The Wisconsin Supreme Court is considering a Petition filed by the State Bar of Wisconsin to modify SCR 72 in order to clarify that circuit court judges have the inherent and equitable authority to expunge court records in cases of dismissal or acquittal. The Wisconsin Legislature has also created a committee to study access to online court records. The results of this survey will be of use to both entities. **TOTAL SURVEYS TURNED IN -- 123** 

	Records of Dismissals and Acquittals
1.	As a circuit court judge, have you received calls or letters from former defendants whose criminal cases were dismissed or resulted in a judgment of acquittal, complaining of employment discrimination based upon their criminal court records, asking you to seal or expunge such records?  TOTAL RESPONSES TO THIS QUESTION – 12375Yes48No
٠	If your answer is "yes," did you seal/expunge the records of dismissal or acquittal on any occasion when presented with that request? <u>16</u> Yes* No  *Two additional judges who answered "Yes" wrote in: "Did pursuant to statute" and "Only if def was eligible for expungement by court's earlier ruling under 973.015." These responses were not counted as "yes" since there is no statute dealing with expunging dismissals or acquittals.
	If "yes," did you seal the paper record?YesNo (MOST RESPONDERS LEFT THESE BLANK;  A FEW STATED THIS WAS LEFT TO THE CLERK)
	If "yes," did you order the online court record be removed from the publicly accessible CCAP online Wisconsin Circuit Court Access (WCCA) program?YesNo
2.	As a circuit court judge, do you believe that you have the inherent or equitable authority to control access to records of proceedings in your court by expunging/sealing records in cases of acquittal or dismissal? TOTAL RESPONSES TO THIS QUESTION 121 35 Yes 86 No 29% 71%
→ 3.	If you never sealed or expunged a dismissal or acquittal record, would you have ordered expungement if Wisconsin law specifically provided that authority to you as a circuit court judge and you felt it appropriate under the circumstances of the case?  TOTAL RESPONSES TO THIS QUESTION 106  101 Yes 5 No 95% 5%
	TOTAL RESPONSES TO QUESTION #4 – 121
4.	Which of the following statements most closely reflects your view? (check one)*  28 A. All dismissed charges and cases resulting in acquittal should be removed from online
	access.  9 B. All dismissed charges and cases resulting in acquittal should be treated like dismissed small claims cases and be removed from online access after one (1) year.  60 C. Judges should have explicit discretionary authority on motion in individual cases to order dismissed charges and cases of acquittal removed from online access.

read-ins should not be removed, dismissed outright or acquitted possibly after a period of time."

This response was not tabulated.

				•
			$\cdot$	
				. "
•				
<b>Y</b>		_	and the state of t	
		5.	If you checked A, B, or C above, do you recommend this change be accomplished by: (check one)	
			(*The responses of the six judges referred to above are included here.)	
			31 Legislative enactment	
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
			<u>52</u> Either; makes no difference	
			Records of Convictions	
		6.	As a circuit court judge, have you received calls or letters from individuals who were convicted of	
		0.		
-			crime complaining about employment discrimination based on their criminal court records, asking	
			you to seal or expunge such records? TOTAL RESPONSES – 122 <u>84</u> Yes <u>38</u> No	
			69% 31%	
			If your answer is "yes," did you seal/expunge the criminal records on any occasion when	
			presented with that request? <u>30</u> YesNo	
			•	
			36%	
			If "yes," did you seal the paper record?YesNo (MOST LEFT BLANK, AS WITH #1 ABOVE)	•
				•
			If "yes," did you order that the online court record be removed from CCAP/WCCA?YesNo	
			in yes, and you order that the orinine countries in a territorial north countries in the co	
•	>			
	<b>-&gt;</b>	7.		
			Wisconsin law specifically provided that authority to you, and you felt it appropriate under the	
			circumstances of the case? TOTAL RESPONSES TO THIS QUESTION 106 _91 _Yes _15 _No	
			86% 14%	
		0	As a circuit judge, do you believe that you have the inherent or equitable authority to control access	
		8.		
			to records of proceedings in your court by expunging/sealing records of individuals convicted of	
-			crime? TOTAL RESPONSES TO THIS QUESTION 120 22 Yes 98 No	
			18% 82%	
A Paragraphic Control of the Control		9.	Section 973.015 gives circuit court judges explicit discretionary authority to expunge court records	
one for the first of the first	<b>→</b>	9.	of individuals convicted of misdemeanors and certain low level felonies if the offender was under	
			age 25 at the time of commission. Should this statute be amended so that discretionary	*
No.			expungement is available regardless of age? TOTAL RESPONSES TO THIS QUESTION – 121	
The state of the s			82 Yes 39 No	
			68% 32%	
ni v na		10.	. Under present law, the records of individuals convicted of misdemeanors must be retained for 20	
10 MIN 10			years, and the records of individuals convicted of non-class A felonies must be retained for 50 years.	
A-100			Do you favor reduction of these retention periods? TOTAL RESPONSES TO THIS QUESTION114	
management management and the second			<u>51</u> Yes <u>63</u> No	
-				
d of the state of				
			If you answered "yes", by how much should retention periods be reduced?	1
			_425% across the board	
			13 50% across the board	
			31 Reduce the retention period for misdemeanors to 10 years; base felony	
			retention periods on the classification of the particular felony (e.g., 15 years	
			for Class E; 20 years for Class D, etc.)	