



Legislative Fiscal Bureau

One East Main, Suite 301 • Madison, WI 53703 • (608) 266-3847 • Fax: (608) 267-6873

May 13, 2003

Joint Committee on Finance

Paper #573

Consolidated Brownfields Grant Program (DNR -- Air, Waste, and Contaminated Land and Commerce)

[LFB 2003-05 Budget Summary: Page 103, #13 and Page 329, #2]

CURRENT LAW

The Commerce Brownfields Grant Program was created in the 1997-99 biennial budget to provide financial assistance to businesses, governmental entities and nonprofit organizations that conduct brownfields redevelopment and related environmental remediation projects. Annual funding of \$7,000,000 SEG from the environmental management account of the segregated environmental fund is provided for Brownfields grants and loans. Commerce is provided \$308,400 SEG and 2.5 SEG positions from the petroleum inspection fund to administer the program. In 2003 Act 1, \$6,250,000 was eliminated in 2002-03 and transferred to the general fund, and no competitive grants were awarded.

The DNR brownfields site assessment grant program was created in 1999 Act 9 to provide local governments with grants to perform the initial investigation of contaminated properties and certain other eligible activities. The program was appropriated \$1,700,000 SEG from the environmental management account in each of 2001-02 and 2002-03.

The DNR sustainable urban development zone program was created in 1999 Act 9 as a pilot program to provide funds to five specified cities to cleanup and redevelop contaminated properties. The program was modified in 2001 Act 16 to provide \$525,000 SEG in 2001-02 in a biennial appropriation from the environmental management account, including grants of \$150,000 to the City of Platteville and \$250,000 to the City of Fond du Lac, and undesignated funds of \$125,000 to be awarded to municipalities that apply for funds. Under current law, no ongoing funding is provided after the 2001-03 biennium. Under 2003 Act 1, the \$125,000 in undesignated funds was transferred to the general fund.

The DNR brownfields green space grants program was created in 2001 Act 16 to provide grants to local governments for brownfields remediation projects that have a long-term public benefit, including the preservation of green space, the development of recreational areas, or the use of a property by the local government. The program was appropriated \$1,000,000 SEG in 2001-02 in a biennial appropriation from the environmental management account. Under current law, no ongoing funding is provided after the 2001-03 biennium. Under 2003 Act 1, the \$1,000,000 in 2002-03 funds was transferred to the general fund and no grants were awarded.

GOVERNOR

Provide \$9,200,000 SEG annually in a new, biennial appropriation from the environmental management account of the environmental fund to create a consolidated brownfields grant program in DNR. Further, repeal four brownfields-related grant programs with \$1,700,000 SEG annually in DNR and \$7,000,000 SEG annually in Commerce. In addition, eliminate annual funding of \$308,400 SEG from the petroleum inspection fund and 2.5 SEG positions that administer the Commerce brownfields grant program, along with the related appropriation.

Table 1 summarizes various program characteristics of the new, consolidated brownfields grant program and the existing Commerce brownfields grant, DNR site assessment grant, and DNR brownfields green space grant programs.

DISCUSSION POINTS

Commerce Brownfields Grant Program

1. The brownfields grant program was created in Commerce in the 1997-99 biennial budget to provide financial assistance to businesses, municipalities and local development corporations for brownfields redevelopment and related remediation projects. Initially, annual funding of \$5 million was provided for brownfields grants. In 1997-98, grant funds consisted of \$2.3 million GPR and \$2.7 million SEG from the environmental fund. In 1998-99, base funding of \$5 million SEG was provided. The 1999-01 biennial budget appropriated a total of \$5.8 million SEG in 1999-00 and \$6.4 million SEG in 2000-01. The current base level funding of \$7 million from the segregated environmental fund was established in the 2001-03 biennial budget. However, 2003 Wisconsin Act 1, eliminated \$6,250,000 SEG in 2002-03, or all funding for brownfields grants, except for two statutory required awards of \$375,000 each to the Milwaukee Economic Development Corporation (MEDC) and Menomonee Valley Partners, Inc. The funds were transferred to the general fund. As a result, Commerce was unable to make brownfields grants through the competitive awards process for fiscal year 2002-03.

TABLE 1

Commerce and DNR Brownfields Program Provisions

Program Characteristic	Commerce Brownfields Grant	DNR Brownfield Site Assessment Grant	DNR Brownfield Green Space Grant	DNR Sustainable Urban Development Zone Program	New DNR Brownfields Grant
Eligible Site or Facility	Abandoned, idle, or underused industrial or commercial facilities or sites, the expansion or redevelopment of which is adversely affected by actual or perceived environmental contamination.	One or more contiguous industrial or commercial facilities or sites with common or multiple ownership.	Abandoned, idle, or underused industrial or commercial facilities or sites, the expansion or redevelopment of which is adversely affected by actual or perceived environmental contamination.	Abandoned, idle, or underused industrial or commercial facilities or sites, the expansion or redevelopment of which is adversely affected by actual or perceived environmental contamination.	Abandoned, idle, or underused industrial or commercial facilities or sites, the expansion or redevelopment of which is adversely affected by actual or perceived environmental contamination.
Eligible applicants	Individuals, partnerships, corporations, limited liability companies (LLCs), nonprofit organizations, cities, villages, towns, counties or trustees, including trustees in bankruptcy.	Individuals, partnerships, limited liability companies (LLCs), corporations, nonprofit organizations, or local governmental units. Local governmental units include cities, villages, towns, counties, redevelopment authorities, community development authorities, or housing authorities.	Individuals, partnerships, limited liability companies (LLCs), corporations, nonprofit organizations, or local governmental units. Local governmental units include cities, villages, towns, counties, redevelopment authorities, community development authorities, or housing authorities.	In 1999-01: Milwaukee, Green Bay, La Crosse, Oshkosh and Beloit. In 2001-03: Platteville, Fond du Lac and municipalities selected by DNR through a competitive process.	Individuals, partnerships, limited liability companies (LLCs), corporations, nonprofit organizations, or local governmental units. Local governmental units include cities, villages, towns, counties, redevelopment authorities, community development authorities, or housing authorities.
Eligible Grant Activities	Grants may be used to fund: (a) brownfields redevelopment projects; and (b) associated environmental remediation activities. Brownfields redevelopment includes any work or undertaking to: (a) acquire a brownfields facility or site; and (b) to raze, demolish, remove, reconstruct, renovate or, rehabilitate the facility or existing buildings, structures or other improvements at the site. Environmental remediation activities include: (a) investigation, analysis, and monitoring of a brownfields facility or site to determine the	Grants are awarded for: (a) the investigation of environmental contamination on an eligible site or facility for the purposes of reducing or eliminating environmental contamination; (b) demolition of any structures, buildings or other improvements located on an eligible site or facility; (c) asbestos abatement conducted as a necessary part of demolition activity; and (d) removal and proper disposal of abandoned	Grant funds may be used to remedy environmental contamination if the project has a long-term public benefit, including the preservation of green space, recreational areas, or the use of a property by the local government.	Grant funds may be used to investigate environmental contamination and to conduct cleanups of brownfields.	Grants could be used for: (a) investigating an eligible site or facility to determine the existence and extent of environmental contamination of the eligible site or facility; and (b) removing or containing environmental contamination and restoring the environment at an eligible site or facility. DNR would be required to promulgate administrative rules specifying the activities that may be covered by grants under the program.

