d) 789 (Ct. App. 1989)

- 940.34 Duty to aid victim or report crime. (1) (a) Whoever violates sub. (2) (a) is guilty of a Class C misdemeanor.
- (b) Whoever violates sub (2) (b) is guilty of a Class C misdemeanor and is subject to discipline under s. 440.26 (6).
- (c) Whoever violates sub. (2) (c) is guilty of a Class C misdemeanor.
- (2) (a) Any person who knows that a crime is being committed and that a victim is exposed to bodily harm shall summon law enforcement officers or other assistance or shall provide assistance to the victim

CRIMES-LIFE AND BODILY SECURITY 940.45

- (b) Any person licensed as a private detective or granted a private security permit under s 440.26 who has reasonable grounds to believe that a crime is being committed or has been committed shall notify promptly an appropriate law enforcement agency of the facts which form the basis for this belief.
- (c) 1. In this paragraph, "unlicensed private security person" means a private security person, as defined in s. 440.26 (1m), who is exempt from the permit and licensure requirements of s. 440.26
- 2 Any unlicensed private security person who has reasonable grounds to believe that a crime is being committed or has been committed shall notify promptly an appropriate law enforcement agency of the facts which form the basis for this belief
- (d) A person need not comply with this subsection if any of the following apply:
 - 1. Compliance would place him or her in danger.
- 2. Compliance would interfere with duties the person owes to others.
- 3. In the circumstances described under par. (a), assistance is being summoned or provided by others.
- 4. In the circumstances described under par. (b) or (c), the crime or alleged crime has been reported to an appropriate law enforcement agency by others.
- (2m) If a person is subject to sub. (2) (b) or (c), the person need not comply with sub. (2) (b) or (c) until after he or she has summoned or provided assistance to a victim.
- (3) If a person renders emergency care for a victim, s. 895.48 (1) applies. Any person who provides other reasonable assistance under this section is immune from civil liability for his or her acts or omissions in providing the assistance. This immunity does not apply if the person receives or expects to receive compensation for providing the assistance.

History: 1983 a. 198; 1985 a. 152, 332; 1987 a. 14

940.41 Definitions. In ss. 940.42 to 940.49:

- (1) "Malice" or "maliciously" means an intent to vex, annoy or injure in any way another person or to thwart or interfere in any manner with the orderly administration of instice
- (2) "Victim" means any natural person against whom any crime as defined in s. 939.12 or under the laws of the United States is being or has been perpetrated or attempted in this state.
- (3) "Witness" means any natural person who has been or is expected to be summoned to testify; who by reason of having relevant information is subject to call or likely to be called as a witness, whether or not any action or proceeding has as yet been commenced; whose declaration under oath is received as evidence for any purpose; who has reported any crime to any peace officer or prosecutor; or who has been served with a subpoena issued under s. 885.01 or under the authority of any court of this state or of the United States.

History: 1981 c. 118

940.42 Intimidation of witnesses; misdemeanor. Except as provided in s. 940.43, whoever knowingly and maliciously prevents or dissuades, or who attempts to so prevent or dissuade any witness from attending or giving testimony at any trial, proceeding or inquiry authorized by law, is guilty of a Class A misdemeanor.

History: 1981 c. 118.

940.43 Intimidation of witnesses; felony. Whoever violates s. 940.42 under any of the following circumstances is guilty of a Class D felony:

- (1) Where the act is accompanied by force or violence or attempted force or violence, upon the witness, or the spouse, child, parent, sibling or grandchild of the witness or any person sharing a common domicile with the witness.
- (2) Where the act is accompanied by injury or damage to the real or personal property of any person covered under sub. (1).
- (3) Where the act is accompanied by any express or implied threat of force, violence, injury or damage described in sub. (1) or (2).
 - (4) Where the act is in furtherance of any conspiracy.
- (5) Where the act is committed by any person who has suffered any prior conviction for any violation under ss 940.42 to 940.45, s. 943.30, 1979 stats, or any federal statute or statute of any other state which, if the act prosecuted was committed in this state, would be a violation under ss. 940.42 to 940.45.
- (6) Where the act is committed by any person for monetary gain or for any other consideration acting on the request of any other person. All parties to the transactions are guilty under this section.

History: 1981 c. 118.

Conspiracy to intimidate witness is included under (4). State v Seibert, 141 W (2d) 753, 416 NW (2d) 900 (Ct. App. 1987).

- **940.44** Intimidation of victims; misdemeanor. Except as provided in s. 940.45, whoever knowingly and maliciously prevents or dissuades, or who attempts to so prevent or dissuade, another person who has been the victim of any crime or who is acting on behalf of the victim from doing any of the following is guilty of a Class A misdemeanor:
- (1) Making any report of the victimization to any peace officer or state, local or federal law enforcement or prosecuting agency, or to any judge.
- (2) Causing a complaint, indictment or information to be sought and prosecuted and assisting in the prosecution thereof.
- (3) Arresting or causing or seeking the arrest of any person in connection with the victimization.

