



612 W. Main Street, #200  
Madison, WI 53703

Phone: (608) 256-0827  
[www.lwwwi.org](http://www.lwwwi.org)



February 21, 2022

To: Assembly Committee on State Affairs

From: Debra Cronmiller, Executive Director, [dcronmiller@lwwwi.org](mailto:dcronmiller@lwwwi.org)

Re: OPPOSE AJR 133, AJR 134, AB 996, AB 998, AB 999, AB 1000, AB 1004, AB 1006

The League of Women Voters of Wisconsin opposes several of the bills you are considering in today's hearing.

The League believes that good government depends on the informed and active participation of its citizens, and that voting is a fundamental citizen right which must be guaranteed. Wisconsin election laws should provide citizens with maximum opportunity for registration, voting at the polls and absentee voting. Further, election administration should be adequately coordinated and funded to achieve statewide standards uniformly applied, verifiable results and local municipal effectiveness.

Since its founding in 1920 the League has studied many of the issues addressed in the bills before you in today's public hearing. Our members have agreed and affirmed the positions and principles stated above.

**We oppose AJR 133.** This legislation would write into the state constitution the current requirement to present a voter photo ID in order to cast a regular ballot and have it counted. Such a requirement has been shown to place a heavier burden on certain groups of citizens, including those who are disabled, elderly or low-income.

**We oppose AJR 134.** While it would be reasonable to have some regulation for the use of private funds, the practice should not be banned. A constitutional amendment to ban private resources is uncalled for and utterly inappropriate.

**We oppose AB 996.** This bill imposes requirements on the Wisconsin Elections Commission (WEC) that are not required for any other state agency. It would allow inappropriate legislative

oversight of an agency tasked with overseeing the electoral activities that impact all Wisconsin voters. Voters have the right to expect electoral agency functions to be monitored and not micromanaged.

**We oppose AB 998.** While it is appropriate to maintain an accurate list of electors, this bill is deeply flawed. It would disenfranchise many of the same groups of electors who are already burdened by voter photo ID and restrictive proof of residence requirements. It requires that WEC's voter registration database be coordinated with databases in various federal and state agencies. In particular, the Systematic Alien Verification for Entitlements (SAVE) database is limited to a select group of non-citizens and is not a comprehensive list. Updates are not frequent. The result would be false positives that could disenfranchise qualified citizens.

**We oppose AB 999.** Rather than improve the voter experience this bill complicates it for no apparent reason. Absentee voters should not have to provide ID for every election, when the Clerk can keep a copy of the ID on file. This bill requires voters to submit an application (with ID) in addition to completing the certificate envelope.

**We oppose AB 1000.** This very punitive bill singles out the Wisconsin Elections Commission for an unreasonable level of legislative control. Threatening to reduce staff in a key state agency does not consider what is best for voters, and it certainly will not improve elections.

**We oppose AB 1004.** This bill would compel the rejection of an absentee ballot where the voter or witness fails to fill in any of twelve separate fields on the certificate envelope. These are new and needless requirements for the absentee ballot certificate envelope. They would make it much more difficult for voters, especially those who are elderly or have disabilities, to cast a ballot. There are other restrictive measures which overlap with other bills in today's hearing.

**We oppose AB 1006.** This bill singles out the WEC for an unreasonable level of legislative control over elections. This potentially harmful oversight would only add confusion for local election officials and certainly will not improve elections.



Greater Wisconsin  
Agency on Aging Resources, Inc.

Date: February 21, 2022

To: Representative Swearingen, Representative Vorpapel, and members of the Assembly  
Committee on State Affairs

From: Janet L. Zander, Advocacy & Public Policy Coordinator

Re: **AJR 133 Against** - relating to: requiring photographic identification to vote in any election

**AB 999/SB 939 Against** - relating to: absentee ballot applications, unsolicited mailing or transmission of absentee ballot applications and absentee ballots, secure delivery of absentee ballots, canvassing absentee ballots, voter registration requirements, electronic voter registration, and providing a penalty.

**AB 1002 Information only/SB 937** - relating to: status as an indefinitely confined voter for purposes of receiving absentee ballots automatically and providing a penalty.

**AB 1004/SB 935 Information only** - relating to: certain kinds of election fraud, private resources and contracts for election administration, who may perform tasks related to election administration, defects on absentee ballot certificates, returning absentee ballots to the office of the municipal clerk, appointment of election officials, allowing an employee of a residential care facility or qualified retired home to serve as a personal care voting assistant during a public health emergency or an incident of infectious disease, and providing a penalty.

The Greater Wisconsin Agency on Aging Resources, Inc. (GWAAR) is a nonprofit agency committed to supporting the successful delivery of aging programs and services in our service area consisting of 70 counties (all but Dane and Milwaukee) and 11 tribes in Wisconsin. We are one of three Area Agencies on Aging in Wisconsin. Our mission is to deliver innovative support to lead aging agencies as we work together to promote, protect, and enhance the well-being of older people in Wisconsin. There are over one million adults aged 60 and older residing in our service area.

Thank you for this opportunity to provide testimony on AJR 133, AB 999, AB 1002, and AB 1004. As of Feb. 1, 2022, people aged 50 and older make up 55% of Wisconsin's registered voters.<sup>1</sup> Voting is a high priority for many older adults. Despite a strong desire to vote, as people age, there are often barriers standing between their desire to vote and being able to vote. Between 2009 – 2019, the 65 and older population in Wisconsin grew by over 32%. There are over one million Wisconsinites

---

<sup>1</sup> Wisconsin Elections Commission. February 1, 2022 Voter Registration Statistics. Retrieved Feb. 5, 2022 from [https://elections.wi.gov/statistics/voter\\_reg/feb2022](https://elections.wi.gov/statistics/voter_reg/feb2022).

aged 65 and older (over 17% of the state's population).<sup>2</sup> Older Americans are one of the fastest-growing demographics in the country. Within the older population, a large and growing percentage of people are age 85 and older.<sup>3</sup> With advanced age people are more likely to have multiple chronic illnesses which can make it harder to get around. Age is also a significant risk factor for admission to a nursing home. Roughly 1% of people aged 65-74 live in nursing homes, compared to approximately 15% of people aged 85 and older. Additionally, AAA reports seniors outlive their ability to drive safely by an average of seven to ten years.<sup>4</sup>

### **AJR 133 – Against**

While a free photo ID for voting can be obtained at the Department of Motor Vehicles (DMV), voters with disabilities or chronic conditions face multiple barriers to obtaining one. Some voters face difficulties obtaining the necessary documentation (such as a copy of their birth certificate) required to obtain a photo ID for voting. Other voters find it challenging to get to the DMV. Non-drivers, individuals in need of accessible transportation, low-income individuals, and those who live in areas where the DMV has very limited hours, all face barriers getting to the DMV to obtain their photo ID for voting.

