

WISCONSIN LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL REPORT TO THE LEGISLATURE

# SPECIAL COMMITTEE ON LOCAL SERVICE CONSOLIDATION

[2011 Assembly Bills 138, 139, and 140; and 2011 Assembly Joint Resolution 41]

May 20, 2011

RL 2011-04

# **Special Committee on Local Service Consolidation**

Prepared by: Jessica Karls-Ruplinger and Scott Grosz, Senior Staff Attorneys; and Melissa Schmidt, Staff Attorney May 20, 2011

#### **CONTENTS**

PART I - KEY PROVISIONS OF COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATIONS	3
2011 Assembly Bill 138, Relating to County and Municipal Expenditures for Emergency Services	3
2011 Assembly Bill 139, Relating to Loans to Study or Implement the Consolidation, or Cooperation for the Provision, of Local Governmental Unit Services or the Consolidation of Local Governmental Units and Granting Rule-Making Authority	3
2011 Assembly Bill 140, Relating to Exceptions to County and Municipal Levy Limits and School District Revenue Limits for Expenditures Related to the Implementation of Service Consolidation or Cooperation, or to the Implementation of Political Subdivision or School District Consolidation	3
2011 Assembly Joint Resolution 41, Relating to Different Property Tax Levy Rates for Parts of Cities, Villages, Towns, Counties, and School Districts Added by Attachments to School Districts, Consolidations, and Boundary Changes Under Cooperative Agreements (First Consideration)	4
PART II - COMMITTEE ACTIVITY	5
Assignment	5
Summary of Meetings	5
PART III - RECOMMENDATIONS INTRODUCED BY THE JOINT LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL	7
Assembly Bill 138, Relating to County and Municipal Expenditures for Emergency Services	7
Assembly Bill 139, Relating to Loans to Study or Implement the Consolidation, or Cooperation for the Provision, of Local Governmental Unit Services or the Consolidation of Local Governmental Units and Granting Rule-Making Authority	7
Assembly Bill 140, Relating to Exceptions to County and Municipal Levy Limits and School District Revenue Limits for Expenditures Related to the Implementation of Service Consolidation or Cooperation, or to the Implementation of Political Subdivision or School District Consolidation	8
Assembly Joint Resolution 41, Relating to Different Property Tax Levy Rates for Parts of Cities, Villages, Towns, Counties, and School Districts Added by Attachments to School	

Districts, Consolidations, and Boundary Changes Under Cooperative Agreements (First Consideration)	9
Appendix 1 - Committee and Joint Legislative Council Votes	. 11
Appendix 2 - List of Joint Legislative Council Members	13
Appendix 3 - List of Committee Members	15
Appendix 4 - Committee Materials List	. 17

## **PART I**

# **KEY PROVISIONS OF COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATIONS**

The Joint Legislative Council recommends the following for introduction in the 2011-12 Session of the Legislature.

#### 2011 Assembly Bill 138, Relating to County and Municipal Expenditures for Emergency Services

Current law requires that, beginning in 2010, the amount that each county or municipality spends annually for emergency services that are funded by county or municipal aid payments (shared revenue) be no less than the amount that the county or municipality spent in 2009 for emergency services, not including capital expenditures and one-time expenses. If a county or municipality does not comply with this requirement, the Department of Revenue (DOR) may reduce payments to the county or municipality under the shared revenue program. With the approval of DOR, a county or municipality may decrease the amount it spends for emergency services below its 2009 amount if the decrease is a result of operating more efficiently.

Assembly Bill 138 repeals the law related to maintenance of effort for emergency services.

#### 2011 Assembly Bill 139, Relating to Loans to Study or Implement the Consolidation, or Cooperation for the Provision, of Local Governmental Unit Services or the Consolidation of Local Governmental Units and Granting Rule-Making Authority

Assembly Bill 139 creates a local governmental unit consolidation program, whereby a local governmental unit, or a combination of two or more local governmental units, may receive a loan to study or implement the consolidation, or cooperation for the provision, of local governmental unit services or the consolidation of local governmental units. The program is administered by the Department of Administration (DOA).

#### 2011 Assembly Bill 140, Relating to Exceptions to County and Municipal Levy Limits and School District Revenue Limits for Expenditures Related to the Implementation of Service Consolidation or Cooperation, or to the Implementation of Political Subdivision or School District Consolidation

Under current law, county and municipal levy increases are limited, with certain exceptions, to the greater of 3% or the percentage change in the local government's equalized value due to new construction, less improvements removed. With regard to exceptions from the levy limit, current law allows several levy limit adjustments, including adjustments in cases where one political subdivision that has entered into an intergovernmental cooperation agreement to jointly provide a service on a consolidated basis with another political subdivision agrees to lower its allowable levy in order to allow the 2nd political subdivision to increase its allowable levy, so that the resulting levies achieve a more equitable distribution of payments for services.

Additionally, under current law, school districts are subject to revenue limits. If a school district exceeds its revenue limit, the superintendent of public instruction shall deduct from the state aid payment to the school district an amount equal to the amount by which the district's revenue exceeds its revenue limit.

Assembly Bill 140 provides an exception from the levy limit for the amount that a county, city, village, or town levies to implement the consolidation, or cooperation for the provision, of one or more services, or to implement the consolidation of political subdivisions. The bill limits the amount of the exception to 0.1% of the amount upon which the limit is applied.

This bill also provides an exception from the revenue limit for the amount that a school district expends, not to exceed 0.1% of the school district's budget, to implement the consolidation, or cooperation for the provision, of one or more services, or to implement the consolidation of school districts.

#### 2011 Assembly Joint Resolution 41, Relating to Different Property Tax Levy Rates for Parts of Cities, Villages, Towns, Counties, and School Districts Added by Attachments to School Districts, Consolidations, and Boundary Changes Under Cooperative Agreements (First Consideration)

Wisconsin Constitution, Article VIII, Section 1, provides that the "rule of taxation shall be uniform," subject to specified exceptions.

Assembly Joint Resolution 41 is a constitutional amendment, proposed to the 2011 Legislature on first consideration, that excepts from the requirement of the Uniformity Clause parts of cities, villages, towns, counties, and school districts added by attachments to school districts, by consolidations, and by boundary changes under cooperative agreements with other cities, villages, towns, counties, and school districts. The proposed constitutional amendment permits the governing body of the city, village, town, county, or school district to set different property tax levy rates on the parts for not more than 12 years, but the rates for each part must be uniform within that part.