Program Characteristic	Commerce Brownfields Grant	DNR Brownfield Site Assessment Grant	DNR Brownfield Green Space Grant	DNR Sustainable Urban Development Zone Program	New DNR Brownfields Grant
	existence and extent of actual or potential environmental pollution; (b) abating, removing, or containing environmental pollution at a brownfields facility or site; or (c) restoring soil or groundwater at a brownfields facility or site.	containers, underground petroleum product storage tank systems, or underground hazardous substance storage tank systems.			
Award amounts	Total annual funding is \$7,000,000. The maximum award is \$1,250,000. Commerce is required to award at least seven grants for projects located in municipalities with populations of less than 30,000.	Total annual funding is \$1,700,000. No maximum award. Administrative rules allocate 70% of grant funds to grants between \$2,000 and \$30,000; the remaining 30% of grant funds are allocated to grants between \$30,001 and \$100,000. Local governments cannot receive total grant amounts that exceed 15% of the available funds for a fiscal year.	One-time funding of \$1,000,000 was provided in 2001-02. There are no provisions governing award amounts.	Specified dollar amounts were provided to the designated municipalities.	Total annual funding of \$9,200,000. There are no specified provisions governing grant amounts. DNR would be required to promulgate administrative rules that include provisions for ensuring distribution of grant funds throughout the state.
Matching Requirements.	Grant recipients are required to provide cash or in-kind matches equal to a certain percentage of project costs as follows: (a) 20% for grants of \$300,000 or less; (b) 35% for grants between \$300,000 and \$700,000; and (c) 50% for grants between \$700,000 and \$1,250,000.	Grant recipients are required to contribute a 20% match.	There are no statutory match requirements. However, administrative rules establish a match requirement of: (a) 20% for grants of \$50,000 or less; (b) 35% for grants between \$50,001 and \$99,999; and (c) 50% for grants between \$100,000 and \$200,000.	No provision.	No statutory match requirements. DNR would be required to promulgate administrative rules including the provisions for determining the percentage of costs to be paid through a grant, which may vary based on the financial circumstances of the applicant.
Application Scoring	Grants are awarded based on the following criteria: (a) 50% based on the potential for the project to promote economic development in the area; (b) 25% based on whether the project will have a positive effect on the environment; (c) 15% based on the amount and quality of the recipient's contribution to the	Applications are scored based on the following: (a) the local governmental unit's demonstrated commitment to performing and completing necessary environmental remediation activities on the eligible site, including the local government's financial	No statutory scoring criteria. Administrative rules establish a scoring system as follows: (a) 35% based on the environmental benefits of the project; (b) 25% based on the demonstrated need for the project; (c) 25% based on the applicant's financial commitment to the project;	No provision.	DNR would be required to promulgate administrative rules that include a competitive scoring system for evaluating grant applications that, for removing or containing environmental contamination and restoring the environment, includes: (a) consideration of

Program Characteristic	Commerce Brownfields Grant	DNR Brownfield Site Assessment Grant	DNR Brownfield Green Space Grant	DNR Sustainable Urban Development Zone Program	New DNR Brownfields Grant
	<p>project; and (d) 10% based on the innovativeness of the recipient's proposal for remediation and redevelopment.</p>	<p>commitments; (b) the degree to which the project will have a positive impact on public health and the environment; and (c) other criteria DNR finds necessary to calculate the amount of the grant.</p>	<p>and (d) 15% based on the commitment of an applicant to the project.</p>		<p>the severity of the risks posed by the contamination; (b) the potential for economic development; (c) the contribution to remediation of contamination affecting more than one property; and (d) the potential for the creation of green spaces or the use of public facilities.</p>
<p>Responsible Parties</p>	<p>Commerce cannot award a grant unless the party that caused the environmental contamination and any person who possessed or controlled the environmental contaminant before it was released are unknown, cannot be located, or are financially unable to pay the cost of brownfields redevelopment or associated environmental remediation activities. Grants cannot be awarded to the party that caused the environmental contamination that is the basis of the grant request.</p>	<p>Grants can be awarded only if the person that caused the environmental contamination that is the basis for the grant request is unknown, cannot be located, or is financially unable to pay the cost of eligible activities. Grants cannot be awarded to the party that caused the environmental contamination that is the basis of the grant request.</p>	<p>No statutory provisions. Administrative rules provide that grants can only be awarded if the person that caused the environmental contamination that is the basis for the grant request is unknown, cannot be located, or is financially unable to pay the cost of eligible activities.</p>	<p>No provision.</p>	<p>DNR could only award a grant if the person that caused the environmental contamination that is the basis for the grant request is unknown, cannot be located, or is financially unable to pay the cost of eligible activities.</p>

2. Brownfields grants are awarded in an annual competition using a system of scoring for proposed projects. In scoring applications, the Department considers the following criteria: (a) the potential to promote economic development; (b) the project's positive effect on the environment; (c) the applicant's contribution to the project; and (d) the innovativeness of the proposal for remediation and redevelopment. (These criteria are further described in Table 1.) Table 2 shows the number of brownfields grant applications, total amount requested, and total awards made for each year from 1997-98 through 2001-02. The table shows that, for each year, total demand for grants has been substantially greater than available funding. As noted, funding for competitive awards in 2002-03 was transferred to the general fund, so no competitive awards can be made this fiscal year. Commerce received 35 applications requesting \$18.1 million in funding for 2002-03.

TABLE 2

Brownfield Grant Applications and Awards

<u>Fiscal Year</u>	<u>Number of Applications</u>	<u>Amount Requested</u>	<u>Number of Awards</u>	<u>Award Amounts</u>
1997-98	42	\$19,779,500	14	\$5,000,000
1998-99	38	17,006,800	12	5,000,000
1999-00	25	11,548,300	13	5,800,000
2000-01	41	23,378,200	17	6,400,000
2001-02	26	13,156,320	15*	7,000,000*

*Includes five awards totaling \$2,236,600 that were required under the provisions of 2001 Wisconsin Act 16.