GWAAR supports voting processes that ensure every eligible older adult who wants to vote, can vote, no matter where they live or how they choose to vote. To that end, GWAAR recommends the photo ID options for voting be expanded to address the concerns on non-drivers and others who currently face barriers to accessing needed documentation or the DMV. Options to consider include, but are not limited to: any photo ID card issued by the federal government, the state of Wisconsin, or a Wisconsin county, local government, or other governmental entity; regular college and university ID cards from all WI colleges and technical schools; high school student photo ID cards, and an affidavit (similar to what has been made available in some other states) for voters who have reasonable impediments to obtaining a photo ID. In addition, GWAAR recommends options for obtaining a photo ID for voting be expanded to include improving DMV access by expanding hours (including the addition of evening and weekend hours), addressing any physical accessibility concerns related to DMVs, co-locating state ID operations at locations that are already accessed by older adults and people with disabilities such as Aging and Disability Resource Centers and income maintenance offices, and the availability of mobile DMV locations.

---

<sup>2</sup> Administration for Community Living. 2020 Profile of Older Americans, Publication date May 2021. Retrieved Feb. 5, 2022 from [https://acl.gov/sites/default/files/Profile%20of%20OA/2020ProfileOlderAmericans\\_RevisedFinal.pdf](https://acl.gov/sites/default/files/Profile%20of%20OA/2020ProfileOlderAmericans_RevisedFinal.pdf).

<sup>3</sup> Administration for Community Living. Projected Future Growth of Older Population, last modified on May 25, 2021. Retrieved on Feb. 5, 2022 from <https://acl.gov/aging-and-disability-in-america/data-and-research/projected-future-growth-older-population>.

<sup>4</sup> Figueroa IV, D. (2018, August 14). *Seniors outlive their safe driving age by 7 to 10 years, AAA says*. Tampa Bay News. Retrieved February 5, 2022, from [https://www.tampabay.com/news/Seniors-outlive-their-safe-driving-age-by-7-to-10-years-AAA-says\\_170888685/](https://www.tampabay.com/news/Seniors-outlive-their-safe-driving-age-by-7-to-10-years-AAA-says_170888685/).

AJR 133 calls for the creation of section 1m of article III of the constitution; relating to requiring photographic identification to vote in any election. GWAAR is concerned that a Constitutional amendment is not the right vehicle for this type of policy change, as it is difficult to change and therefore, cannot be responsive to public need. For these reasons, GWAAR asks you to oppose AJR 133.

### **AB 999/SB 939 – Against**

Older voters more heavily utilize absentee voting.<sup>5</sup> This bill proposes significant changes that would make it much more difficult for electors to apply for and return absentee ballots. Under existing law, an elector who previously submitted a copy of their photo ID when applying for an absentee ballot, and who has not changed their name or address, is not required to submit a copy of their photo ID again when reapplying each year. This bill would eliminate that exemption and would require all electors to submit a copy of their photo ID with each application. In addition, electors wishing/needing to vote by absentee ballot will no longer be able to apply to receive ballots for every election in a calendar year but will now be required to complete an application for each primary and the election associated with that primary. These changes will pose significant barriers for voters who do not drive; it can be challenging to obtain needed copies of a photo ID due to transportation barriers, limited access to technology, and/or limited ability to use available technology. Requiring this application renewal process for every primary and general election pair, when there has been no change in the voter's status, is unnecessary and places additional barriers that will make it more difficult for some older adults to vote.

Though GWAAR feels participation in all elections is important; the reality is, turnout among all voters is lower for primary elections. This bill penalizes absentee voters who do not vote in the primary by prohibiting municipal clerks from mailing an absentee ballot for an election to any elector who fails to return the absentee ballot mailed to the elector for the primary specified in the elector's application. There are many reasons a voter may not be able to, or may choose not to, vote in a primary election. The elector's decision regarding voting in a primary should not impact their ability to vote absentee in a general election.

GWAAR heard from older voters who found it very confusing to receive multiple absentee ballot applications in the mail from third parties and outside groups (some legitimate and some not). GWAAR is concerned that adding 6.86 (8) to the current statutes, as proposed in this bill, would only further magnify the confusion. As proposed, no municipal or county clerks or municipal or county board of election commissioners, and no person acting on behalf of the commission, may send or transmit an absentee ballot application (or and absentee ballot) to an elector for voting in an election unless the elector applies for the application (or ballot). Yet, the bill states candidate

---

<sup>5</sup> Charles Stewart III, MIT. Some Demographics on Voting by Mail, March 20, 2020. Retrieved on Feb. 6, 2022 from <https://electionupdates.caltech.edu/2020/03/20/some-demographics-on-voting-by-mail/>.

committees, legislative campaign committees, political parties, etc., may not send or transmit absentee ballot applications to a voter that contains a return address on the application other than the address of the municipal clerk where the voter is registered. This change prohibits the entities electors would expect to send them voting and elections materials from sending absentee ballot applications but *permits* third parties and outside groups to send absentee ballot application if the return address is the address of the municipal clerk where the voter is registered.

Third parties and outside groups often do not coordinate with state or local election officials resulting in electors receiving multiple applications. It is easy for voters to become confused about whether their absentee ballot applications were processed and is not surprising that some voters submit multiple applications while waiting for their absentee ballot to arrive. Multiple applications from a single voter cause confusion for voters and additional work for local election offices.

This bill will also make it more difficult for some older adults to vote by limiting who can return an absentee ballot to the voters themselves, a member of their immediate family, a legal guardian, or any registered voter they designate in writing (with additional restrictions). While some older voters are perfectly capable of returning their own ballots, others find it much more difficult to do so. In the past year or two, voters have expressed concern about returning absentee ballots by mail, especially when the election date is near, as ballots may or may not arrive in time to be counted. While this bill does authorize the use of for-profit commercial delivery for return of an absentee ballot envelope; voters who are low-income may find this cost prohibitive and not an option available to them. Most older adults (or voters of any age) do not have legal guardians, and some do not have any immediate family members, or at least none within close proximity, available to assist them. While this bill does allow an elector to designate, in writing, one person who is registered to vote in this state to deliver the return envelope containing the absentee ballot, it is unclear how the designation process works and it may be difficult for electors to know in advance who may be available to help them return their ballot, whether the individual is a registered voter in the state, and whether they have delivered more than two envelopes for any election for persons who are not members of the person's immediate family. Some electors, with extremely limited social contacts, may only have regular contact with in-home, paid providers. These providers often serve multiple individuals and may be asked to assist with returning an absentee ballot by more than one client. Restricting who can return absentee ballots will result in confusion and will negatively impact older voters and voters with disabilities.

For the reasons noted, we ask you to oppose AB 999.

#### **AB 1002 – Information Only/SB 937 – Support as amended**

Though GWAAR is unable to support AB 1002 in its current form, specific provisions we support, as well as our concerns, are noted below.