History: 1981 c. 118

- **940.45** Intimidation of victims; felony. Whoever violates s 940.44 under any of the following circumstances is guilty of a Class D felony:
- (1) Where the act is accompanied by force or violence or attempted force or violence, upon the victim, or the spouse, child, parent, sibling or grandchild of the victim or any person sharing a common domicile with the victim.
- (2) Where the act is accompanied by injury or damage to the real or personal property of any person covered under sub. (1).
- (3) Where the act is accompanied by any express or implied threat of force, violence, injury or damage described in sub. (1) or (2).
 - (4) Where the act is in furtherance of any conspiracy.
- (5) Where the act is committed by any person who has suffered any prior conviction for any violation under ss. 940.42 to 940.45, s. 943.30, 1979 stats., or any federal statute or statute of any other state which, if the act prosecuted was committed in this state, would be a violation under ss. 940.42 to 940.45.
- (6) Where the act is committed by any person for monetary gain or for any other consideration acting on the request of any other person. All parties to the transactions are guilty under this section.

History: 1981 c. 118

- 940.46 Attempt prosecuted as completed act. Whoever attempts the commission of any act prohibited under ss. 940.42 to 940.45 is guilty of the offense attempted without regard to the success or failure of the attempt. The fact that no person was injured physically or in fact intimidated is not a defense against any prosecution under ss. 940.42 to 940.45. History: 1981 c. 118.
- 940.47 Court orders. Any court with jurisdiction over any criminal matter, upon substantial evidence, which may include hearsay or the declaration of the prosecutor, that knowing and malicious prevention or dissuasion of any person who is a victim or who is a witness has occurred or is reasonably likely to occur, may issue orders including but not limited to any of the following:
- (1) An order that a defendant not violate ss. 940.42 to 940.45
- (2) An order that a person before the court other than a defendant, including, but not limited to, a subpoenaed witness or other person entering the courtroom of the court, not violate ss. 940.42 to 940.45.
- (3) An order that any person described in sub. (1) or (2) maintain a prescribed geographic distance from any specified witness or victim.
- (4) An order that any person described in sub. (1) or (2) have no communication with any specified witness or any victim, except through an attorney under such reasonable restrictions as the court may impose.

 History: 1981 c. 118
- **940.48** Violation of court orders. Whoever violates an order issued under s. 940.47 may be punished as follows:

tus que maistres esta internite douvrir miner de minimiento proprio de la grupa de usa mesmo esta in la cerem describir a por interneta interneta en la compaça de la ceresa de que se a la filo de la compacta del compacta de la compacta del compacta de la compacta del la compacta de la compacta del la co

And park there is to be a property of the prop

Mark Colored Salest val year terres . Object the Sec

eras i gras par presi

- (1) If applicable, the person may be prosecuted under ss. 940.42 to 940.45.
- (2) As a contempt of court under ch. 785. A finding of contempt is not a bar to prosecution under ss. 940.42 to 940.45, but:
- (a) Any person who commits a contempt of court is entitled to credit for any punishment imposed therefor against any sentence imposed on conviction under ss. 940 42 to 940.45; and
- (b) Any conviction or acquittal for any substantive offense under ss. 940.42 to 940.45 is a bar to subsequent punishment for contempt arising out of the same act.
- (3) By the revocation of any form of pretrial release or forfeiture of bail and the issuance of a bench warrant for the defendant's arrest or remanding the defendant to custody. After hearing and on substantial evidence, the revocation may be made whether the violation of order complained of has been committed by the defendant personally or was caused or encouraged to have been committed by the defendant.

History: 1981 c. 118

940.49 Pretrial release. Any pretrial release of any defendant whether on bail or under any other form of recognizance shall be deemed to include a condition that the defendant neither do, nor cause to be done, nor permit to be done on his or her behalf, any act proscribed by ss. 940.42 to 940.45 and any wilful violation of the condition is subject to punishment as prescribed in s. 940.48 (3) whether or not the defendant was the subject of an order under s. 940.47

स्तर्भ हुद्र १६ वर्ग पुण्ये जेन्द्र अन्तर्भ अन्तर्भ । अन्तर्भ प्राप्त अन्तर्भ ।

rger de les les estats de l'arche en la company de la comp

general Parasa eus grant et en jaroka eus in en de la filia de la de la grant filipa de la de l

The first season with the season of the first season of the first

Build a build for an indication are with perspectively and the second calling of the contraction of

and the second of the second o

takahule tida ule 11.4. guaran takaansa ora kolambisuk 19.4% 19.1%

The second of the second section of the sec

History: 1981 c. 118.