3. According to Commerce, 698 acres of abandoned or environmentally contaminated sites have been developed into viable properties, property values have been increased over \$388 million, and 4,088 jobs have been created as the result of projects funded by brownfields grants. Attachments 1 through 3 provide annual summary information for the brownfields grants that have been made from fiscal year 1997-98 through fiscal year 2001-02.

4. Commerce is provided \$308,400 SEG from the petroleum inspection fund and 2.5 SEG positions to administer the brownfields grant program. The administrative positions include a brownfields development consultant, grants specialist, and 0.5 clerical position.

DNR Brownfield Site Assessment Grant Program

5. DNR promulgated administrative rules for the brownfield site assessment grant program that allocate 70% of grant funds to small grants between \$2,000 and \$30,000. The remaining 30% of grant funds are allocated to large grants between \$30,001 and \$100,000. Attachment 4 lists the grant recipients and award amounts. Table 3 shows the amount and percent of award by category of eligible activity funded from the grant.

TABLE 3

**DNR Site Assessment Grant Awards by Activity Funded -
Cumulative Through 2002-03**

<u>Activity Funded</u>	<u>Small Grants</u>		<u>Large Grants</u>		<u>Total Grant Awards</u>	
	<u>Award Dollars</u>	<u>Percent of Awards</u>	<u>Award Dollars</u>	<u>Percent of Awards</u>	<u>Award Dollars</u>	<u>Percent of Awards</u>
Phase I and II Assessment	\$817,936	24.4%	\$100,550	6.7%	\$918,486	18.9%
Site Investigation	813,207	24.3	210,193	14.0	1,023,400	21.1
Demolition of Structures, Buildings and Improvements	891,781	26.6	976,040	65.0	1,867,821	38.5
Asbestos Abatement	204,750	6.1	158,493	10.6	363,243	7.5
Removal of Abandoned Containers	57,550	1.7	13,488	0.9	71,038	1.5
Removal of Hazardous Substance or Petroleum Tanks	<u>563,026</u>	<u>16.8</u>	<u>42,987</u>	<u>2.9</u>	<u>606,013</u>	<u>12.5</u>
Total	\$3,348,249	100.0%	\$1,501,751	100.0%	\$ 4,850,000	100.0%

6. According to DNR, site assessment activities have been conducted on 554 acres, 144 phase I or II site assessments have been conducted, 72 site investigations were undertaken, 198 structures and buildings were demolished and 162 underground hazardous substance or petroleum storage tanks were removed.

7. Currently, if a local government performs a site assessment with funding from the DNR site assessment grant program it can then apply to Commerce for a brownfields grant for the cleanup. Several recipients of site assessment grants have also received Commerce brownfields grants. It is likely that other recipients of site assessment grants would apply for brownfields grants for cleanup activities in the future.

Consolidated Brownfields Grant Program in DNR

8. The bill would provide \$9,200,000 SEG annually for a new, consolidated brownfields grant program administered by DNR. Table 4 provides a summary of the funding shifts from current programs to the consolidated program that would occur as a result of the bill.

9. The funding provided under the bill would be a net increase of \$500,000 annually from 2002-03 base funding levels provided for current brownfields programs. However, the consolidated appropriation would provide the same funding level as provided in the 2001-03 biennium (before Act 1 reductions) for the Commerce brownfields, DNR site assessment, and DNR green space grant programs. This is because in 2001-02, DNR was appropriated \$1,000,000 in a biennial appropriation for green space grants, and in 2002-03 was not provided ongoing base funding. The \$18,400,000 provided for the consolidated program under the bill would be \$525,000 less than the \$18,925,000 originally provided during the 2001-03 biennium for the three mentioned programs plus the \$525,000 appropriated for the sustainable urban development zone program. However, the funding provided under the bill would be \$6,850,000 more than available in the 2001-

03 biennium after reductions and transfers to the general fund were made in 2003 Act 1.

TABLE 4

**Comparison of Current Brownfields Program Funding Levels
to Consolidated Program Funding**

<u>Program</u>	<u>2001-02</u>	Base Funding <u>2002-03</u>	<u>SB 44</u>	
			<u>2003-04</u>	<u>2004-05</u>
Commerce				
Brownfields grants*	\$7,000,000	\$7,000,000	\$0	\$0
DNR				
Consolidated brownfields grants	0	0	9,200,000	9,200,000
Site assessment grants	1,700,000	1,700,000	0	0
Sustainable urban development zone*	525,000	0	0	0
Green space grants*	<u>1,000,000</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>
Total	\$10,225,000	\$8,700,000	\$9,200,000	\$9,200,000

*2003 Act 1 reduced the funding identified in the table in the 2001-03 biennium by \$7,375,000 (\$6,250,000 in the Commerce brownfields grant program, \$125,000 in the sustainable urban development zone program, and \$1,000,000 in the green space grant program), and transferred those amounts from the environmental management account of the environmental fund to the general fund.

10. The bill includes the following provisions related to DNR administration of the consolidated brownfields grant program: (a) DNR would be required to promulgate administrative rules for the new grant program, and would be authorized to promulgate the rules without the finding of emergency; (b) DNR would be required to inform applicants of other potential sources of funding for activities proposed in grant applications; (c) DNR would be required to oversee projects awarded grants under the existing Commerce brownfields grant program for which funds have been encumbered but grants have not been paid as of the effective date of the bill; and (d) DNR and DOA (rather than DNR, DOA, and Commerce currently) would be required to submit a report evaluating the effectiveness of the state's efforts to remedy the contamination of, and to redevelop, brownfields.

11. The administration indicates that the consolidation of the various brownfields grant programs under DNR is part of a number of governmental reorganizations undertaken to obtain operational efficiencies. The transfer of the Commerce brownfields grant program to DNR is part of the administration's actions to move a function of one agency to another agency with similar programs to streamline the function and reduce administrative costs. The new brownfields grant program would consolidate, into a single agency, funds that could be used for all aspects of restoring existing brownfields sites to productive properties. There would be one central grant program to fund all the phases of redeveloping brownfields sites including investigation, assessment, cleanup, economic development, and preservation of green space. As part of the consolidation, \$308,400 SEG and 2.5 SEG administrative positions would be eliminated in

Commerce. The consolidation would eliminate the need to apply to three separate programs in two different agencies to obtain funding for various phases of brownfields redevelopment projects.