Use of the indefinitely confined status for voting has been an invaluable accommodation for many

older adults (and adults of all ages) who wish to vote but due to physical illness, infirmity or disability are unable to get to their polling sites. As “indefinitely confined” is self-determined, it has become increasingly important to clarify what this term means. This bill removes “age” from the current definition of indefinitely confined (due to age, physical illness, infirmity, or is disabled for an indefinite period) and replaces it with the following language, “an elector who is indefinitely confined and cannot travel independently without significant burden because of frailty, physical illness, or a disability that will last longer than one year.” GWAAR supports the removal of age from the definition of indefinitely confined, as age in and of itself is not an indication of frailty, physical illness, or disability. To provide further clarification, GWAAR recommends changing the name of the status to “permanent absentee voter,” to reflect the needs of these voters more accurately versus a condition of voters.

Under current law, the absentee ballot application provides electors the options available under law for voting absentee, including the option for electors to certify to their indefinitely confined status. Electors in need of being a permanent absentee voter, who may not know about the indefinitely confined voter accommodation can learn about it directly from the absentee ballot application. Recent reports have indicated some voters, in recent elections, checked the box to certify themselves as indefinitely confined unintentionally or without understanding what it meant. While GWAAR recognizes the importance of preserving this accommodation for those it was intended to serve, our recommendation is to provide additional clarification on the absentee ballot application rather than creating a distinct indefinitely confined status application as proposed in this bill. Electors in need of an indefinitely confined status may not know to request this application and, therefore, may not receive this needed accommodation.

Though GWAAR feels participation in all elections is important, we support changes made in this bill related to 6.86 (2) (b) specifying an indefinitely confined voter who fails to cast and return an absentee ballot “with respect to a spring or general election” (rather than any election) will receive notification from the clerk by 1<sup>st</sup> class letter or postcard that his/her name will be removed from the mailing list unless the clerk receives a renewal of the application within 30 days of the notification. Turnout among all voters is lower for primary elections and this change would prevent indefinitely confined voters from having to reapply to maintain their status due to not voting in a primary election.

In recognition of the challenges electors seeking an indefinitely confined status face in submitting copies of a photo ID or getting to the DMV to obtain an ID, existing law does not require those requesting an indefinitely confined voter status to submit a photo ID when applying for an absentee ballot. While many absentee voters requesting an indefinitely confined status have a valid ID, it can be exceedingly difficult for some to submit copies electronically or hard copy. This bill requires any elector who possesses proof of identification to submit a copy of their identification with *each* application for indefinitely confined status. GWAAR supports changes made in this bill to provide electors applying for an indefinitely confined voter status electronically to use the online

system - MyVote Wisconsin - to provide the number of a valid photo ID card, their name, and date of birth (in place of uploading copies of the ID as proof of identity). We would also recommend this option be made available to electors apply for indefinitely confined status who possess a valid ID and apply using the Wisconsin Elections Commission's paper application. The application could provide a location for the elector to include this information. Without this option, it will be very difficult for some electors in need of an indefinitely confined status to submit a copy with their application, even when they have a valid photo ID.

This bill continues to provide an option for electors applying for indefinitely confined status who do not possess proof of identification. GWAAR supports maintaining this option but recommends changes to the process outlined in this bill. Among the requirements outlined in the process in this bill are requirements for the elector to submit an affirmation that he/she meets the definition of indefinitely confined, the last four digits of his/her Social Security number, and a statement authorizing the commission to use the last four digits of the elector's Social Security number to verify the elector's identity. GWAAR believes these electors must receive assurance that any Social Security numbers, in combination with other personal data, will be maintained in a confidential manner to protect them from any potential fraud or abuse. In addition, GWAAR believes the above information should be sufficient for the elector's identification to be confirmed. The bill also; however, requires the affirmation of a U.S. citizen that the elector is indefinitely confined and cannot travel independently without significant burden because of frailty, physical illness, or a disability that will last longer than one year. If a witness signature continues to be required, GWAAR recommends the witness attest to the elector's identity, as is required under current law, and not be required to attest to the elector's health or disability status. It can be difficult for some absentee voters, especially those who are indefinitely confined, to find individuals eligible to serve as witnesses on absentee ballot applications. This new requirement will make it even more difficult, as many individuals may feel unqualified to make such a determination and may, therefore be unwilling to sign as a witness on the application.

Lastly, the bill requires the Wisconsin Elections Commission to facilitate removal from the indefinitely confined status mailing list any elector who first applied for this status between March 12, 2020 and Nov. 3, 2020. The electors removed from the mailing list will no longer be eligible to automatically receive absentee ballots unless the elector reapplies. As is the case every year (not just during a public health emergency), a number of older adults and adults with disabilities who determined they qualified for this status, will have applied for this status during this time period. Under this bill, all individuals added to the list during this time, will be removed. This will result in many voters who are qualified for this status being removed from the mailing list. GWAAR is concerned the bill does not outline how these electors will be notified they have been removed from the list and further, how these electors will be supported to reapply given how difficult this process can be for voters with significant "frailty, physical illness, or disability."

For the reasons noted above, GWAAR is unable to support AB 1002 in its current form. We ask you



to support amending AB 1002 to reflect the current amendment made to SB 937, as well as the floor amendment to be considered on Feb. 22, 2022. While not all of our concerns were addressed, SB 937, with the amendments, maintains this essential status for voters with a disability, chronic illness, or frailty.

### **AB 1004/SB 935 – Information Only**

GWAAR has appreciated ongoing discussions with Senator Bernier to ensure the voting rights of care facility residents. Though GWAAR is unable to support the bill in its current form, our comments note specific provisions that we support, as well as our concerns, regarding AB 1004/ SB 395.

GWAAR is concerned changes to the absentee ballot certificates and their review could result in additional ballots being disqualified (uncounted). The bill requires the completion of 12 different fields of information on the absentee ballot certificate. If all 12 fields are not completed on the certificate, the ballot may not be counted. Like existing law, this bill allows clerks to return the ballot to the voter, if time permits, to allow the voter to complete any missing information. In addition, the bill also creates a requirement for clerks who determine a certificate is improperly completed or missing to post notice of the defect in the voter's information page in the online voter registration system (MyVote Wisconsin) and maintains a provision allowing clerks to attempt to notify the voter by other means. GWAAR supports this addition but is concerned that some older voters lack internet access and/or may require additional assistance to identify how the certificate is to be corrected.

It is understood that clerks may not have time to contact by phone, email, or letter, all electors with incomplete or missing certificates. Creating a certificate requiring the minimal amount of required information and clear instructions would help to eliminate what are often simple mistakes (e.g., elector lists zip code but forgets to include municipality). In addition, continuing to allow clerks to correct certain minor errors such as adding a missing element of the witness address for a spouse (witness) residing at the same address as the elector as has been allowed under recent guidance from the Wisconsin Elections Commission, would prevent minor mistakes on the ballot certificate from keeping otherwise valid ballots from being counted. Under this bill, it would be a crime for clerks to correct even minor errors.

GWAAR appreciates the efforts that have been made in this proposal to expand voting options for electors in residential care facilities and qualified retirement homes. Specifically, we support the creation of statutory language outlining the process to follow to ensure electors in residential care facilities and qualified retirement homes receive the assistance needed to vote when Special Voting Deputies (SVDs) are restricted from conducting visits due to a public health emergency or an incident of infectious disease. For many years, visitors (including SVDs) have occasionally been restricted from visiting due to concerns related to the spread of disease. Though the process proposed is more restrictive than we recommended, it does outline a process to be followed when

these circumstances occur.