A constitutional amendment requires adoption by two successive Legislatures, and ratification by the people, before it can become effective.

# PART II COMMITTEE ACTIVITY

#### Assignment

The Joint Legislative Council established the Special Committee on Local Service Consolidation and appointed the chairperson by a May 7, 2010 mail ballot. The committee was directed to review current law and practice regarding the provision of services by local units of government in order to determine whether current law should be amended to facilitate the methods by which local units of government can partner to efficiently and cost-effectively provide services, including police, fire, and educational services, to their constituents.

Membership of the Special Committee was appointed by June 30, 2010 and January 6, 2011 mail ballots. The final committee membership consisted of three Representatives and 14 public members. A list of committee members is included as *Appendix 3* to this report.

## **Summary of Meetings**

The Special Committee held four meetings on the following dates:

August 31, 2010 October 5, 2010 November 10, 2010 (Milwaukee) December 14, 2010

At the <u>August 31, 2010 meeting</u>, the Special Committee heard testimony from several invited speakers on local consolidation and coordination. Testimony included examples of local consolidation and coordination and the factors that affect the viability of consolidation efforts. The speakers were: **Gary Becker**, Executive Director, Local Government Institute of Wisconsin; **Robert Henken**, President, Public Policy Forum; **Chuck Law**, Director and Community Planning and Design Specialist, and **Alan Probst**, Local Government Specialist, University of Wisconsin (UW)-Extension's Local Government Center, and **Steven Deller**, Professor, Department of Agricultural and Applied Economics, UW-Madison.

At the <u>October 5, 2010 meeting</u>, the Special Committee heard testimony from several invited speakers on examples of local consolidation and coordination, as well as potential recommendations for committee action. Testimony included a description of the consolidation of the Beloit City Health Department and Rock County Health Department and discussion of recycling programs. The speakers were: Larry Arft, City Manager, City of Beloit, and Phil Boutwell, Assistant to the County Administrator, Rock County; Ann Coakley, Director, and Cynthia Moore, Recycling Program Coordinator, Bureau of Waste and Materials Management, DNR; and Curt Witynski, Assistant Director, League of Wisconsin Municipalities. The committee reviewed potential recommendations for committee action that were submitted by invited speakers and committee members.

At the <u>November 10, 2010 meeting</u>, the Special Committee heard testimony from several invited speakers. **Paul Kent** and **Connie Anderson**, Partners, Stafford Rosenbaum, LLP, explained stormwater requirements. **Mark Rohlfing**, Fire Chief, City of Milwaukee, and **Dennis Yaccarino**, Office of Budget and Management, City of Milwaukee, described the agreement between the City of Milwaukee and the Village of West Milwaukee whereby the city would provide fire services to the village. **David Callender**, Legislative Associate, Wisconsin Counties Association (WCA), and **Andrew Phillips**, Legal Counsel, WCA, explained impediments to collaboration that exist in state law. **Deb Detrick**, Planning Analyst, Metropolitan Council, explained the fiscal disparities program in Minnesota. **Christine Cramer**, Senior Economic Consultant, Ruekert-Mielke, Inc., described the revenue sharing agreement between the City of Racine and its outlying municipalities.

The committee discussed the following bill drafts:

- WLC: 0001/1, relating to county and municipal expenditures for emergency services.
- WLC: 0002/1, relating to different property tax levy rates for parts of cities, villages, towns, counties, and school districts added by attachments to school districts, consolidations, and boundary changes under cooperative agreements (first consideration).
- WLC: 0004/P1, relating to loans and grants to study and implement the consolidation of municipal services.
- WLC: 0019/1, relating to an exception to county and municipal levy limits for expenditures related to the study or implementation of service consolidation.

At the December 14, 2010 meeting, the Special Committee discussed the following bill drafts:

- WLC: 0001/1, relating to county and municipal expenditures for emergency services.
- WLC: 0002/1, relating to different property tax levy rates for parts of cities, villages, towns, counties, and school districts added by attachments to school districts, consolidations, and boundary changes under cooperative agreements (first consideration).
- WLC: 0004/1, relating to loans to implement the consolidation, or cooperation for the provision, of local governmental unit services or the consolidation of local governmental units.
- WLC: 0019/2, relating to an exception to county and municipal levy limits for expenditures related to the implementation of service consolidation or cooperation or the implementation of political subdivision consolidation.
- WLC: 0043/1, relating to an exception to school district revenue limits for expenditures related to the implementation of service consolidation or cooperation, or to the implementation of school district consolidation.

The committee discussed changes to WLC: 0004/1, as well as the consolidation of WLC: 0019/2 and WLC: 0043/1 into a single bill draft. Lastly, the committee decided to submit all bill drafts to committee members for their vote by mail ballot.

#### **PART III**

# RECOMMENDATIONS INTRODUCED BY THE JOINT LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL

This part of the report provides background information on, and a description of, the bills as recommended by the Special Committee on Local Service Consolidation and introduced by the Joint Legislative Council.

### Assembly Bill 138, Relating to County and Municipal Expenditures for Emergency Services

#### Background

Current law requires that, beginning in 2010, the amount that each county or municipality spends annually for emergency services that are funded by county or municipal aid payments (shared revenue) be no less than the amount that the county or municipality spent in 2009 for emergency services, not including capital expenditures and one-time expenses. If a county or municipality does not comply with this requirement, DOR may reduce payments to the county or municipality under the shared revenue program. With the approval of DOR, a county or municipality may decrease the amount it spends for emergency services below its 2009 amount if the decrease is a result of operating more efficiently.

The committee heard testimony about, and discussed, the law relating to the maintenance of effort for emergency services and its effect on local service consolidation and cooperation. The committee recommended repealing the law related to maintenance of effort for emergency services in order to remove any impediment to consolidation and cooperation created by the law.

#### Description

Assembly Bill 138 repeals the law related to maintenance of effort for emergency services.

## Assembly Bill 139, Relating to Loans to Study or Implement the Consolidation, or Cooperation for the Provision, of Local Governmental Unit Services or the Consolidation of Local Governmental Units and Granting Rule-Making Authority

#### Background

The committee heard testimony about the costs associated with studying and implementing local consolidation or cooperation. Current law does not provide financial assistance to local governmental units (county, city, village, town, or school district) that are studying or implementing local service consolidation or cooperation or the consolidation of local governmental units. The committee recommended the creation of a local governmental unit consolidation program to encourage local consolidation or cooperation by providing a loan program for the study or implementation of consolidation or cooperation.