12. The Brownfields Study Group, a group of representatives of local and state government, businesses and other public and private entities, has met over recent years to develop recommendations for changes in state brownfields programs. While not recommending the consolidation of the Commerce and DNR brownfields programs, the Brownfields Study Group has indicated its support for the Governor's proposal as part of the Governor's efforts to gain efficiencies and save on administrative costs. The Brownfields Study Group also notes that, since DNR is most often involved in the technical oversight of a brownfields project, and has other funds for local governments (such as Land Recycling Loans and Stewardship Funds) to assist brownfields projects, it makes the most sense to consolidate brownfields financial programs at DNR.

13. The existing DNR and Commerce programs could be viewed as serving somewhat different purposes. The DNR site assessment program is available only for local governments and only for site assessments, investigations, certain demolition and asbestos abatement activities, and removal of abandoned containers and tank systems. Similar to the site assessment grant program, the green space grant program is only provided to local governments. Funds may be used to remedy environmental contamination only if the funded projects have long-term public benefits and are focused on environmental preservation or recreational development. Funds may be used for remedial action, preparation of the remedial action plan, and removal of underground storage tanks as part of the remedial action. The sustainable urban development program was a pilot program that provided seven municipalities with funds for the cleanup and redevelopment of contaminated properties to prepare the properties for further development. These programs only provide funding to local governments and eligible activities are primarily related to assessments of contaminated properties, cleanup of contaminated lands, preparation of brownfields sites for further development, long-term environmental preservation, and development of recreational areas. The programs give priority to projects that have a positive impact on public health and the environment. Current placement of these programs with DNR recognizes that DNR is generally the state agency with more direct contact with local governments on brownfields site assessments, cleanup and preparation and is also the state agency primarily responsible for administering public recreation lands and state level environmental preservation programs.

14. The Commerce brownfields grant program provides funding that is available to local governments, nonprofit organizations and the private sector. The program funds brownfields redevelopment and associated environmental remediation projects, and gives priority to projects that have a significant redevelopment and job creation component. Current placement of the brownfields grant program in Commerce recognizes that Commerce has been the state agency with the most direct contact with the private sector on redevelopment and economic development issues.

15. While DNR has not generally provided brownfields-related grants to private parties, DNR staff have experience in working with private sector entities who are undertaking required cleanup projects. In addition, DNR reviews the ability of private parties to pay for a cleanup when the Department reviews cleanup projects and DNR has assisted Commerce in the review of

brownfields grant applications.

16. While Commerce has developed criteria for reviewing the economic development potential of a cleanup project, it has received DNR assistance in reviewing environmental aspects of a cleanup project.

Consolidated Program Provisions

17. The following discussion points address specific provisions of the new consolidated brownfields grant program that differ from the provisions of the current programs. In general, the discussion focuses on modifications that would make the new program provisions more closely conform to those of the existing brownfields programs.

Eligible Grant Activities

18. The consolidated program could award grants for the following purposes: (a) investigating an eligible site or facility to determine the existence and extent of environmental contamination of the eligible site or facility; and (b) removing or containing environmental contamination and restoring the environment at an eligible site or facility. DNR is also required to promulgate rules that would specify activities that may be covered by grants.

19. The new statutory provisions would not specifically authorize certain assessment and preparation activities allowed under the current DNR site assessment grant program. Eligible activities for determining the extent of environmental contamination could be expanded to include site assessment activities and site preparation activities allowed under the site assessment program including removal of abandoned containers, removal of underground storage tanks, demolition of buildings and structures, and asbestos abatement activities and brownfields redevelopment.

20. The consolidated statutory provisions also would not specifically authorize economic development projects similar to those allowed under the current Commerce brownfields grant program. Eligible contaminant removal and restoration activities under the consolidated program could be expanded to include environmental remediation and brownfields redevelopment activities as defined for the Commerce grant program (described in Table 1).

Eligible Applicants

21. Under the consolidated brownfields grant program, eligible applicants would include public and private sector entities. To conform eligibility requirements to the current brownfields financial assistance programs, the bill could be amended to specify that grants for site assessment and site preparation could only be awarded to local governments, while grants for cleanup and redevelopment could be awarded to all eligible applicants.

22. Similarly, the bill could be amended to specify that only local governmental units could apply for grants for environmental remediation activities, excluding site investigations, for projects that would create green space or public facilities.

23. The administration has indicated that the consolidated program is intended to serve currently eligible applicants. However, trustees, who are currently eligible under the Commerce program, are not eligible under the consolidated program. The bill could be amended to include trustees as eligible for grants for the appropriate types of projects.

Grant Scoring

24. Under the bill, DNR would be required to promulgate administrative rules that would include a competitive scoring system for evaluating grant applications for removal and containment of environmental contamination and restoration of the brownfields site. The scoring system would have to include: (a) consideration of the severity of the risks posed by contamination; (b) the potential for economic development; (c) the contribution to remediation of contamination affecting more than one property; and (d) the potential for the creation of green spaces or the use of public facilities. No scoring system would be required for awarding investigation grants.

25. The current scoring system used for ranking Commerce brownfields grant applications generally includes the factors that are used to score the different DNR financial assistance programs. This system could be included in the statutory provisions and apply to all grants to be awarded under the new consolidated brownfields grant program, with certain modifications. The criteria are: (a) the potential of the project to promote economic development in the area; (b) whether the project will have a positive effect on the environment; (c) the amount and quality of the recipient's contribution to the project; and (d) the innovativeness of the recipient's proposal for remediation and redevelopment. A fifth criterion could be added-- (e) the demonstrated public need for the proposed long-term end use of the property. Authorized rule-making authority could be modified to require DNR to award grants based on one or more of the scoring criteria, based on consideration of the types of grants and projects, in accordance with rules promulgated by DNR.

Allocation of Funding

26. The consolidated brownfields grant program would not include statutory provisions that establish maximum grant amounts or funding distributions. DNR would be required to promulgate administrative rules that ensure the distribution of funds throughout the state. One alternative would be to require the Department to promulgate administrative rules that specified grant amounts and minimum and maximum grants that could be awarded for certain activities, such as sites assessments, investigations, environmental cleanup, redevelopment activities, green space, and public facilities.

27. As noted, a total of \$9,200,000 SEG would be provided for brownfields grants under the bill. Of the total, \$7,000,000 (76%) is from the Commerce brownfields grant program, \$1,700,000 (19%) is from the DNR site assessment grant program, and an additional \$500,000 (5%) is provided. One alternative would be to require that; (a) 76% of total funds be allocated to cleanup and redevelopment projects that will promote economic development; (b) 19% of total funds be allocated to investigation, site assessment, and site preparation projects; and (c) 5% of total funding

could be allocated for cleanup and redevelopment projects that will result in the creation of green space or public facilities. The current specified grant amounts and allocations that apply to the Commerce brownfields grants, DNR site assessment, and DNR green space grant programs could respectively apply to these total funding allocations.