GWAAR also supports allowing individuals employed at residential care facilities or qualified retirement homes to be appointed as personal care voting assistants when Specialized Voting Deputies (SVDs) are restricted from conducting visits during a public health emergency or an incident of infectious disease. State law currently prohibits these employees from serving as SVDs, while current federal law requires licensed skilled nursing facilities (at all times, not just during public health emergencies) to have a plan in place that ensures residents can exercise their right to vote. Though some states, like Wisconsin, have programs in place that enable external assistance to come into the facility, regardless of whether this external assistance is available or not, providers are required to provide support to residents to help them vote, including “transporting residents to polling locations or drop boxes, assisting with absentee or mail-in voting processes, and ensuring residents who are otherwise unable to cast ballots in-person retain their right to vote and send in their ballots via State/locality authorized mechanisms.”<sup>6</sup> The authorization and training of personal care voting assistants will help to ensure federal requirements are met for electors in licensed skill nursing facilities, as well as residents in all other residential care facilities and qualified retirement homes impacted by this change.

In recognition of the high-level of turnover among staff in these facilities and the reality that not all facilities will have appointed and trained personal care voting assistants, we are concerned the bill restricts any other facility employee who is not a personal care voting assistant from assisting residents with voting (other than distributing absentee ballots in their unopened envelopes to residents who requested them). The process for voters in facilities where SVDs are not able to conduct visits and the facility has *not* identified any personal care voting assistants remains unclear under this proposal. We must ensure these voters are not disenfranchised based on where they live. In addition, we are concerned that the process as outlined under this proposal is also unclear as to how residents/tenants who have recently moved to a residential care facility or qualified retirement home will receive assistance, if needed, with registering to vote when visits are restricted for SVDs (only some of whom are also Special Registration Deputies). If personal care voting assistants are prohibited from assisting with registering to vote and other facility staff are also unable to assist, how will residents receive the assistance needed?

The change in timeline for SVDs to arrange and conduct visits to facilities and the authorization to allow clerks to send absentee ballots to electors who were unable to cast their ballots during an SVD visit because access to the home/facility was restricted due to a public health emergency or incident of infection disease are also supported by GWAAR. Requiring SVD contacts to be made with facility administrators and visits to be scheduled no later than 5 p.m. on the 11<sup>th</sup> working day (instead of the 6<sup>th</sup> working day) preceding an election will allow more time for the alternate

---

<sup>6</sup> Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services. Compliance with Residents’ Rights Requirement related to Nursing Home Residents, October 5, 2020. Retrieved on Feb. 5, 2022 from <https://www.cms.gov/files/document/qso-21-02-nh.pdf>

process, utilizing personal care voting assistants, to be completed should SVD visits be restricted due to a public health emergency or incident of infectious disease. Additionally, requiring SVD visits to be completed *no later than 5 p.m. on the 6<sup>th</sup> working day preceding the election (rather than the Monday preceding the election)* will provide more time for clerks to send absentee ballots to electors who were unable to cast their ballots during SVD visits due to restricted access to the facility by SVDs resulting from a public health emergency or incident of infectious disease and more time for personal care voting assistants to provide assistance.

GWAAR does not support the changes made under this bill requiring facilities to give notice of the days and times SVDs or personal care voting assistants will be assisting facility residents with voting, to each relative of an occupant/resident for whom the facility/home has contact information. GWAAR also does not support the proposal in this bill indicating relatives may be present in the room where the voting is conducted. Eligible voters, regardless of age, disability, or living arrangement, should have the right to decide who is and is not informed about and/or present during any activity, including voting. Current law, while still concerning, allows relative to request notice of voting dates/times and does not require the information be sent to all relatives.

GWAAR supports voting processes that ensure every eligible older adult who wants to vote, can vote, no matter where they live or how they choose to vote. Thank you for your consideration of these comments on AJR 13, AB 999, AB 1002, and AB 1004. We appreciate the interest in and efforts of policy makers to preserve, protect, and enhance the voting rights of older adults and people with disabilities. We look forward to continuing to work with you on policies that improve the quality of life of older people in Wisconsin.

Working together to promote, protect, and enhance  
the well-being of older people in Wisconsin

Contact:

Janet Zander

Advocacy & Public Policy Coordinator, MPA, CSW

Greater Wisconsin Agency on Aging Resources

[janet.zander@gwaar.org](mailto:janet.zander@gwaar.org)

(715) 677-6723 or (608) 228-7253 (cell)

**Date** February 21, 2022

**To** Rep. Swearingen, Chair; Rep. Vorpapel, Vice Chair; members of the Assembly Committee on State Affairs

**From** Barbara Beckert, DRW Milwaukee Office Director and Director of External Advocacy for Southeastern Wisconsin

**Re:** Assembly Committee on State Affairs Public Hearing February 21, 2022

- Against – AB-996 / SB-941 **Elections Administration** Overseeing the administration of elections
- Against - AB 999 / SB 939 **Absentee Ballots** Absentee ballot applications, unsolicited mailing or transmission of absentee ballot applications and absentee ballots, secure delivery of absentee ballots, canvassing absentee ballots, voter registration requirements, electronic voter registration, and providing a penalty.
- Information Only AB-1002 / SB-937 **Indefinitely Confined Voters** Status as an indefinitely confined voter for purposes of receiving absentee ballots
- Information Only -AB-1004 / SB-935 **Election Fraud** Certain kinds of election fraud, private resources and contracts for election administration, who may perform tasks related to election administration, defects on absentee ballot certificates, returning absentee ballots to the office of the municipal clerk, appointment of election officials, allowing an employee of a residential care facility or qualified retirement home to serve as a personal care voting assistant during a public health emergency or an incident of infectious disease, and providing a penalty.
- Information Only -AB-1005 / SB-934 **Voter Registration List** Maintenance of the voter registration list, training of municipal clerks, data sharing agreements, pre-election procedures, lines at the polls on election day, and granting rule-making authority.
- Against – AJR 133 **Requiring photographic identification** to vote in any election
- Other – AJR 134 **Prohibiting the use of a donation or grant** of private resources for purposes of election administration and specifying who may perform tasks related to election administration

As the federally mandated Protection and Advocacy system for our state, Disability Rights Wisconsin (DRW) is charged with protecting the voting rights of people with disabilities and mandated to help “ensure the full participation in the electoral process for individuals with disabilities, including registering to vote, casting a vote, and accessing polling places.” (Help America Vote Act, 42 U.S.C. § 15461 (2002)). DRW staffs a Voter Hotline and assists voters with disabilities and older adults, family members, service providers, and others.

In coordination with the Wisconsin Disability Vote Coalition, we provide training and educational resources to voters with disabilities, their families, and service providers. The hotline and trainings provide us with a frontline understanding of the barriers experienced by many voters with disabilities.