#### Description

Assembly Bill 139 creates a local governmental unit consolidation program, whereby a local governmental unit, or a combination of two or more local governmental units, may receive a loan to

study or implement the consolidation, or cooperation for the provision, of local governmental unit services or the consolidation of local governmental units. The program is administered by DOA. The bill creates appropriation accounts but does not contain an appropriation amount.

Under the bill, DOA must give preference in making a loan under the program to the implementation of consolidation or cooperation over the study of consolidation or cooperation. If two or more local governmental units wish to engage in consolidation or cooperation together, all local governmental units involved must submit a joint application to DOA. In determining whether to make a loan, DOA must consider the following:

- A demonstrated probability that the proposed activities will reduce the cost of providing local governmental unit services or improve the level of services provided by the local governmental units.
- Whether the activities might occur without the loan.
- The extent to which the proposed activities will contribute to regional cooperation and minimize competition for economic development between local governmental units.
- Any other criteria established by DOA by rule.

The bill requires that DOA obtain reimbursement of loans made under the program and that DOA issue a decision on the loan application within 45 days after receiving an application. DOA may charge an origination fee to a loan recipient if the loan equals or exceeds \$10,000 and may charge interest on loans made under the program. Lastly, DOA must promulgate rules that include the content of loan applications; procedures for submitting applications for loans and evaluating applications; conditions applicable to loans; procedures for monitoring and auditing loans; and a biennial plan for making loans under the program.

# Assembly Bill 140, Relating to Exceptions to County and Municipal Levy Limits and School District Revenue Limits for Expenditures Related to the Implementation of Service Consolidation or Cooperation, or to the Implementation of Political Subdivision or School District Consolidation

#### Background

Under current law, county and municipal levy increases are limited, with certain exceptions, to the greater of 3% or the percentage change in the local government's equalized value due to new construction, less improvements removed. With regard to exceptions from the levy limit, current law allows levy limit adjustments in cases where one political subdivision that has entered into an intergovernmental cooperation agreement to jointly provide a service on a consolidated basis with another political subdivision agrees to lower its allowable levy in order to allow the 2<sup>nd</sup> political subdivision to increase its allowable levy, so that the resulting levies achieve a more equitable distribution of payments for services.

Additionally, under current law, school districts are subject to revenue limits. If a school district exceeds its revenue limit, the superintendent of public instruction shall deduct from the state aid payment to the school district an amount equal to the amount by which the district's revenue exceeds its revenue limit.

The committee heard testimony about the costs associated with studying and implementing local consolidation or cooperation. The committee recommended providing an exception to levy limits

for costs associated with studying or implementing local consolidation or cooperation to encourage consolidation or cooperation.

#### Description

Assembly Bill 140 provides an exception from the levy limit for the amount that a county, city, village, or town levies to implement the consolidation, or cooperation for the provision, of one or more services, or to implement the consolidation of political subdivisions. The bill limits the amount of the exception to 0.1% of the amount upon which the limit is applied.

The bill also provides an exception from the revenue limit for the amount that a school district expends, not to exceed 0.1% of the school district's budget, to implement the consolidation, or cooperation for the provision, of one or more services, or to implement the consolidation of school districts.

# Assembly Joint Resolution 41, Relating to Different Property Tax Levy Rates for Parts of Cities, Villages, Towns, Counties, and School Districts Added by Attachments to School Districts, Consolidations, and Boundary Changes Under Cooperative Agreements (First Consideration)

#### Background

Wisconsin Constitution, Article VIII, Section 1, provides that the "rule of taxation shall be uniform," subject to specified exceptions.

The committee heard testimony about the Uniformity Clause and its effect on local service consolidation and cooperation. Specifically, the committee discussed the inability of municipalities to have different tax rates upon consolidation, as a result of the Uniformity Clause, as a predominant challenge to consolidation efforts of municipalities. The committee discussed the specific instances where the Uniformity Clause was a barrier. It recommended modifications to the Uniformity Clause in order to remove any impediment to consolidation and cooperation created by the Wisconsin Constitution.

#### Description

Assembly Joint Resolution 41 is a proposed constitutional amendment, proposed to the 2011 Legislature on first consideration, that excepts from the requirement of the Uniformity Clause parts of cities, villages, towns, counties, and school districts added by attachments to school districts, by consolidations, and by boundary changes under cooperative agreements with other cities, villages, towns, counties, and school districts. The proposed constitutional amendment permits the governing body of the city, village, town, county, or school district to set different property tax levy rates on the parts for not more than 12 years, but the rates for each part must be uniform within that part.

A constitutional amendment requires adoption by two successive Legislatures, and ratification by the people, before it can become effective.

# **Appendix 1**

## **Committee and Joint Legislative Council Votes**

The following bills were recommended by the Special Committee on Local Service Consolidation to the Joint Legislative Council for introduction in the 2011-12 Session of the Legislature.

## **Special Committee Vote**

The Special Committee voted, by a February 4, 2011 mail ballot, to recommend the following four drafts to the Joint Legislative Council for introduction in the 2011-12 Session of the Legislature. The votes on the drafts are as follows:

- WLC: 0001/1, relating to county and municipal expenditures for emergency services, passed by a vote of Ayes, 13 (Rep. Zepnick; and Public Members Amesqua, Bilbao, Chamberlain, Cruse, Czarnezki, Dresang, Hartje, Heim, Hicklin, Mielke, Nicolini, and O'Loughlin); Noes, 3 (Rep. Stone; and Public Members Abelson and Woodzicka); and Not Voting, 1 (Rep. Pocan). [WLC: 0001/1 subsequently became LRB-1430/1.]
- WLC: 0002/1, relating to different property tax levy rates for parts of cities, villages, towns, counties, and school districts added by attachments to school districts, consolidations, and boundary changes under cooperative agreements (first consideration), passed by a vote of Ayes, 15 (Reps. Zepnick and Stone; and Public Members Amesqua, Bilbao, Chamberlain, Cruse, Czarnezki, Dresang, Hartje, Heim, Hicklin, Mielke, Nicolini, O'Loughlin, and Woodzicka); Noes, 1 (Public Member Abelson); and Not Voting, 1 (Rep. Pocan).
- WLC: 0004/2, relating to loans to study or implement the consolidation, or cooperation for the provision, of local governmental unit services or the consolidation of local governmental units, and granting rule-making authority, passed by a vote of Ayes, 14 (Reps. Zepnick and Stone; and Public Members Amesqua, Bilbao, Chamberlain, Cruse, Czarnezki, Dresang, Hartje, Heim, Mielke, Nicolini, O'Loughlin, and Woodzicka); Noes, 2 (Public Members Abelson and Hicklin); and Not Voting, 1 (Rep. Pocan). [WLC: 0004/2 subsequently became LRB-0654/1.]
- WLC: 0075/1, relating to exceptions to county and municipal levy limits and school district revenue limits for expenditures related to the implementation of service consolidation or cooperation, or to the implementation of political subdivision or school district consolidation, passed by a vote of Ayes, 14 (Rep. Zepnick; and Public Members Amesqua, Bilbao, Chamberlain, Cruse, Czarnezki, Dresang, Hartje, Heim, Hicklin, Mielke, Nicolini, O'Loughlin, and Woodzicka); Noes, 2 (Rep. Stone; and Public Member Abelson); and Not Voting, 1 (Rep. Pocan).

# Joint Legislative Council Vote

At its May 4, 2011 meeting, the Joint Legislative Council voted as follows on the recommendations of the Special Committee:

Rep. Barca moved, seconded by Sen. Schultz, that the following three drafts recommended by the Special Committee on Local Service Consolidation, be introduced by the Joint Legislative Council:

1. WLC: 0002/1, relating to different property tax levy rates for parts of cities, villages, towns, counties, and school districts added

by attachments to school districts, consolidations, and boundary changes under cooperative agreements (first consideration).

- 2. LRB-0654/1, relating to loans to study or implement the consolidation, or cooperation for the provision, of local governmental unit services or the consolidation of local governmental units, and granting rule-making authority.
- 3. WLC: 0075/1, relating to exceptions to county and municipal levy limits and school district revenue limits for expenditures related to the implementation of service consolidation or cooperation, or to the implementation of political subdivision or school district consolidation.

The motion passed on a roll call vote as follows: Ayes, 13 (Reps. Ballweg, Barca, Berceau, Fitzgerald, Grigsby, Kaufert and Nygren; and Sens. Cullen, Darling, Erpenbach, Miller, Schultz and Taylor); Noes, 3 (Rep. Suder; and Sens. Lazich and Lasee); and Absent, 6 (Reps. Kramer, Pasch and Vos; and Sens. Fitzgerald, Galloway and Leibham).

[Sens. Fitzgerald and Galloway noted that had they been present, they would have voted "aye."]

Sen. Lazich moved, seconded by Sen. Schultz, that **LRB-1430/1**, relating to county and municipal expenditures for emergency services, be introduced by the Joint Legislative Council. The motion passed on a roll call vote as follows: Ayes, 16 (Reps. Ballweg, Barca, Berceau, Fitzgerald, Grigsby, Kaufert, Nygren and Suder; and Sens. Lazich, Cullen, Darling, Erpenbach, Lasee, Miller, Schultz and Taylor); Noes, 0; and Absent, 6 (Reps. Kramer, Pasch and Vos; and Sens. Fitzgerald, Galloway and Leibham).

[Sens. Fitzgerald and Galloway noted that had they been present, they would have voted "aye."]

# **Appendix 2**

## **Joint Legislative Council**

[Joint Legislative Council Members Who Selected and Appointed Committee and Its Membership]

#### <u>Co-Chair</u>

FRED A. RISSER Senate President 100 Wisconsin Avenue, Unit 501 Madison, WI 53703

**SPENCER COGGS** 7819 W. Potomac Avenue Milwaukee, WI 53222

ALBERTA DARLING 1325 West Dean Road River Hills, WI 53217

RUSSELL DECKER Majority Leader 6803 Lora Lee Lane Schofield, WI 54476

SCOTT FITZGERALD Minority Leader N4692 Maple Road Juneau, WI 53039

#### JOAN BALLWEG

170 W. Summit Street Markesan, WI 53946

# **TERESE BERCEAU** 4326 Somerset Lane

Madison, WI 53711

**SPENCER BLACK** 5742 Elder Place Madison, WI 53705

#### **JEFF FITZGERALD** *Minority Leader*

910 Sunset Horicon, WI 53032 SENATORS SHEILA HARSDORF N6627 County Road E River Falls, WI 54022

**PAT KREITLOW** *President Pro Tempore* 15854 93<sup>rd</sup> Avenue Chippewa Falls, WI 54729

MARK MILLER 4903 Roigan Terrace Monona, WI 53716

#### <u>Co-Chair</u>

MARLIN D. SCHNEIDER Representative 3820 Southbrook Lane Wisconsin Rapids, WI 54494

JUDY ROBSON 2411 E. Ridge Road Beloit, WI 53511

DALE SCHULTZ 515 North Central Avenue Richland Center, WI 53581

**ROBERT WIRCH** 3007 Springbrook Road Pleasant Prairie, WI 53158

#### REPRESENTATIVES

**DEAN KAUFERT** 1360 Alpine Lane Neenah, WI 54956

**THOMAS NELSON** *Majority Leader* 1510 Orchard Drive Kaukauna, WI 54130

MARK POCAN 309 N. Baldwin Street Madison, WI 53703 MICHAEL SHERIDAN Speaker

1032 Nantucket Drive Janesville, WI 53546

**TONY STASKUNAS** Speaker Pro Tempore 2010 South 103<sup>rd</sup> Court

West Allis, WI 53227

**ROBIN VOS** 4710 Eastwood Ridge Racine, WI 53406

This 22-member committee consists of the majority and minority party leadership of both houses of the Legislature, the co-chairs and ranking minority members of the Joint Committee on Finance, and 5 Senators and 5 Representatives appointed as are members of standing committees.