28. A second alternative would be to reserve 10% of total funding for any eligible projects based on administrative rules promulgated by DNR. Under this alternative, 70% of total funds would be for economic development projects, 15% of total funds would be for site assessment and site preparation projects, and 5% of total funds would be for green space or public facilities projects.

Matching Requirements

29. The bill would require DNR to promulgate administrative rules that include provisions for determining the percentage of costs to be paid through the grant, which can vary based on the financial circumstances of the applicant. This provision could be modified to specifically give DNR authority to promulgate rules that include different required minimum percentage matches depending on the type of project (for example, different match requirements for site assessment projects than for redevelopment projects) and that allow for variable minimum matching requirements based on the size and purpose of the grant. There are scoring benefits in certain current brownfields programs for projects in economically distressed areas (brownfields and green space grants), but match requirements are not based on the financial situation of the applicant. The requirement that matching requirements be based on the financial circumstances of the applicant could be eliminated to reflect current law provisions.

Rule Making Authority

30. The bill includes general statutory provisions that would create the consolidated brownfields grant program in DNR and authorize grants for certain purposes. In addition, DNR would be given authority to promulgate administrative rules to: (a) establish a scoring system for grant applications; (b) specify activities that could be funded by grants; (c) establish provisions ensuring statewide distribution of funds; and (e) establish the portion of project costs to be funded by grants.

31. Although the Department would have general authority to award and administer the grant program, more specific provisions could clarify that DNR has specific authority to establish some of the provisions and requirements included in the current grant programs. The rule making authority granted to the Department could be changed to allow it to include rule provisions that limit grant amounts, specify a timetable for awarding grants, establish definitions for eligible projects and activities, specify application content, establish conditions for responsible parties, limit the eligible uses for grant proceeds, specify contract procedures and content, and establish reporting and auditing requirements.

Commerce and DNR Staff

32. As noted, annual funding of \$308,400 SEG from the petroleum inspection fund and 2.5 SEG positions that administer the Commerce brownfields grant program would be deleted as a result of consolidating the Commerce program in DNR. DNR staff would be responsible for administering the program.

33. Administrative staff for the Commerce brownfields grant program include a brownfields development consultant who serves as the program manager, a grants specialist, and 0.5 clerical positions. In addition to being the primary manager of the program and administrative staff, the program manager is involved in consulting and public outreach activities such as addressing public inquiries, developing public information materials, coordinating interagency activities, attending Brownfields Study Group meetings, and making presentations. In addition, the program manager is responsible for certain grant administration activities (application review, scoring applications, contract preparation, and negotiation), and general administrative activities. The grants specialist also engages in public assistance and outreach activities such as public meetings and presentations and responding to inquiries. The grants specialist is responsible for grant selection (application review and scoring, financial analysis) and contract administration (contract drafting and negotiation, budget reviews, amendments, grant disbursement). The position has other miscellaneous responsibilities including assisting the program manager. The 0.5 clerical position provides administrative support to the program. That position is currently vacant.

34. As noted, the primary source of funding for the consolidated DNR brownfields grant program would be \$7 million in environmental fund SEG from the Commerce brownfields grant program. The program has provided funding for projects that focus on economic development and job creation, which have not been the focus of the current DNR brownfields programs. Since Commerce staff would be eliminated, current DNR staff would be required to administer a consolidated program with a somewhat different focus than any other DNR brownfields program. In addition, the bill would require DNR to administer existing Commerce brownfields grant projects for which grant funds have been encumbered but have not been completely drawn. Since a substantial component of the new consolidated brownfields grant program is related to the current Commerce program, some have argued that, rather than being eliminated, the brownfields grant program manager position should be transferred to DNR. This would provide DNR with a staff member who was familiar with the economic development and job creation aspects of the grant program and with any projects for which encumbered funds were transferred to DNR. Under such an alternative, annual funding of \$61,900 SEG and 1.0 SEG brownfields development consultant position could be transferred from Commerce to DNR to administer the new brownfields grant program.

35. As noted, 0.5 SEG vacant clerical position is currently provided to Commerce for administration of the current brownfields grant program. If the Commerce brownfields grant program and related staffing is retained at Commerce, this 0.5 position could be deleted without affecting the current level of administrative support for the program. Under this alternative, annual funding of \$21,000 SEG and 0.5 SEG position would be deleted. However, this would prevent

Commerce from filling the position to provide clerical support when the state's financial position improved.

Requested 2003-04 Grant Priorities

36. Under the provisions of 2003 Wisconsin Act 1, all funding for competitive awards through the Commerce brownfields grants program (\$6,250,000 SEG) was deleted and transferred to the general fund. As a result, while the Department received 35 applications for a total of \$18.1 million in grants, no competitive awards were made for 2002-03. In a March 17, 2003, letter to the Co-Chairpersons of the Joint Committee on Finance, the Secretary of Administration requested an amendment to the budget bill that would allow DNR to review applications received by the Department of Commerce for brownfields grants in October, 2002, rank them using the 2002-03 Commerce scoring structure, and make awards to eligible applicants totaling up to \$6,250,000 in funding provided for the consolidated DNR program in 2003-04. The letter further requested that the funding available to these applicants be the amount that would have been available in 2002-03, prior to Act 1.

37. The March 17, 2003, letter from the DOA Secretary to the Committee also requested an amendment to the bill that would allow DNR to review applications received by DNR for green space grants in January, 2003, rank them under 2002-03 scoring criteria for green space grants, and make awards to eligible applicants totaling up to \$1,000,000 in funding provided for the consolidated DNR program in 2003-04.

38. Other applications would be made and reviewed under the new consolidated program for awards from the remaining \$1,950,000 in 2003-04. The administration indicates that this would provide a smoother transition to the new program for applicants that invested resources in applying to Commerce and DNR for grants in 2002-03. However, this would also significantly reduce the funds available for grants under the new consolidated DNR brownfields program. On the other hand, the process for rule development, communication, applications and review may limit DNR's ability to award funds under the new criteria in 2003-04. Under the DOA modifications, the \$9,200,000 provided in 2004-05 would be awarded under the new consolidated program requirements.

39. If the Governor's recommendation to consolidate brownfields grant programs in DNR is not approved, Commerce could be provided \$7,000,000 in each year for brownfields grants and DNR could be provided \$1,700,000 in each year for site assessment grants to continue the 2002-03 funding levels. In addition, the existing DNR green space grant program could be provided \$500,000 in each year to provide the same level of funding as it received in 2001-02 for the 2002-03 biennium.