### **Voters with Disabilities**

A significant number of Wisconsin voters have a disability. The CDC indicates that 26% (1 in 4) of adults have some type of disability. According to the American Association of People with Disabilities (AAPD), approximately 23% of the electorate in November election were people with disabilities. Many older adults have disabilities acquired through aging, although they may not formally identify as a person with a disability.

Historically voters with disabilities are underrepresented at the ballot box. Many experience barriers to voting including the following:

- Polling place and voting documents are not always accessible.
- High percentage are non drivers and lack access to transportation, especially accessible transportation
- Lack of photo ID and difficulty obtaining it because they don't have transportation to get to DMV, and DMV hours are very limited
- Limited information about their voting rights including disability related accommodations.
- Legally required accommodations such as curbside voting and ballot assistance are not uniformly available; some voters experience discrimination and denial of accommodations.
- Lack of access to the internet and/or devices that connect to the internet, and to equipment to copy photo ID.
- May live in a group home or place with many other people where ability to independently leave, get information, or get online is limited or restricted.

### **Federal Law and Voting Rights**

As referenced in this testimony, the US Department of Justice and other federal agencies issue guidance to protect the fundamental right to voting for all Americans, including specifically addressing the rights of voters with disabilities to have equitable access to the ballot. These rights are protected by federal laws including the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA), the Voting Rights Act (VRA), the Help American Vote Act (HAVA), and other civil rights law. It is important that that these bills align with the protections for people with disabilities provided by federal law as summarized in the linked document.

## **AB-996 Elections Administration - Against**

DRW has the following concerns about AB 996:

- Federal civil rights laws, as well as guidance from the US Department of Justice and other federal agencies, protect the fundamental right to voting for all Americans, and specifically address the rights of voters with disabilities to have equitable access to the ballot. These rights are protected by federal laws including the ADA, the VRA, HAVA, and other civil rights laws. It should not be optional to comply. Any state action that would impede the operation of the federal statutes (or regulation) would raise constitutional issues, and fall under the Supremacy Clause, which establishes that the federal constitution, and federal law generally, take precedence over state laws, and even state constitutions, and prohibits states from interfering with the federal government's exercise of its constitutional powers.
- The bill adds significant reporting requirements for municipal clerks without providing any funding to provide clerks with support to comply with these requirements.
- This bill requires that legal counsel for the commission be partisan and chosen by the legislative leadership of the two major political parties. Under current law staff positions are not partisan and are not selected by the Legislature.

**Based on these concerns, DRW recommends opposing AB-996.**

## AB 999 SB-939 Absentee Ballots - Against

Absentee voting is heavily utilized by disabled voters because so many have barriers to voting in person including lack of transportation, polling place accessibility issues, and/or disability related or health concerns that limit their ability to vote in person. Many also have limited access to technology and to the internet or disability-related barriers to using technology.

AB 999 would create the new restrictions listed below that would make it more difficult for many disabled Wisconsinites to cast a ballot.

- Absentee voters would need to provide proof of identification for every election. Under existing law, a voter who submitted a copy of their photo ID when applying for an absentee ballot once, and has not moved nor changed their name, need not submit a copy of their ID again when they apply.  
**Concern:** The voters we assist often struggle to provide a copy of their photo ID on My Vote or My Mail. Requiring this be mailed or uploaded to My Vote for every election would be a significant burden.
- Reduce the number of elections a voter can apply to receive ballots for with a single application to a single primary and general election pair. Under existing law, a voter can apply to receive ballots for every election in a calendar year.  
**Concern:** A high percentage of voters with disabilities vote absentee and request absentee ballots for the year. Because of limited access to technology, and in many cases limited mobility, it would be a significant burden for many disabled voters to have to repeatedly reapply to vote absentee. Having to repeatedly complete and resubmit absentee ballot applications will create a burden for voters with disabilities such as blindness, MS, spinal cord injuries, cerebral palsy that make it difficult to complete forms either by hand or on line.
- Require all in person absentee voters to complete an absentee ballot application, even if they already have such a request on file.  
**Concern:** Requiring voters who already have an absentee ballot request on file to complete the form again is unnecessary, inefficient, and will lead to longer waiting times. In addition, many individuals with disabilities may require assistance to complete the absentee ballot application, and will require election officials to provide this accommodation.
- Prohibit clerk from sending absentee ballot applications to anyone who has not requested them  
**Concerns:** Sending absentee ballot applications to registered voters provides equitable access to absentee voting for all voters, including those who do not have access to the internet or a device to complete the form online or to download and

print it. This restriction is especially troubling because the bill requires voters to repeatedly complete and submit their absentee ballot request. Many voters with disabilities will struggle with these new restrictions. It is truly a public service for our municipal clerks or the Wisconsin Election Commission to mail the absentee ballot applications to voters, as so many voters with disabilities and older adults are isolated and not able to easily obtain or print an application.

### **Absentee Ballot Return**

Many voters with disabilities rely on a person of their choice to return their absentee ballot. Because of disability, they may be unable to place their completed ballot in a mailbox, in a secure drop box, or return it to their clerk. Existing law does not restrict who may deliver a ballot for a voter. This bill would restrict who a voter may choose to return their ballot and create a felony to punish a person who returns a voter's ballot in violation of these restrictions.

### **Concerns**

While less restrictive than a 2021 proposal, there are several provisions which are very problematic for many disabled and elderly voters.

- No one can return more than two ballots not their own for anyone not immediate family per election
- The person cannot be compensated to return the ballot.

Our concerns include the following:

Many people with disabilities and older adults live in a congregate setting. This includes Adult Family Homes (AFH), community based residential facilities (CBRF), supported housing, or apartment buildings. Because of lack of transportation, mobility restrictions, as well as unreliable mail delivery, many residents rely on paid staff to return their ballot. Those paid staff often assist multiple residents. In addition, volunteers often assist residents with voting including ballot return.

In addition to those living in congregate settings, these restrictions would impact many disabled and elderly individuals who live independently in their own home or apartment. Many are isolated and do not have access to family or other community members to assist them. They rely on paid staff to assist them with activities of daily living, including voting, and in many cases to assist with absentee ballot return.

If paid staff are no longer able to assist with absentee ballot return, it will disenfranchise many people with disabilities and older adults. Federal law allows any voter who requires assistance to vote by reason of blindness, disability, or inability to read or write may be given assistance by a person of the voter's choice, other than the voter's employer or agent of that employer or officer or agent of the voter's union.

**For the reasons noted, we ask you to oppose AB 999.**



## AB-1002 / SB-937 Indefinitely Confined Voters - For Information Only

DRW has appreciate the ongoing discussion with Senator Bernier about changes to update the Indefinitely Confined Voter Status and to protect this important option for disabled voters. DRW has registered in support of the amended SB-937, with the understanding that an additional amendment will be offered on the floor to further improve the bill by clarifying the definition of disability in the bill and aligning it with the language used by the Social Security Administration.

Our comments on AB-1002 are for information only, as DRW is unable to support the bill without amendments. Our comments note specific provisions that we support as well as concerns.

Note: Please see the attached letter of support for the amended version of SB 937 from disability and aging groups and other partners.

