AN ACT to amend 66.1105 (4) (gm) 4. c., (5) (bn), (bo), (bt), (10) (c), (12) (title),
(intro.), (b), (16) (d), (17) (title), (a), 3., (c) (intro.), (18) (c) 3. and (19) (b) 3.; and to
create 66.1105 (17) (d) of the statutes; relating to: limits on TID creation as
measured by total TID value.

The people of the state of Wisconsin, represented in senate and assembly, do enact as
follows:

JOINT LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL PREFATORY NOTE: This draft was prepared
for the Joint Legislative Council’s Study Committee on Review of Tax
Incremental Financing (TIF).

Background

As part of the process of creating a tax incremental district (TID), a city
or village’s creation resolution must include a finding that the TID
complies with the “12 percent limit”. The 12 percent limit requires that
the equalized value of the taxable property in the proposed TID, plus the
value increments of all existing TIDs does not exceed 12 percent of the
total equalized value in the city or village. When certifying a base value
for a TID, the department of revenue also evaluates whether the TID
exceeds the 12 percent limit. DOR may not certify the base value until it
reviews and approves the city or village’s finding that the equalized
property value in the TID plus the value increment of all existing TIDs
does not exceed 12 percent of the total equalized value of taxable
property within the city.

Cities and villages may amend their project plan so that the TID is in
compliance if the TID exceeds the 12 percent limit. If DOR determines
that the TID exceeds the 12 percent limit, the city or village may either
rescind its approval of the project plan or remove parcels of land so that
the TID complies with the 12 percent limit. If the city or village decides
to remove parcels of land, then it must resubmit the creation application
to DOR within 30 days of receiving the noncompliance notice.

Section 66.1105, stats., contains several exceptions to the 12 percent
limit that apply to specific communities. Additionally, 2013 Wisconsin
Act 193 allows a city or village to create a TID in recently annexed town
territory, if certain conditions are satisfied. Under the alternative
creation method created by Act 193, the 12 percent limit does not apply to a TID created in former town territory until the year 2016.

**The Draft**

Under this draft, references in s. 66.1105, stats., to the 12 percent limit are generally modified to reflect an increase to a 15 percent limit for the ratio of TID value increments to total equalized value of taxable property in a city or village. References to the 12 percent limit that relate to exceptions to the rule for specific communities are maintained at 12 percent and amended to reflect the law in place at the time of the creation of each exception.

Also, a city or village may create one additional TID, even if in so doing, the city or village would exceed the revised 15 percent limit. However, this new TID may not exceed 5 percent of the total equalized value of the property in the city or village. The selection of the 5 percent limit for the size of the single TID reflects the committee’s intent that a municipality would use the provision to create an “average” TID, as represented by the approximate statewide average value of TID increments in relation to statewide equalized property value.

**SECTION 1.** 66.1105 (4) (gm) 4. c., (5) (bn), (bo), (bt), (10) (c), (12) (title), (intro.), (b), (16) (d), and (17) (title), (a), 3., (c) (intro.), (18) (c) 3. and (19) (b) 3. of the statutes are amended to read:

66.1105 (4) (gm) 4. c. Except as provided in subs. (10) (c), (16) (d), (17), and (18) (c) 3., the equalized value of taxable property of the district plus the value increment of all existing districts does not exceed 42 \( \frac{15}{100} \) percent of the total equalized value of taxable property within the city. In determining the equalized value of taxable property under this subd. 4. c. or sub. (17) (c), the department of revenue shall base its calculations on the most recent equalized value of taxable property of the district that is reported under s. 70.57 (1m) before the date on which the resolution under this paragraph is adopted. If the department of revenue determines that a local legislative body exceeds the 42 percent limit described in this subd. 4. c. or sub. (17) (c), the department shall notify the city of its noncompliance, in writing, not later than
December 31 of the year in which the department receives the completed application or amendment forms described in sub. (5) (b).

(5) (bn) Notwithstanding the requirement that the total equalized value not exceed 12 percent, as described in sub. (4) (gm) 4. c., 2013–14, stats., if the village of Union Grove created, or attempted to create, tax incremental district number 4 on January 1, 2006, based on actions taken by the village board on February 27, 2006, the tax incremental base of the district shall be calculated by the department of revenue as if the tax incremental district had been created on January 1, 2006, and, until the tax incremental district terminates, the department of revenue shall allocate tax increments and treat the district in all other respects as if the district had been created on January 1, 2006, except that the department of revenue may not certify a value increment under par. (b) before 2008.