## **Joint Legislative Council**

[Current Joint Legislative Council Members Who Received Committee Report]

#### SENATE MEMBERS

MARY LAZICH, Co-Chair 4405 South 129th Street New Berlin, WI 53151

**TIM CULLEN** 3711 N. Spring Hill Drive Janesville, WI 53545

ALBERTA DARLING 1325 West Dean Road River Hills, WI 53217

**JON ERPENBACH** 6150 Briggs Road Waunakee, WI 53597

#### SCOTT FITZGERALD

Majority Leader N4692 Maple Road Juneau, WI 53039

**PAM GALLOWAY** 1506 Pine View Lane Wausau, WI 54403

FRANK LASEE Room 104 South, State Capitol P.O. Box 7882 Madison, WI 53707-7882

**JOE LEIBHAM** *President Pro Tempore* 3618 River Ridge Drive Sheboygan, WI 53083

MARK MILLER Minority Leader 4903 Roigan Terrace Monona, WI 53716

DALE SCHULTZ 515 North Central Avenue Richland Center, WI 53581

**LENA TAYLOR** 1518 West Capitol Milwaukee, WI 53206

#### ASSEMBLY MEMBERS

JOAN BALLWEG, Co-Chair 170 W. Summit Street Markesan, WI 53946

**PETER BARCA** *Minority Leader* 1339 38 Ave. Kenosha, WI 53144

**TERESE BERCEAU** 4326 Somerset Lane Madison, WI 53711

JEFF FITZGERALD Speaker 910 Sunset Horicon, WI 53032

**TAMARA GRIGSBY** 2354 N. 41st Street Milwaukee, WI 53210

**DEAN KAUFERT** 1360 Alpine Lane Neenah, WI 54956

**BILL KRAMER** Speaker Pro Tempore 2005 Cliff Alex Ct. South, #3 Waukesha, WI 53189

**JOHN NYGREN** N2118 Keller Rd. Marinette, WI 54143

**SANDY PASCH** 6301 N. Berkeley Blvd. Whitefish Bay, WI 53217

SCOTT SUDER

*Majority Leader* 102 South 4th Avenue Abbotsford, WI 54405

**ROBIN VOS** 960 Rock Ridge Road Burlington, WI 53105

This 22-member committee consists of the majority and minority party leadership of both houses of the Legislature, the co-chairs and ranking minority members of the Joint Committee on Finance, and 5 Senators and 5 Representatives appointed as are members of standing committees.

Terry C. Anderson, Director, Legislative Council Staff 1 East Main Street, Suite 401, P.O. Box 2536, Madison, Wisconsin 53701-2536

# **Appendix 3**

### LOCAL SERVICE CONSOLIDATION

Representative Josh Zepnick, Chair 3173 South 39th Street Milwaukee, WI 53219

Representative Mark Pocan 309 North Baldwin Madison, WI 53703

Richard Abelson Executive Director AFSCME, Milwaukee District Council 48 4315 North Lake Drive Shorewood, WI 53211

Allan D. Bilbao Supervisor, Town of Rome P.O. Box 203 Nekoosa, WI 54457

Tina Cruse President, Shullsburg School District 23735 Cty W Shullsburg, WI 53586

Dennis Dresang Professor Emeritus of Public Affairs and Political Science La Follette Institute of Public Affairs, UW-Madison 440 Virginia Terrace Madison, WI 53726

Joe Heim Professor, UW-La Crosse 425D Wimberly Hall, 1725 State Street La Crosse, WI 54601

William Mielke President/CEO Ruekert Mielke W233 N2080 Ridgeview Parkway Waukesha, WI 53188-1020

Dennis O'Loughlin Member, Dane County Board 3934 Partridge Road DeForest, WI 53532 Representative Jeff Stone 5535 Grandview Drive Greendale, WI 53129

Debra Amesqua Fire Chief City of Madison Fire Department 325 W. Johnson St. Madison, WI 53703

Ron Chamberlain Highway Comm., Adams County 1342 Cty F Adams, WI 53910

Joseph J. Czarnezki Milwaukee County Clerk 901 N. 9th St., Rm. 105 Milwaukee, WI 53233

Ken Hartje Chair, Public Works Committee City of Nekoosa 1011 West 5th Street Nekoosa, WI 54457

Chuck Hicklin Controller, Dane County 5334 Queensbridge Road Madison, WI 53714

Mark Nicolini Dir., Office of Budget & Management City of Milwaukee 200 East Wells Street, Room 603 Milwaukee, WI 53202

Michael Woodzicka State Pres., Prof. Fire Fighters of WI N1264 Lauden Lane Hortonville, WI 54944

STUDY ASSIGNMENT: The Special Committee is directed to review current law and practice regarding the provision of services by local units of government in order to determine whether current law should be amended to facilitate the methods by which local units of government can partner to efficiently and cost-effectively provide services, including police, fire, and educational services, to their constituents.

17 MEMBERS: 3 Representatives; and 14 Public Members.

LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL STAFF: Scott Grosz, Jessica Karls-Ruplinger, and Melissa Schmidt, Staff Attorneys; and Kelly Mautz, Support Staff.