**Background.** This bill makes changes to the Indefinitely Confined Voter Status. Wisconsin's indefinitely confined statute has been on the books for decades, and provides an important safeguard to ensure that many voters who are disabled, or have chronic health conditions can cast a ballot. An indefinitely confined voter is a person who, because of age, physical illness, or disability, has difficulty voting at their polling place, and always wants to receive an absentee ballot. The Wisconsin Supreme Court affirmed that "indefinitely confined" status is for the voter to determine – it is not a medical diagnosis.

Wisconsin has many residents with significant disabilities and frail elders who live in the community, and rely on this accommodation to vote. Because Wisconsin has been a leader in expanding community based long term care; over 80,000 people with disabilities and older adults are enrolled in these community programs. Participants qualify for these programs by meeting a nursing home level of care, meaning their support needs are significant and similar to nursing home residents. The increasing number of individuals with long term health conditions such as Cerebral Palsy, Multiple Sclerosis, Muscular Dystrophy, ALS, and quadriplegia who live in the community rather than in a nursing home has increased the need for the indefinitely confined voter provision.

### **AB 1002 addresses the following:**

1. Further defines what it means to be "indefinitely confined." An elector...."who cannot gravel independently without significant burden because of frailty, physical illness, or a disability that will last longer than one year." Advocates have supported the need to clarify the language. The bill removes "age" as age in and of itself

should not qualify someone – it requires frailty, physical illness, or disability.

Although this language provides some clarification, we continue to believe the term “indefinitely confined” is problematic. Voters who need this accommodation have shared that they are hesitant to apply because the terminology infers that they are “bed-bound” and unable to leave their home. We recommend the language similar to that used in some other states: *Permanent Absentee Voter Due to Disability, physical illness, or frailty.*

2. Provides a way for some (but not all) indefinitely confined voters who have photo ID to meet the requirement by providing the number of their driver’s license or state ID. This is a helpful accommodation, but it is unfortunately limited to voters who can provide this electronically using MyVote Wisconsin.
3. The bill specifies that a voter who fails to cast and return an absentee ballot in spring or general election (*current law states any election*) will receive notification that they will be removed from the rolls unless they notify the clerk. Turnout among all voters is lower for primary elections and this change would prevent indefinitely confined voters from having to reapply to maintain their status if they did not vote in a primary election

### **Concerns about AB 1002**

1. Voters who have a photo ID and do not have access to the internet and to MyVote are NOT provided with an accommodation to meet the photo ID requirement. They are expected to provide a copy - no accommodation is made to their status as an indefinitely confined voter for whom this can create an undue burden. DRW does not support this provision.

**Recommendation:** allow these voters using a paper application to provide their ID numbers on the application, the same standard as used for voters who have access to MyVote.

2. Voters who do not have photo ID are required to provide the last 4 digits of their social security number to verify their identify. This could be an effective way of verifying their identity. However, the bill also requires the voter to provide an affirmation of a US citizen 18 or older that the elector is indefinitely confined and cannot travel independently without significant burden because of frailty, physical illness, or a disability that will last longer than one year. DRW does not support this provision.

### **This requirement is problematic for two reasons:**

- The purpose of the signature should be to affirm the person’s identify – not their health status. The Wisconsin Supreme Court has determined it is up to the voter to make this determination – it is not a medical diagnosis. Because of the

subjective nature of this status, other citizens may feel unqualified to make such a determination and be unwilling to sign an affirmation. This requirement does not appropriately accommodate the voter and creates a different higher standard for those who do not have a driver's license or state ID.

- The legislation establishes a new crime for anyone who "Falsely make any statement for the purpose of qualifying as indefinitely confined". The new crime could be interpreted as applying to the person who makes the affirmation and make them unwilling to sign.
- The requirement for another person to sign their application form may put the voter at risk for fraud because it will include the last 4 digits of their social security number.

**RECOMMENDATION:** Require these voters provide the last 4 digits of their SSN on their absentee ballot application. This information along with their birthdate should suffice to affirm their identify. This information should be sufficient to confirm the voter's identity. In addition, voters must receive assurances that that social security numbers will be guarded in a manner that will protect the voter from fraud or abuse.

3. Requires the Elections Commission to facilitate the removal of the indefinitely confined status of each voter who received that status between March 12, 2020, and November 6, 2020. A voter whose indefinitely confined status is so removed must submit a new application for indefinitely confined status in order to continue receiving absentee ballots automatically.

This requirement should not be needed as municipal clerks were already asked to contact indefinitely confined voters after the 2020 election and advise voters who are not indefinitely confined to update their status.

**Recommendation:** Rather than remove these voters, they should receive notification that clarifies the requirements for indefinitely confined status and states their responsibility to update their status if they do not qualify. This will minimize confusion and ensure that those who qualify for this status do not need to re-apply. The process of reapplying can be difficult for voters with significant disabilities.

4. **Requires a separate application form from the absentee ballot application.** The absentee ballot application is widely available and well known. If a separate form is required, it will decrease awareness of the Indefinitely Confined voter status for citizens who need this status. Electors in need of an indefinitely confined status may not know to request this application so may not receive it with enough time to complete and return it. At a minimum, the absentee ballot application should continue to include language about the indefinitely confined voter status and direct voters to the other form.

**Recommendation:** Continue to have one form to ensure that voters who need this accommodation are aware of it. The current form clearly states that anyone who makes a false statement may be fined or imprisoned. This language is right next to the box that a voter must check to self certify as “indefinitely confined” and is very visible.

5. The current language regarding eligibility states “ A disability that **will** last longer than one year. Concerns were raised at the Senate hearing regarding this language, and the author, State Senator Bernier will introduce an amendment to clarify it.

**Recommendation.** This language should be revised to state “A disability that **is expected to** last longer than one year. This better aligns with the author’s intent and give more clarity and to the voters who need this status. It also better aligns with the language used by social security in their definition of disability: *“The law defines disability as the inability to engage in any substantial gainful activity (SGA) by reason of any medically determinable physical or mental impairment(s) which can be expected to result in death or **which has lasted or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not less than 12 months.**”* Part I - General Information (ssa.gov)

- For these reasons, we ask you to support the proposed amendments to AB 1002, and **if amended**, to support the amended bill.

## AB-1004/ SB-935 Election Fraud - For Information Only

DRW has appreciated the ongoing discussion with Senator Bernier to ensure the voting rights of care facility residents. Though DRW is unable to support the bill in its current form, our comments note specific provisions that we support as well as our concerns about AB-1004/ SB-395.

### **Voting In Care Facilities**

- This bill would establish a new process to provide residents of nursing homes and other eligible care facilities with assistance needed to vote when a facility will not admit Special Voting Deputies (SVDs) because of a pandemic or other public health issues (flu, MRSA, etc). DRW supports the creation of a statutory language to ensure residents receive the needed assistance, although the process proposed in SB 935 is more restrictive than we recommend.
- DRW also supports allowing facility staff to be appointed as Personal Care Voting Assistants who would be trained and certified to conduct in-person absentee voting, when SVDs are unable to enter due to public health restrictions. Training facility staff on voting including rights is helpful and will ensure that the assistance they provide is informed by an understanding of residents' rights as protected by Wisconsin and federal law.
- AB-1004 moves up the date by which SVDs must make arrangements to visit and requires SVD visits to be completed by no later than the "sixth working day preceding the election" instead of the current Monday preceding the election.

