| YEAR | ENROLL | ST AID | TAXES | TOTAL | H H | CAP INCR \% INCR |  | EXP Bud | Cap/Bud \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 92-93 | 726 | 2,164,040 | 1,085,863 | 3,249,903 |  |  |  | 4,011,320 | 81\% |
| 93-94 | 735 | 2,460,377 | 1,166,958 | 3,627,335 |  | 377,432 | 11.6 | 4,086,128 | 88.80\% |
| 94-95 | 737 | 2,692,622 | 1,088,076 | 3,780,698 |  | 153,363 | 4.2 | 4,287,751 | 88.20\% |
| 95-96 | 721 | 2,888,163 | 955,188 | 3,843,351 |  | 62,653 | 1.7 | 4,109,197 | 93.50\% |
| 96-97 | 708 | 3,095,839 | 885,489 | 3,981,328 |  | 137,977 | 3.6 | 4,281,543 | 93\% |
| 97-98 | 676/694 | 3,194,421 | 896,661 | 4,091,082 |  | 109,75 | 2.8 | 4,445,094 | 92\% |
| 98-99 | 655 | 3,255,139 | 939,242 | 4,194,381 | 100,256 | 103,299 | 2.5 | 4,698,294 | 89.30\% |
| 99-2000 | 631 | 3,327,758 | 864,758 | 4,192,516 | 116,444 | <1865> |  | 4,651,866 | 90\% |
| 2000-01 | 621 | 3,378,739 | 823,003 | 4,257,141 | 53,515 | 64,625 | 1.5 | 4,917,002 | 87\% |
| 2001-02 | 614 | 3,460,845 | 816,218 | 4,277,061 | 34,548 | 19,920 | 0.5 | 4,845,785 | 88\% |
| 2002-03 | 583 | 3,448,402 | 913,960 | 4,362,362 | 64,257 | 85,301 | 2.0 | 5,019,006 | 87\% |
| 2003-04 | 606 | 3,495,386 | 989,014 | 4,484,400 | 0 | 122,038 | 2.8 | 5,140,000 | 87.00\% |
| 2004-05 | 613 | 3,642,235 | 1,137,645 | 4,781,400 | 0 | 297,000 | 6.60\% | 5,484,996 | 87.17\% |
| 2005-06 | 622 | 3,956,786 | 1,239,211 | 5,195,997 |  | 414,597 | 8.67\% | 5,800,000 | 89.59\% |

97-98 was the first year the state did anything about declining enrollment. If you retained less than $98 \%$ of the previous year's enrollment, you used that $98 \%$ figure for your calculation. Since then it has dropped to $75 \%$ and the amount of money added to the formula is taken out, re-calculated, and put back in. The budget totals for the years 92-2006 are actual.
There are also a variety of reasons for the increase and decrease of taxes in the district.

The base year was 1992-93. This is important as we look for changes in the school funding formula.
Because we have to pull the "hold harmless" amount out and re-calculate, we were stuck in a cap rut or spiral.
It initially saved the district, but now it is hurting the district.
As salaries continue to grow (3.8\% or more), and a large part of the revenue does not. We will continue to cut from operations, staff, and eventually from programs.

HH is hold harmless.
Since 2003-04, we qualified for the low spending limit increase (up to $\$ 7,400$ per student, $7,800,8,100$ respectively).
*** The district passed a $\$ 150,000$ revenue cap exemption which added to the total cap.
The actual increrase in revenue cap for 2005-06 was only 264,597.