# **Committee Materials List**

#### (Copies of documents are available at <u>www.legis.state.wi.us/lc</u>)

<u>Results</u> letter.				
mmendations to the Jo	int Legislative	Council (May 4	, 2011)	
Memo to Members of the S	pecial Committee, (	Council Consideration	of Committee Re	<i>port (</i> April 27, 201
Proposed Report 2011-04,	•		•	ry 18, 2010).
LRB-1430/1, relating to cou	<i>,</i> ,	•	5 /	towno counting o
<u>WLC: 0002/1</u> , relating to di school districts added by at cooperative agreements (fir	tachments to schoo			
<u>LRB-0654/1</u> , relating to loa local governmental unit ser authority.				
WLC: 0075/1, relating to e for expenditures related to implementation of political	the implementation	of service consolida	tion or cooperatio	
ts of February 4, 2011	Mail Ballot			
Results of February 4, 2011				
uary 4, 2011 Mail Ballo	t			
WLC: 0001/1, relating to co	ounty and municipa	l expenditures for en	nergency services.	
WLC: 0002/1, relating to di		k levy rates for parts		
school districts added by at		ol districts, consolidat	ions, and bounda	ry changes under
cooperative agreements (fin	rst consideration).			, ,
	rst consideration). ans to study or imp	lement the consolida	ation, or cooperati	on for the provision
cooperative agreements (fin <u>WLC: 0004/2</u> , relating to lo local governmental unit ser authority. <u>WLC: 0075/1</u> , relating to e	rst consideration). ans to study or imp vices or the consoli exceptions to county	blement the consolida dation of local goven and municipal levy	ation, or cooperati nmental units, and limits and school o	on for the provisio d granting rule-ma district revenue lim
cooperative agreements (fin <u>WLC: 0004/2</u> , relating to lo local governmental unit ser authority. <u>WLC: 0075/1</u> , relating to e for expenditures related to	rst consideration). ans to study or imp vices or the consoli exceptions to county the implementation	blement the consolida dation of local gover and municipal levy of service consolida	ation, or cooperati nmental units, and limits and school o tion or cooperatio	on for the provisio d granting rule-ma district revenue lim
cooperative agreements (fin <u>WLC: 0004/2</u> , relating to lo local governmental unit ser authority. <u>WLC: 0075/1</u> , relating to e for expenditures related to implementation of political	rst consideration). ans to study or imp vices or the consoli exceptions to county the implementation subdivision or scho	blement the consolida dation of local govern and municipal levy of service consolidation	ation, or cooperati nmental units, and limits and school o tion or cooperatio on.	on for the provisio d granting rule-ma district revenue lim
cooperative agreements (fin <u>WLC: 0004/2</u> , relating to lo local governmental unit ser authority. <u>WLC: 0075/1</u> , relating to e for expenditures related to implementation of political <u>Memo No. 3</u> , <i>Comparison o</i>	rst consideration). ans to study or imp vices or the consoli exceptions to county the implementation subdivision or scho f WLC: 0004/1 and	dement the consolidation of local gover and municipal levy of service consolidation of district consolidation WLC: 0004/2 (Febru	ation, or cooperati nmental units, and limits and school of tion or cooperatio on. ary 3, 2011).	on for the provisio d granting rule-ma district revenue lim n, or to the
cooperative agreements (fir <u>WLC: 0004/2</u> , relating to lo local governmental unit ser authority. <u>WLC: 0075/1</u> , relating to e for expenditures related to implementation of political <u>Memo No. 3</u> , <i>Comparison o</i>	rst consideration). ans to study or imp vices or the consoli exceptions to county the implementation subdivision or scho	blement the consolida dation of local govern and municipal levy of service consolidation	ation, or cooperati nmental units, and limits and school o tion or cooperatio on.	on for the provision d granting rule-mal district revenue lim
cooperative agreements (fin <u>WLC: 0004/2</u> , relating to lo local governmental unit ser authority. <u>WLC: 0075/1</u> , relating to e for expenditures related to implementation of political <u>Memo No. 3</u> , <i>Comparison o</i> <b>mber 14, 2010</b> <u>Memo No. 2</u> , <i>WLC: 0004/1</i>	rst consideration). ans to study or imp vices or the consoli exceptions to county the implementation subdivision or scho f WLC: 0004/1 and Notice and WLC: 0019/2 (	Accenda December 7, 2010)	ation, or cooperation nmental units, and limits and school of tion or cooperatio on. ary 3, 2011). Audio	on for the provision d granting rule-mal district revenue lim n, or to the <u>Minutes</u>
cooperative agreements (fin <u>WLC: 0004/2</u> , relating to lo local governmental unit ser authority. <u>WLC: 0075/1</u> , relating to e for expenditures related to implementation of political <u>Memo No. 3</u> , <i>Comparison o</i> <b>mber 14, 2010</b> <u>Memo No. 2</u> , <i>WLC: 0004/1</i> <u>WLC: 0004/1</u> , relating to lo	rst consideration). ans to study or imp vices or the consoli exceptions to county the implementation subdivision or scho f WLC: 0004/1 and Notice and WLC: 0019/2 ( ans to implement t	Accenda December 7, 2010) he consolidation, or of	ation, or cooperation nmental units, and limits and school of tion or cooperatio on. ary 3, 2011). Audio	on for the provision d granting rule-mal district revenue lim n, or to the <u>Minutes</u>
cooperative agreements (fin <u>WLC: 0004/2</u> , relating to lo local governmental unit ser authority. <u>WLC: 0075/1</u> , relating to e for expenditures related to implementation of political <u>Memo No. 3</u> , <i>Comparison o</i> <b>mber 14, 2010</b> <u>Memo No. 2</u> , <i>WLC: 0004/1</i> <u>WLC: 0004/1</u> , relating to lo governmental unit services	rst consideration). ans to study or imp vices or the consoli exceptions to county the implementation subdivision or scho f WLC: 0004/1 and Notice and WLC: 0019/2 ( ans to implement t or the consolidation	Agenda December 7, 2010) he consolidation, or of because a solution, or of he focal government	ation, or cooperation mental units, and limits and school of tion or cooperatio on. ary 3, 2011). Audio cooperation for the tal units.	on for the provision d granting rule-mal district revenue lim n, or to the <u>Minutes</u> e provision, of loca
cooperative agreements (fin <u>WLC: 0004/2</u> , relating to lo local governmental unit ser authority. <u>WLC: 0075/1</u> , relating to e for expenditures related to implementation of political <u>Memo No. 3</u> , <i>Comparison o</i> <b>mber 14, 2010</b> <u>Memo No. 2</u> , <i>WLC: 0004/1</i> <u>WLC: 0004/1</u> , relating to lo	rst consideration). ans to study or imp vices or the consoli exceptions to county the implementation subdivision or scho f WLC: 0004/1 and Notice and WLC: 0019/2 ( ans to implement t or the consolidation n exception to coun	Acenda December 7, 2010) he consolidation, or of hof local govern with a service consolidation WLC: 0004/2 (Febru Acenda	ation, or cooperationmental units, and limits and school of tion or cooperation. ary 3, 2011). Audio cooperation for the tal units.	on for the provision d granting rule-mal district revenue lim n, or to the <u>Minutes</u> e provision, of loca litures related to th
cooperative agreements (fin <u>WLC: 0004/2</u> , relating to lo local governmental unit ser authority. <u>WLC: 0075/1</u> , relating to e for expenditures related to implementation of political <u>Memo No. 3</u> , <i>Comparison o</i> <b>nber 14, 2010</b> <u>Memo No. 2</u> , <i>WLC: 0004/1</i> <u>WLC: 0004/1</u> , relating to lo governmental unit services <u>WLC: 0019/2</u> , relating to an implementation of service of	rst consideration). ans to study or imp vices or the consoli exceptions to county the implementation subdivision or scho f WLC: 0004/1 and Notice and WLC: 0019/2 ( ans to implement t or the consolidation n exception to coun consolidation or coo	Accenda December 7, 2010) he consolidation, or of nof local govern <i>Accenda</i> December 7, 2010) he consolidation, or of nof local governmenty and municipal levy peration or the impleit ol district revenue lin	ation, or cooperationmental units, and limits and school of tion or cooperation or. (ary 3, 2011). (Audio) (Cooperation for the tal units.) (Cooperation for the tal units.) (Cooperation for the tal units for expenditure) (Cooperation of polition) (Cooperation of politic) (Cooperation for the tal units.) (Cooperation for the tal	on for the provision d granting rule-mal district revenue lim n, or to the <u>Minutes</u> e provision, of loca litures related to the cical subdivision res related to the