**Recommendation:** While this is an improvement on current law and provides at least a chance for absentee ballots to be sent and returned for those unable to participate in SVD visits, we would urge that SVD visits be completed no less than 10 days prior to an election and that ballots be sent out to those missing the visits the following day. This would provide at least nine days to receive, complete, and return the ballot. The current process does not provide sufficient time for ballots to be mailed to residents, and for residents to complete and return them.

### **Concerns about AB-1004/ SB 935:**

#### **1. Residents Need Assistance with Voter Registration.**

When individuals move to a nursing home, they need to re-register to vote. It is important that they receive this assistance. The personal care voting assistants are not allowed to register voters and most SVDs are not allowed to register voters.

Other staff may be afraid to offer assistance with voter registration, as this bill would make it a felony if an employee "coerces" a resident to register to vote. We adamantly oppose any coercion. That being said, "coercion" is not defined and

could be more broadly interpreted as offering assistance. Such a severe penalty is likely to result in staff being unwilling to take the risk of providing any assistance with voter registration and leave residents disenfranchised. Our Voter Hotline has already received calls from staff who are fearful of assisting residents with any voting related support.

The federal Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) requires nursing homes that receive Medicare or Medicaid funding to affirm and support the residents' right to vote. That should include supporting residents with registering to vote if they wish to do so. Failing to provide such assistance could put facilities at risk for losing Medicare and Medicaid funding.

**Recommendations:**

- Give personal care voting assistants and SVDs the training and authority to register voters, as clerks can do at in-person absentee voting.
- Include voter registration as part of the intake process. New residents should be asked if they need assistance with registering to vote, and if they wish to request an absentee ballot. This process would also help to ensure more of the smaller care facilities meet the requirement to participate in the SVD program.

2. **Limiting assistance with voting to only the two assistants** may restrict the residents from getting the support they need to register to vote, to complete an absentee ballot to return a ballot.

Section 208 of the Voting Rights Act requires election officials to allow a voter who is blind or has another disability to receive assistance from a person of the voter's choice (other than the voter's employer or its agent or an officer or agent of the voter's union). In addition, Federal law requires that Medicare/ Medicaid certified long term care facilities affirm and support the right of residents to vote: "nursing homes are required to support a resident in the exercise of their right (§483.10(b)(2)) to vote, such as assisting with absentee or mail-in voting, or transporting residents to polling locations or ballot drop-boxes in a safe manner."

**Recommendation.** Align Wisconsin law with the federal law to permit people with disabilities, including nursing home residents, to receive assistance from a person of their choice with completing their ballot, and to allow staff to assist residents with voting, as requested by the resident.

3. The bill would provide notice of the times and dates of absentee voting to each relative for whom the facility has contact information. Such notifications must be respectful of resident rights to privacy, and should only be done with the residents' consent.

**Recommendation:** Ensure notification of relatives and any observation of the voting process complies with the residents' rights and protections. Residents should have to consent to notification of family members or others.

### **Use of Private Resources for Election Administration**

- The bill would prohibit municipalities from applying for or accepting donations or grant moneys for purposes of election administration. Grant funding has provided support for some municipalities to improve accessibility concerns at polling places. In addition, during the pandemic, grant funding helped to address health and safety concerns at polling places. We heard positive comments from community members about the safety precautions taken using grant funding.
- **Recommendation:** If grant funding is not allowed, the Legislature should allocate funding for municipalities to address polling place accessibility, curbside voting, health and safety concerns, and other election administration expenses. Municipalities do not have adequate funding to ensure accessible elections as required by the ADA.

### **Absentee Ballot Certificates**

- This bill would prohibit a municipal clerk from correcting a defect on the completed absentee ballot certificate envelope. Under current law, if the witness certificate is missing certain address information, the clerk receiving the ballot may complete that address information if known. Alternatively, the clerk may return the ballot to the voter so they may contact the witness and correct the defect if time permits.

Under the bill, if a clerk received an absentee ballot with missing information, the clerk would be required to return the absentee ballot to the voter. This would be required regardless of how much time remains to correct the issue or to cast a different ballot before polls close. The clerk would also be required to post a notification of the defect on the voter's voter information page on MyVote Wisconsin.

### **Concerns about these restrictions**

- We are concerned about the harmful impact on some voters with disabilities and older adults. The certificate envelope has very small print, is crowded, and **is not accessible** for many voters who have some vision loss. It's not a surprise that there are often mistakes in completing it correctly.
- Based on the experience of voters we assist, it has been very helpful for clerks to correct a defect on the absentee ballot certificate envelope, such as completing the witness address, and honoring the voter's intent. If this process changes and clerk must return the ballot to the voter, it is highly probable that there will not be enough time for the

voter to correct the problem and return the ballot. While posting information on MyVote may be helpful for those with internet access and who see the posting, it would result in inequitable access as many voters do not have ready access to the internet and/or to a device. In addition, unless MyVote sends a notification to the voter, they are not likely to be aware of the post.

**Recommendation:**

- Redesign the certificate envelope with guidance from national usability and accessibility experts. Provide more public education, and conduct usability testing on the instructions for absentee voters, and including older adults and voters with disabilities in the usability testing.
- Allow clerks to complete witness address information when possible.



## AB-1005 **Voter Registration List** Information Only

Based on DRW's review of the bill, we are very concerned about some provisions in this bill related to maintenance of voter registration lists. We also noted some provision that would be helpful for others with disabilities. For that reason, our comments are for Information Only. The following provisions in the bill merit comment because of their impact on voters with disabilities.

- **Changes in status for Voters Who Move.** The bill would require that people who move within a municipality be marked ineligible to vote. Clerks would no longer be allowed to send the voter a confirmation notice, and update the information without requiring the voter to re-register.

**Concern:** Many people with disabilities experience housing insecurity and may move often. The current process initiated by the clerk provides a reasonable way for local election officials to maintain current registration information without requiring community members to re-register.

- **The bills would require that information received from ERIC be considered reliable for purposes of changing voter status to ineligible.**

**Concerns:** The accuracy of ERIC data has been the subject of litigation, because of proven error rates in the range of 5 – 10 percent. In addition, ERIC relies in part on DMV data. In most states, the DMV has a role in assisting with voter registration, as required by the NVRA. This is not the case in Wisconsin, so DMV data is not as reliable a source as it may be in other states

- **Lines at Polling Places.**

This bill would require chief inspectors to report and document each occurrence of voters waiting in line for at least one hour before voting. It would require that municipalities who report this take all necessary steps, including establishing additional polling places, to ensure that voters do not wait in line for an hour or more at future elections.