40. If the consolidation is not approved, the existing sustainable urban development zone program could be repealed.

ALTERNATIVES

A. Consolidated Brownfields Grant Program in DNR

1. Approve the Governor's recommendation to repeal: (a) the Commerce brownfields grant program with \$7,000,000 SEG annually; (b) the DNR brownfields site assessment grant program with \$1,700,000 SEG annually; (c) the DNR brownfields green space grant program; and (d) the DNR sustainable urban development zone program. Provide \$9,200,000 SEG annually in a new, biennial appropriation from the environmental fund to create a consolidated brownfields grant program in DNR.

2. Delete the proposed consolidation in DNR. Retain the current Commerce brownfields grant program with \$7,000,000 SEG annually and the DNR brownfields site assessment grant program with \$1,700,000 SEG annually. Retain the existing DNR brownfields green space grant program and provide \$500,000 SEG annually. Repeal the DNR sustainable urban development zone program.

B. Brownfields Grant Program Provisions

1. Make one or more of the following modifications to the consolidated DNR brownfields grant program provisions:

a. Expand eligible activities for determining the extent of environmental contamination to include site assessment activities and site preparation activities allowed under the site assessment program including removal of abandoned containers, removal of underground storage tanks, demolition of buildings and structures, and asbestos abatement activities and brownfields redevelopment.

b. Expand eligible contaminant removal and restoration activities to include environmental remediation and brownfields redevelopment activities as defined for the current Commerce brownfields grant program.

c. Specify that grants for site assessment and site preparation could only be awarded to local governments, while grants for cleanup and redevelopment could be awarded to all eligible applicants. Specify that only local governmental units could apply for grants for environmental remediation activities, excluding site investigations, for projects that would create green space or public facilities. In addition, include trustees, which are currently eligible under the Commerce program, as eligible for the appropriate types of grants.

d. Include the current Commerce brownfields grant scoring system in statutory provisions to apply to all grants to be awarded under the new consolidated brownfields grant program, with certain modifications as follows: (1) the potential of the project to promote economic development in the area; (2) whether the project will have a positive effect on the environment; (3) the amount and quality of the recipient's contribution to the project; (4) the innovativeness of the

recipient's proposal for remediation and redevelopment and (5) the demonstrated public need for the proposed long-term use of the property. Modify rule-making authority to require DNR to award grants based on one or more of the scoring criteria and on consideration of the types of grants and projects.

e. Modify required matching criteria in administrative rules provisions to specifically give DNR authority to promulgate a rule that includes different required minimum percentage matches depending on the type of project (for example, different match requirements for site assessment projects than for redevelopment projects) and that allow for variable minimum matching requirements based on the size and purpose of the grant.

f. Eliminate the requirement that matching requirements be based on the financial circumstances of the applicant.

g. Require DNR to promulgate administrative rules that specify the amount and minimum and maximum grants that could be awarded for certain activities, such as site assessments, investigations, environmental cleanup, redevelopment activities, green space, and public facilities.

h. Require that; (1) 76% of total funds be allocated to cleanup and redevelopment projects that will promote economic development; (2) 19% of total funds be allocated to investigation, site assessment, and site preparation projects; and (3) 5% of total funding be allocated for cleanup and redevelopment projects that will result in the creation of green space or public facilities. (This alternative would apply the approximate current proportional allocations for the Commerce brownfields grant (\$7,000,000), DNR site assessment grant (\$1,700,000), and DNR green space grant program (\$500,000), respectively under the new program.)

i. Require that: (1) 70% of total funds would be allocated for economic development projects; (2) 15% of total funds be allocated for site assessment and site preparation projects, and (3) 5% of total funds be allocated for green space or public facilities projects. In addition, reserve 10% of total funding for any eligible projects to be awarded based on administrative rules promulgated by DNR.

j. Modify the rule making authority granted to the DNR to allow it to include rule provisions that limit grant amounts, specify a timetable for awarding grants, establish definitions for eligible projects and activities, specify application content, establish conditions for responsible parties, limit the eligible uses for grant proceeds, specify contract procedures and content, and establish reporting and auditing requirements.

k. Allow DNR to review applications received by the Department of Commerce in October 2002 for brownfields grants, rank them using the 2002-03 Commerce scoring structure, and make awards to eligible applicants totaling up to \$6,250,000 in funding provided for the consolidated DNR program in 2003-04. Allow DNR to review applications received by DNR for green space grants in January, 2003, rank them under 2002-03 scoring criteria for green space

grants, and make awards to eligible applicants totaling up to \$1,000,000 in funding provided for the consolidated DNR program in 2003-04. (These funding levels are the amounts that would have been available in 2002-03, prior to Act 1.)

C. Commerce and DNR Staff

1. Approve the Governor's recommendation to delete \$308,400 SEG annually in Commerce from the petroleum inspection fund, along with 2.5 SEG positions and the related administrative appropriation. *[This alternative may be chosen with Alternative A1 but not with Alternative A2.]*

2. Provide annual funding of \$61,900 SEG and 1.0 SEG brownfields development consultant position to DNR to transfer a position from Commerce to administer the new brownfields grant program. *[This alternative may be chosen with Alternative A1 but not with Alternative A2.]*

Alternative C2	SEG
2003-05 FUNDING (Change to Bill)	\$123,800
2004-05 POSITIONS (Change to Bill)	1.00

3. Restore \$287,400 SEG annually and 2.0 SEG positions in Commerce for administering the Commerce brownfields grant program. Delete annual funding in Commerce of \$21,000 SEG and 0.5 SEG position. *[This alternative may be chosen with Alternative A2 but not with Alternative A1.]*

Alternative C3	SEG
2003-05 FUNDING (Change to Bill)	\$574,800
2004-05 POSITIONS (Change to Bill)	2.00

4. Restore \$308,400 SEG annually and 2.5 SEG positions in Commerce for administering the Commerce brownfields grant program. *[This alternative may be chosen with Alternative A2 but not with Alternative A1.]*

Alternative C4	SEG
2003-05 FUNDING (Change to Bill)	\$616,800
2004-05 POSITIONS (Change to Bill)	2.50