cooperative agreements (fii <u>WLC: 0004/2</u> , relating to lo local governmental unit ser authority. <u>WLC: 0075/1</u> , relating to effor expenditures related to implementation of political <u>Memo No. 3</u> , <i>Comparison of</i> <b>nber 14, 2010</b> <u>Memo No. 2</u> , <i>WLC: 0004/1</i> <u>WLC: 0004/1</u> , relating to lo governmental unit services <u>WLC: 0019/2</u> , relating to an implementation of service of consolidation. <u>WLC: 0043/1</u> , relating to an implementation of service of consolidation.	rst consideration). ans to study or imp vices or the consoli exceptions to county the implementation subdivision or scho f WLC: 0004/1 and Notice and WLC: 0019/2 ( ans to implement t or the consolidation n exception to coun consolidation or coo	Acenda December 7, 2010) he consolidation, or the imple of district consolidation <i>MLC: 0004/2</i> (Febru Acenda December 7, 2010) he consolidation, or of n of local government ty and municipal leve peration or the imple ol district revenue lin peration, or to the in	ation, or cooperationmental units, and limits and school of tion or cooperation or. arry 3, 2011).  Audio  Cooperation for the tal units.  I limits for expenditumentation of polition of schementation schementati	on for the provision d granting rule-mal district revenue lim n, or to the <u>Minutes</u> e provision, of local litures related to the cical subdivision res related to the school district
cooperative agreements (fin <u>WLC: 0004/2</u> , relating to lo local governmental unit ser authority. <u>WLC: 0075/1</u> , relating to effor expenditures related to implementation of political <u>Memo No. 3</u> , <i>Comparison of</i> <b>mber 14, 2010</b> <u>Memo No. 2</u> , <i>WLC: 0004/1</i> <u>WLC: 0004/1</u> , relating to lo governmental unit services <u>WLC: 0019/2</u> , relating to an implementation of service of consolidation. <u>WLC: 0043/1</u> , relating to an implementation of service of consolidation.	rst consideration). ans to study or imp vices or the consoli exceptions to county the implementation subdivision or scho f WLC: 0004/1 and Notice and WLC: 0019/2 ( ans to implement t or the consolidation in exception to coun consolidation or coo exception to scho consolidation or coo	Agenda December 7, 2010) the consolidation, or of hor of local govern water and municipal levy of service consolidation WLC: 0004/2 (Febru Agenda December 7, 2010) the consolidation, or of hor of local government ty and municipal levy peration or the imple ol district revenue lim peration, or to the imple Deceds Association (N	ation, or cooperationmental units, and limits and school of tion or cooperation or. arry 3, 2011).  Audio  Cooperation for the tal units.  I limits for expenditumentation of polition of schementation schementati	on for the provision d granting rule-mal district revenue lim n, or to the <u>Minutes</u> e provision, of local litures related to the cical subdivision res related to the school district
cooperative agreements (fii <u>WLC: 0004/2</u> , relating to lo local governmental unit ser authority. <u>WLC: 0075/1</u> , relating to e for expenditures related to implementation of political is <u>Memo No. 3</u> , <i>Comparison o</i> <b>mber 14, 2010</b> <u>Memo No. 2</u> , <i>WLC: 0004/1</i> <u>WLC: 0004/1</u> , relating to lo governmental unit services <u>WLC: 0019/2</u> , relating to ar implementation of service of consolidation. <u>WLC: 0043/1</u> , relating to ar implementation of service of consolidation. <u>Letter</u> from Dixie Edge, Wiss <u>Letter</u> from Jay Zahn, Wisco	rst consideration). ans to study or imp vices or the consoli exceptions to county the implementation subdivision or scho f WLC: 0004/1 and Notice and WLC: 0019/2 ( ans to implement t or the consolidation in exception to coun consolidation or coo exception to scho consolidation or coo	Agenda December 7, 2010) the consolidation, or of hor of local govern water and municipal levy of service consolidation WLC: 0004/2 (Febru Agenda December 7, 2010) the consolidation, or of hor of local government ty and municipal levy peration or the imple ol district revenue lim peration, or to the imple Deceds Association (N	ation, or cooperationmental units, and limits and school of tion or cooperation or. (ary 3, 2011). (Audio) (Au	on for the provision d granting rule-main district revenue lim n, or to the <u>Minutes</u> e provision, of loca litures related to the cical subdivision res related to the school district
cooperative agreements (fii <u>WLC: 0004/2</u> , relating to lo local governmental unit ser authority. <u>WLC: 0075/1</u> , relating to e for expenditures related to implementation of political is <u>Memo No. 3</u> , <i>Comparison o</i> <b>mber 14, 2010</b> <u>Memo No. 2</u> , <i>WLC: 0004/1</i> <u>WLC: 0004/1</u> , relating to lo governmental unit services <u>WLC: 0019/2</u> , relating to ar implementation of service of consolidation. <u>WLC: 0043/1</u> , relating to ar implementation of service of consolidation. <u>Letter</u> from Dixie Edge, Wiss <u>Letter</u> from Jay Zahn, Wisco	rst consideration). ans to study or imp vices or the consoli exceptions to county the implementation subdivision or scho f WLC: 0004/1 and Notice and WLC: 0019/2 ( ans to implement t or the consolidation or exception to count consolidation or coo n exception to scho consolidation or coo consolidation or coo sconsin Register of I onsin County Const Notice	Agenda December 7, 2010) the consolidation, or do nof local govern Agenda December 7, 2010) the consolidation, or do nof local governmen ty and municipal leve peration or the imple ol district revenue lim peration, or to the imple Deceds Association (N itutional Officers.	ation, or cooperationmental units, and limits and school of tion or cooperation. arry 3, 2011). Audio Cooperation for the tal units. y limits for expenditure the tal units. y limits for expenditure the tal units of the tal units. Mentation of polition of the tal units. Mentation of polition of the tal units. Mentation of the tal units. Mentation of the tal units. Mentation of tal units to the tal units to the tal units. Mentation of tal units to the tal units to the tal units. Mentation of tal units tal units to the tal units to the tal units. Mentation of tal units tal units tal units to the tal units tal units. Mentation of tal units tal units tal units tal units tal units. Mentation of tal units tal units tal units tal units tal units tal units. Mentation of tal units tal units. Mentation of tal units tal units. Mentation of tal units	on for the provision d granting rule-mail district revenue lim n, or to the <u>Minutes</u> e provision, of loca litures related to the cical subdivision res related to the school district D). <u>Minutes</u>
cooperative agreements (fin <u>WLC: 0004/2</u> , relating to lo local governmental unit ser authority. <u>WLC: 0075/1</u> , relating to effor expenditures related to implementation of political in <u>Memo No. 3</u> , <i>Comparison of</i> <b>mber 14, 2010</b> <u>Memo No. 2</u> , <i>WLC: 0004/1</i> <u>WLC: 0004/1</u> , relating to lo governmental unit services <u>WLC: 0019/2</u> , relating to ar implementation of service of consolidation. <u>WLC: 0043/1</u> , relating to ar implementation of service of consolidation. <u>Letter</u> from Dixie Edge, Wiss <u>Letter</u> from Jay Zahn, Wiscomber <b>10, 2010</b>	rst consideration). ans to study or imp vices or the consoli exceptions to county the implementation subdivision or scho f WLC: 0004/1 and Notice and WLC: 0019/2 ( ans to implement t or the consolidation or exception to coun consolidation or coo exception to scho consolidation or coo exception to scho consolidation or coo sconsin Register of I onsin County Const Notice asideration by the S	Agenda December 7, 2010) the consolidation of local govern Agenda December 7, 2010) the consolidation, or of the con	ation, or cooperationmental units, and inmental units, and school of tion or cooperation on. (arry 3, 2011). (Audio) (	on for the provision d granting rule-mal district revenue lim n, or to the <u>Minutes</u> e provision, of local litures related to the school district )). <u>Minutes</u>