Long lines at the polling place are especially problematic for some voters with disabilities and older adults who are not able to wait in line for a long time. We support provisions in SB-934 to address this barrier.

**Recommendation:** An additional recommendation regarding long lines would be enforcing the Wisconsin law which requires that curbside voting be available for voters who because of disability are not able to enter the polling place. This accommodation is not consistently available, and we have received multiple reports of voters who have been denied this accommodation and are not able to wait in line. We ask policy makers to also take steps to ensure access to curbside voting.

- **Clerk Training.** The bill would create additional training requirements for municipal clerks including and requiring a clerk to complete at least three hours of training prior to conducting an election for the first time. We support this provision to address adequate training for clerks. Such training provides important information about voting rights, including the rights of voters with disabilities to have equitable access to voting, and disability related accommodations required by state and federal law.

AJR 133 To create section 1m of article III of the constitution; Relating to: requiring photographic identification to vote in any election - **Against**

DRW is registering against this resolution because we are concerned that a Constitutional amendment is not the right vehicle for this type of policy change. Since it is difficult to change, it cannot be responsive to public need.

As an agency that directly assists disabled people who want to vote, we have heard about many disabled Wisconsinites who want to vote but do not have acceptable photo ID for voting as defined by current law. We have advocated for Wisconsin to expand acceptable ID options for voting. A Constitutional amendment would limit the ability to be responsive to these needs and to other needs.

**Background.** Access to photo ID is a barrier that prevents some disabled Wisconsinites from casting a ballot. Over 30% of Wisconsin adults are non-drivers and the number is growing as our population ages. Many people with disabilities do not drive; they do not have a driver's license and may not have other acceptable photo ID. While a free ID for voting can be obtained at Department of Motor Vehicle (DMV) offices, many people with disabilities have very limited access to transportation, especially accessible transportation. This is especially difficult in rural areas where many DMV locations have limited hours, are open only a couple of days a week, and may be at a significant distance with travel times in excess of 40 minutes each way. During the pandemic, DMV locations were closed for months; some locations are still closed.

Many of the voters we support are on a fixed income and have limited or no access to transportation. A ride to the DMV office to obtain a photo ID is not funded by Medicaid or other state transportation programs. Volunteer programs that provide transportation often do not have lift equipped vehicles, so they are not usable for persons with mobility disabilities.

In addition, we have heard from people with disabilities who struggle to provide the needed documentation. For example, individuals who have a representative payee to handle their bills and finances, may have difficulty providing the documents needed for proof of Wisconsin residency. Many people need access navigating the process of obtaining the required documentation, including a copy of their birth certificate.

**Recommendations:** Wisconsin should expand acceptable photo ID options for voting purposes to be inclusive of non-drivers.

- Some options to consider are: any photo ID card issued by the federal government, the state of Wisconsin, or a Wisconsin county, local government, or

other governmental entity; regular college and university ID cards from all WI colleges and technical schools; high school student photo ID cards, and an affidavit for voters who have reasonable impediments to obtaining a photo ID.

- The option of an affidavit should be offered at polling places and early voting sites, and allow a voter to complete an affidavit of affirmation in lieu of photo ID. It could include the elector's residential address and date of birth, and have the elector sign a statement under penalty of false statement affirming their identity. According to the NCSL website, the affidavit option is available in a number of states - I did a quick scan and noted Connecticut, Delaware, Idaho, Michigan, New Hampshire, South Carolina, and South Dakota.

In addition, DRW encourages policymakers to take steps to expand options for obtaining photo ID including the following:

- Improving DMV access by expanding hours including adding evening and Saturday hours
  - Co-locating state ID operations at locations that are already accessed by people with disabilities and older adults such as Aging and Disability Resource Centers and income maintenance offices. Mobile locations would also be helpful and are used in some other states to outreach to people with disabilities and older adults. The physical accessibility of DMV offices should also be addressed.
- For these reasons, DRW recommends opposing AJR 133.

AJR 134 - prohibiting the use of a donation or grant of private resources for purposes of election administration and specifying who may perform tasks related to election administration. **Other**

As with AJR 133, DRW believes a Constitutional amendment is not the right vehicle for this type of policy change, as it is difficult to change, so cannot be responsive to public need.

The Resolution would prohibit municipalities from applying for or accepting donations or grant moneys for purposes of election administration. Grant funding has provided support for some municipalities to improve accessibility concerns at polling places. In addition, during the pandemic, grant funding helping to address health and safety concerns at polling places. We heard positive comments from community members about the safety precautions taken using grant funding, how in some cases it has helped to address accessibility concerns.

**Recommendation:** If grant funding is not allowed, the Legislature should allocate funding for municipalities to address polling place accessibility, curbside voting, health and safety concerns, and other election administration expenses. Municipalities do not have adequate funding to ensure accessible elections as required by the ADA and HAVA.

DRW welcomes the opportunity to work with policy makers to ensure that every eligible disabled voter has the opportunity to register to vote and cast a ballot, no matter where they live or how they vote. We ask you to work with us to ensure that Wisconsin elections are accessible and inclusive, and protect the rights of Wisconsinites with disabilities and older adults.

- For additional information or questions, please contact Barbara Beckert at [barbara.beckert@drwi.org](mailto:barbara.beckert@drwi.org) or 414-292-2724.

*Disability Rights Wisconsin is the federally mandated Protection and Advocacy system for the State of Wisconsin, charged with protecting the rights of individuals with disabilities and keeping them free from abuse and neglect.*

*DRW is charged with protecting the voting rights of people with disabilities and mandated to help ensure the full participation in the electoral process for individuals with disabilities. DRW staffs a Voter Hotline and assists voters with disabilities and older adults, family members, service providers, and others.*



---

# PATRICK SNYDER

STATE REPRESENTATIVE • 85<sup>th</sup> ASSEMBLY DISTRICT

## Testimony in Support of Assembly Joint Resolution 133

Assembly Committee on State Affairs

February 21<sup>st</sup>, 2022

Chairman Swearingen and members of the Committee:

I authored this amendment to the Wisconsin Constitution to ensure that the people of Wisconsin have full confidence in the security and integrity of Wisconsin elections. Assembly Joint Resolution 133 would amend the State Constitution to require valid photographic identification when voting. Under the amendment, the State Legislature would still be able to create exceptions to the requirement in statutes and qualified electors who lack valid identification on elections day would still be able to cast a provisional ballot. This proposal does not change any current statutory exceptions to existing voter ID requirements.

Ensuring that each voter casts the proper ballot is central to the integrity of any election. Wisconsin's voter ID requirement has been widely successful in preserving election integrity and ensuring that citizens are confident that the ballots cast are legitimate. Polling has consistently shown that voter ID requirements are widely popular with one 2021 poll by Monmouth University showing nationwide support of voter ID at 80%.

As with all amendments to the State Constitution, this proposal requires adoption by two successive legislatures and ratification by the people in a statewide vote. Ensuring that voter ID is required under the State Constitution will go a long way towards preserving confidence in the electoral process.

Thank you for taking the time to hear my testimony today. I am happy to answer any questions you may have.