Prepared by: Kendra Bonderud and Ron Shanovich
Attachments

ATTACHMENT 1

Brownfields Grant Awards 1997-98

Municipality	Recipient	Project Description	Amount
Glendale	City	Grant to clean up soil and groundwater contamination to develop properties in West Silver Spring Drive Redevelopment Corridor	\$850,000
Kenosha	City	Grant to fund integrated site barrier from contamination in Kenosha Harbor Park Development Project	850,000
Green Bay	City	Grant to cleanup coal residue to redevelop properties along the Fox River	800,000
Wauwatosa	Wauwatosa Economic Development Corporation, City of Wauwatosa and Outpost Natural Foods	Grant for development of an Outpost Natural Foods Store on a vacant brownfield in downtown Wauwatosa	300,000
Milwaukee	Marshall Erecting, Inc.	Grant for remediating soil and ground water to redevelop Solar Paint and Varnish brownfield into manufacturing facility, warehouse and office	400,000
Milwaukee	Thirtieth Street Industrial Corridor Corporation	Grant to eradicate soil and groundwater contamination to allow operation and expansion of the Metals Processing Company	400,000
West Allis	City	Grant to fund the cleanup of soil and groundwater contaminated by chlorinated solvents to develop a medical clinic and office	200,000
West Milwaukee	Village	Grant to fund removal of PCBs, pesticides and other hazardous substances from former Mobile Blasting facility to develop a manufacturing facility	390,000
West Milwaukee	Kubenik Mechanical Company	Grant to further redevelopment of former Inrycol/Babcock Wilcox property into a light manufacturing complex	260,000
Superior	City of Superior and Redevelopment Authority of Superior	Grant to clean up metals contaminated soil to redevelop a downtown brownfields for a manufacturing firm expansion	100,000
Columbus	Columbia County	Grant to eliminate environmental contamination, primarily PCBs in the soil and groundwater, and some abandoned containers and to renovate a deteriorating building to develop a brownfield in Columbus for an expansion	167,000
Medford	Taylor County	Grant for cleanup of arsenic from soil and groundwater and for renovation of a deteriorating building to redevelop site for a business expansion	150,000
Ladysmith	City	Grant to clean up arsenic and cadmium in soil and groundwater and renovate a building to redevelop site for trucking firm	100,000
Town of Flambeau	Rusk County	Grant to fund repairs at former Balko Trailers facility and to leverage federal grant to redevelop site for industrial use	33,000
		1997-98 Total	\$5,000,000

ATTACHMENT 1 (continued)

**Brownfields Grant Awards
1998-99**

Municipality	Recipient	Project Description	Amount
West Allis	West Allis Community Development Authority	Grant for soil and groundwater remediation and demolition of existing structures to redevelop site for two businesses	\$350,000
Milwaukee	Milwaukee Forge	Grant to assist in remediation and renovation of former Zecol Line property for expansion project	450,000
Milwaukee	Sigma Environmental Services, Inc.	Grant to clean up soil and groundwater contamination at Menomonee River Valley site to develop an office and a fabrication plant	155,000
Glendale	City	Grant to restore site in Glendale Technology Center for construction of facility.	240,000
Hartford	Helgesen Leasing, LLC	Grant to assist in acquisition and renovation of former Mercury marine Manufacturing facility	750,000
Brookfield	Brookfield Properties LLC	Grant to clean up soil and groundwater contamination to renovate former Cartec Industries property to develop a warehousing facility	400,000
La Crosse	City	Grant to purchase a portion of Riverfront Redevelopment Project area for development of Century Telephone Enterprises, Inc., regional headquarters facility	1,000,000
Viroqua	City of Viroqua Redevelopment Authority	Grant for investigation, remediation of petroleum contamination and redevelopment activities such as removal of concrete, pilings and remaining structures on former Viroqua Whey plant property	65,000
Town of Hustler	Hotel Hustler, Inc.	Grant to redevelop the former Hustler Hotel	50,000
Beaver Dam	Dodge County	Grant for remediation activities and renovation of former Metal Fab property to develop site for fabrication company	550,000
Elkhorn	City	Grant to renovate Getzen Musical Instrument facility to prepare site for industrial tenants	240,000
Madison	Home Depot	Grant for remediation and redevelopment of Nakoma Plaza site and former Fiore Coal and Oil Company property to develop site for home improvement facility and other retail and commercial stores	750,000
		1998-99 Total	\$5,000,000
		BIENNIAL TOTAL	\$10,000,000

ATTACHMENT 2

Brownfields Grant Awards 1999-00

Municipality	Recipient	Project Description	Amount
Beloit	Water Town Industrial Properties	Grant for acquisition of property, renovation of five buildings, and utility relocation for a warehouse and office space	\$1,200,000
Browntown	Concrete Systems	Grant for soil and groundwater remediation, demolition of two buildings, and renovation of existing manufacturing facility	200,000
DeForest	Deforest Redevelopment Authority	Grant for soil remediation, acquisition of two properties, demolition of two buildings, and utility relocation to allow retail and residential use	175,000
Delevan	HDL Enterprises	Grant for soil and groundwater remediation, building demolition, and renovation of a building for use as a warehouse	525,000
Marshfield	City	Grant to for purchasing property, demolition, and utility relocation for construction of a hotel and conference center	540,000
Mauston	Mauston Public Library	Grant for soil and groundwater remediation to allow construction of library	180,000
Milwaukee	Historic Third Ward Association	Grant for soil and ground water remediation and building renovation for commercial and residential use	1,000,000
Milwaukee	Mandel Group, Inc.	Grant for soil and groundwater remediation and property acquisition for development of 122 units of apartments and condominiums	700,000
Oakfield	Mueller Industries	Grant for soil and groundwater remediation, partial demolition of a building, and renovation of the remaining facility for expanded manufacturing operation	315,000
Oshkosh	City	Grant for soil and groundwater remediation, demolition of two buildings, and construction of multi-family housing units	180,000
Sheboygan	Crown Enterprises	Grant for soil remediation and building renovation of a hotel	135,000
Stettin	DMA Ventures, LCC	Grant for soil and groundwater remediation, lead paint abatement, storage tank removal, and building renovation for manufacturing and office space	350,000
Waukesha	C-CAP, Inc.	Grant for soil and groundwater remediation for the development of 105 units of affordable housing	300,000
		1999-00 Total	\$5,800,000

ATTACHMENT 2 (continued)