-					
	cooperative agreements (f				
•	WLC: 0004/P1, relating to		, ,		•
•	<u>WLC: 0019/1</u> , relating to a study or implementation of		nd municipal levy lim	nits for expenditu	ures related to the
•	Presentation, Fiscal Dispart Council (November 10, 202	ties in the Twin Cities, t .0).	by Deb Detrick, Planr	ning Analyst, J.D	., Metropolitan
•	Handout, Fiscal Disparities Planning Analyst, J.D., Met	in Twin Cities: Key Find		<i>ble 2010</i> , distrib	uted by Deb Detrick,
•	Presentation, West Milwauk Milwaukee.	ee Agreement, by Denr	nis Yaccarino, Office	of Budget and M	anagement, City of
•	Presentation, Racine Rever Mielke, Inc. (November 10	ue Sharing Program, by 2010).	y Christine Cramer, S	Senior Economic	Consultant, Ruekert
•	Memorandum, Facilitating Exercise of Powers, from D T. Phillips, Phillips Borowsk	avid Callendar, Legislati i, S.C., Wisconsin Count	ive Associate, Wiscor ties Association Gene	nsin Counties Ass eral Counsel (No	sociation, and Andrew vember 9, 2010).
•	Recent article, Fiscal Dispa Planning Analyst, J.D., Met			A <i>rea</i> , distributed	by Deb Detrick,
•	Publication, The Fiscal Disp distributed by Deb Detrick,				
•	Presentation, Milwaukee Fi Mark Rohlfing, Fire Ch			e Fire Departme	<i>nt Consolidation</i> , by
•	Letter to Chair Zepnick Board of Supervisors (			e Board, Milwa	aukee County
•	Presentation, Stormwa	ter Regulations and	Phosphorus Star		
	Intergovernmental Co Rosenbaum LLP (Nove		. Kent and Const	ance L. ander	son, Stafford
	· · · · ·				
Octobe	er 5, 2010	<u>Notice</u>	<u>Agenda</u>	<u>Audio</u>	<u>Minutes</u>
•	Intergovernmental Agreem Manager, Beloit.>	ent (IGA) between Belo	it and Rock County,	distributed by La	arry Arft, City
•	Presentation by Larry Arft, Administrator, Rock Count		eloit and Phil Boutwe	ell, Assistant to t	he County
•	Testimony by Curt Witynsk	,			
	,	Witynski, Assistant Dire	ctor, League of Wisc	onsin Municipalit	ies (September 2,
	<ul> <li><u>Handout</u>, Building Local Governmen</li> </ul>	<i>a Better Ohio: Creatin</i> Reform and Collaborat sin Municipalities (Augu	tion, distributed by C		
•	Presentation, Restructuring Materials Management, De	Local Government Rec	ycling Programs, by	Ann Coakley, Dir	rector, Waste &
•	Testimony of Ann Coakley,			Program, DNR (	October 5, 2010).
Augus	t 31, 2010	Notice	<u>Agenda</u>	<u>Audio</u>	<u>Minutes</u>
•	Staff Brief 10-03, Intergov	ernmental Cooperation	(August 23, 2010).		
•	PowerPoint presentation, F Henken, President, Public I			inty Government	ts, submitted by Ron
•	PowerPoint presentation, A Wisconsin - Reducing the C				
•	Handout, Mergers & Conso Wisconsin-Extension, Local		Alan Probst, Local Go	overnment Speci	alist, University of
•	Publication, City of Milwauk Party Analysis, submitted I				Independent Third-
•	Publication, Milwaukee Cou Analysis, submitted by Ror	nty's Fiscal Condition, C	Crisis on the Horizon?		nt Third-Party
•	Publication, Merger of City Community Planning and D				
•	Publication, Government, E Deller, Professor, Departm	ffectiveness, Performan	ice, and Local Proper	<i>ty Values</i> , subm	
•		Partnerships and Cooper			re Delivery

submitted by Steven Deller, Professor, Department of Agricultural and Applied Economics, UW-Madison.

- <u>Publication</u>, *Tax and Expenditure Limitations and Economic Growth*, submitted by Steven Deller, Professor, Department of Agricultural and Applied Economics, UW-Madison.
- <u>Publication</u>, *Municipal Responses to Fiscal Stress*, submitted by Steven Deller, Professor, Department of Agricultural and Applied Economics, UW-Madison.