(bo) Notwithstanding the requirement that the total equalized value not exceed 12 percent, as described in sub. (4) (gm) 4. c., 2013–14, stats., if the village of Elmwood created, or attempted to create, tax incremental district number 4 on January 1, 2006, based on actions taken by the village board on May 8, 2006, the tax incremental base of the district shall be calculated by the department of revenue as if the tax incremental district had been created on January 1, 2006, and, until the tax incremental district terminates, the department of revenue shall allocate tax increments and treat the district in all other respects as if the district had been created on January 1, 2006, except that the department of revenue may not certify a value increment under par. (b) before 2010.

(bt) If the city of New Lisbon amends, or attempts to amend, the project plan of Tax Incremental District Number 12 on January 1, 2012, based on actions taken by the common council between July 1, 2011, and December 31, 2011, the tax incremental base of the district shall be redetermined by the department of revenue as if the district’s project plan had been
amended on January 1, 2012, except that the department of revenue may not certify a value increment under par. (b), that reflects the amendment to the district’s plan, before 2012. In addition, the time limits specified for the city clerk in par. (b), and the provisions relating to the 12 percent limit findings requirement under sub. (4) (gm) 4. c., 2013–14, stats., do not apply to an amendment to the project plan of Tax Incremental District Number 12 in the city of New Lisbon.

(10) (c) The department of revenue shall exclude any parcel in a newly created tax incremental district that is located in an existing district when determining compliance with the 12 percent limit described in sub. (4) (gm) 4. c.

(12) Equalized Valuation; the 12 percent limit. If the department of revenue notifies a local legislative body that is not in compliance with the 12 percent limit described in sub. (4) (gm) 4. c., the local legislative body shall do one of the following:

(b) Remove parcels from the district’s, or proposed district’s, boundaries so that the district, or proposed district, complies with the 12 percent limit. Such a removal of parcels may not substantially alter the project plan as approved under sub. (4) (g), or the resolution adopted under sub. (4) (gm) and approved by the joint review board under sub. (4m) (b) 2. Not later than 30 days after receiving the department’s notice of noncompliance under sub. (4) (gm) 4. c., the city clerk shall submit, or resubmit, to the department the application described under sub. (5) (b), and the application shall reflect the removal of parcels under this paragraph.

(16) (d) The department of revenue may not include the equalized value of taxable property of a district created under this subsection when applying the 12 percent limit findings requirement under sub. (4) (gm) 4. c. to a city or village which annexes or attaches such a district.
EXCEPTIONS TO THE 12 15 PERCENT LIMIT.

(a) Subject to par. (b), a city may simultaneously create a tax incremental district under this section and adopt an amendment to a project plan to subtract territory from an existing district without adopting a resolution containing the 12 15–percent–limit findings specified in sub. (4) (gm) 4. c. if all of the following occur:

3. Both appraisals under subd. 2. demonstrate that the value of the taxable property that is subtracted from an existing district equals or exceeds the amount that the department of revenue believes is necessary to ensure that, when the proposed district is created, the 12 15 percent limit specified in sub. (4) (gm) 4. c. is met.

(c) With regard to the 12 percent limit described under sub. (4) (gm) 4. c., 2013–14, stats., the following limit applies to the village of Pleasant Prairie:

NOTE: This SECTION increases the limit on how much of the city or village’s total equalized value of property may be placed in a TID from 12 percent to 15 percent. It also updates statutory references that are applicable only to exceptions to the 12 percent limit.

(18) (c) 3. The 12 15 percent limit findings requirement under sub. (4) (gm) 4. c. apply on an aggregate basis to all cities that are part of a multijurisdictional district except, for one or more of the participating cities in the multijurisdictional district, the part of the district that is in an individual city may cause that city to exceed the 12 15 percent limit if the governing bodies of all the taxation districts that overlay that city adopt a resolution approving the creation of the district even though that city exceeds the 12 15 percent limit.

(19) (b) 3. The 12 15 percent limit described in sub. (4) (gm) 4. c. does not apply to a district created under this subsection until 2016.

SECTION 2. 66.1105 (17) (d) of the statutes is created to read:
66.1105 (17) (d) **Creation of one additional district.** A city may create one additional tax incremental district notwithstanding the requirement that the total equalized value of proposed and existing tax incremental districts in the city may not exceed 15 percent, as described in sub. (4) (gm) 4. c., subject to the limitations that the equalized value of taxable property of a district created pursuant to this paragraph may not exceed 5 percent of the total equalized value of the property within the city.

**NOTE:** This Section allows a city or village to create one additional TID, even if in so doing, the city or village would exceed the revised 15 percent limit. However, this new TID may not exceed 5 percent of the total equalized value of the property in the city or village. The selection of the 5 percent limit for the size of the single TID reflects the committee’s intent that a municipality would use the provision to create an “average” TID, as represented by the approximate statewide average value of TID increments in relation to statewide equalized property value.

(END)