## <sup>1</sup> Special Committee on **Highway Weight Limits** September 22, 2006 Alvin J. Geurts, P.E. Outagamie County Highway Commissioner <sup>2</sup> Current Climate in **County Government** Requires: • Spending Limits Tax Freezes ³ = "Huge" Incentive for County Governments to Protect Their Investments 4 County Governments Have Tremendous Capital Invested in Highways & Bridges 5 AB678 / ACT167 "Destroying" **County Highways** and Bridges <sup>6</sup> Specific Examples: Ashland County - Highways are being rutted · Chippewa County - bridges weren't built to sustain the heavy loads 7 🗀 8 🗀 9 AB678 / Act167 excludes

Interstate Highways

	Why?
10 🗂	What is the cost
•	to taxpayers for allowing
	98,000 pound trucks?
	over 98,000 pound trucks?
11 🗀	"Pavement damage increases rapidly with higher axle
	loads,"
	" Actually increases faster than the loads increase."
	According to the Wisconsin Transportation Bulletin # 8, November, 2003:
12 🗀	40% more payload
	on a truck can cause
	100% more damage
	to the pavement
13 🗀	Pavements
	in Wisconsin are based on
	• 20 year design life
	Estimated number of ESAL's
14 🗀	
1	Increase
	in =

15 ☐ **Bridges** in Wisconsin are based on

ESAL's
Decrease in
Pavement
Life

	• 75 – 80 year design life
	• HS-20 loading
6 🗀	
1	Increase
	Loadings =
2	Decrease
	Life
	Expectancy
7 🗖	I would respectfully request that this committee give consideration to the following items:
8 🗀	To ensure compliance of AB678 / ACT 167,
	Is current level of enforcement effective?
9 🗀	If AB678 / ACT 167
	is allowed to continue as is,

What is the cost to Wisconsin taxpayers considering the current damage being done to roads and bridges?

On behalf of WCHA,
I would like to Thank you for your consideration of this very important issue