**Brownfields Grant Awards
2000-01**

Municipality	Recipient	Project Description	Amount
Middleton	City	Grant to clean up soil and groundwater to redevelop a downtown site	\$50,000
Kenosha*	City	Grant to remediate former industrial site to create a landscape park setting	100,000
Waupun	City	Grant to remove underground storage tanks and clean up soil to convert site into riverside park	100,000
Milwaukee	Rainmaker Enterprises	Grant for investigation and remediation to convert a manufacturing facility and warehouse into condominiums	100,000
Milwaukee	Sherman Perk, LLC	Grant for building rehabilitation and refurbishment to convert service station into gourmet coffee shop	100,000
Walworth	Cambeck Petroleum Corp.	Grant to clean up soil and groundwater to remediate property for retail businesses	150,000
Milwaukee	Badger Association of the Blind	Grant to remediate acquired property for expansion and renovation of facility	200,000
Baraboo	City	Grant for remediation of a salvage yard site to prepare it for construction of a city services center	250,000
Racine	Racine Economic Development Corporation	Grant for investigation, remediation and purchase of a site for construction of a grocery store	250,000
Burlington	City	Grant to remediate and infrastructure construction to redevelop downtown sites along the Fox River	300,000
Milwaukee	Allen-Edmonds Shoe corporation	Grant for demolition, purchase and soil cleanup of land at Milwaukee Tallow site to prepare for construction of new facility	400,000
Town of Madison	Revenue Development, LLC	Grant for cleaning, grading, rainwater retention and site preparation for construction of technology business facilities	400,000
West Allis	City	Grant to remediate site in "six points" neighborhood to develop a shopping center	500,000
Sheboygan	City	Demolition and cleanup of hazardous waste and residual contamination to prepare site for new facility	500,000
Edgerton, Rock City	WisPar, LLP	Grant to renovate a building to house a new high-tech manufacturing operation	900,000

ATTACHMENT 2 (continued)

**Brownfields Grant Awards
2000-01**

Municipality	Recipient	Project Description	Amount
Cudahy, Milwaukee	City of Cudahy, Gateway Real Estate LLC	Grant for soil and groundwater cleanup, foundation and building removal and infrastructure to accommodate expansion of Patrick Cudahy facility and prepare a site for commercial, retail and industrial uses	1,000,000
Sheboygan	City	Cleanup of groundwater contamination, removal of hot spots, repair of seawall and placement of barrier over site to complete harbor development project and prepare site for businesses, conference center, residential units and park space	1,100,000
		2000-01 Total	\$6,400,000
		Biennial Total	\$12,200,000

*Legislative Award

ATTACHMENT 3

Brownfields Grant Awards 2001-02

Municipality	Recipient	Project Description	Amount
Ashwaubenon	Village of Ashwaubenon	Grant for acquisition of land, demolition, and environmental remediation for a riverside redevelopment project.	\$900,000
Beloit	Water Tower Industrial Properties	Grant for acquisition of property, environmental remediation, and property improvement to prepare site for manufacturing businesses.	625,000
Delevan	City of Delevan	Grant for purchase, demolition and environmental remediation of property in the downtown Ann Street Corridor to provide sites for commercial and industrial redevelopment.	625,000
Hurley	Iron County	Grant to acquire and demolish former county jail and remediation to prepare site for construction of office and storage facility.	25,000
Milton	McGuire Lasse, LLP	Grant for remediation and renovation of former Burdick Corporation facilities.	275,000
Milwaukee	Alton Enterprises, LLC	Grant for acquisition, cleanup of soil contamination and renovation of foundry site for mixed office use.	243,400
Milwaukee	Small Animal Hospital, LLC	Grant for demolition and clean-up of petroleum contaminated site for construction of a veterinary clinic.	95,000
Milwaukee	USL Land, LLC	Grant for soil and groundwater remediation and site redevelopment, including decommissioning of existing equipment, demolition of existing structures and replacement of a riverwall to prepare site for construction of apartments, condominiums and retail space.	900,000
Waunakee	Village of Waunakee	Grant for cleanup and redevelopment of residential neighborhood site for construction of senior housing complex village community, civic center and runoff detention pond.	625,000
West Milwaukee	Product Service and Manufacturing	Grant for soil and groundwater remediation, removal and disposal of chemical drums, and renovation of former foundry site to accommodate business expansion.	450,000
		Subtotal	\$4,763,400

ATTACHMENT 3 (continued)

**Brownfields Grant Awards
Legislative Awards
2001-02**

Municipality	Recipient	Project Description	Amount
Amery	City of Amery	Grant for acquisition and environmental remediation to prepare vacant sites in central business district for commercial development.	\$386,600
Beloit	City of Beloit	Grant for acquisition and environmental remediation of property in Fifth Street rail Corridor to convert property into a path for enhancement of neighborhood redevelopment.	100,000
Kenosha	City of Kenosha	Grant for acquisition, demolition, infrastructure installation, and environmental remediation of former manufacturing site to prepare for commercial and residential redevelopment.	1,000,000
Milwaukee	Menomonee Valley Partners	Grant for purchase of brownfield properties in Menomonee Valley and for predevelopment activities that will prepare parcels for end users.	375,000
Milwaukee	Milwaukee Economic Development Corporation (MEDC)	Grants for funding used in Menomonee Valley Remediation and Economic Development Grant Program. MEDC awards grants to individuals, business or redevelopment agencies that participate in brownfield redevelopment projects.	375,000
		Subtotal	\$2,236,600
		GRAND TOTAL	\$7,000,000

ATTACHMENT 4

DNR Brownfield Site Assessment Grant Awards Cumulative Through 2002-03 Awards

<u>County</u>	<u>Recipient</u>	<u>Number of Grants</u>	<u>Grant Amount</u>
Adams	Adams County	1	\$29,990
Ashland	Ashland, City	3	90,000
Ashland	Mellen	1	30,000
Barron	Barron, County	1	29,150
Brown	Ashwaubenon, Village	1	98,490
Brown	Ledgeview, Town	1	8,975
Calumet	Chilton, City	1	29,900
Chippewa	Chippewa County	1	23,000
Chippewa	Chippewa Falls, City	2	60,000
Clark	Loyal, City	1	16,000
Columbia	Columbus, City	1	29,000
Crawford	Crawford, County	1	75,000
Dane	DeForest, Village RA	1	20,224
Dane	Sun Prairie, City	1	30,000
Dane	Waunakee, Village	3	160,000
Dodge	Mayville, City	1	30,000
Door	Door County	1	12,750
Douglas	Douglas County	3	83,034
Douglas	Superior, City	2	24,395
Dunn	Menomonie, City	2	27,800
Eau Claire	Eau Claire, City	1	100,000
Fond du Lac	Fond du Lac, City	1	100,000
Fond du Lac	Fond du Lac, County	1	25,900
Fond du Lac	Lamartine, Town	1	30,000
Forest	Crandon, City	1	25,250
Grant	Platteville, City	3	54,160
Grant	Potosi, Village	1	30,000
Iowa	Iowa, County	1	29,669
Iron	Iron County	1	25,000
Jackson	Black River Falls, City	1	30,000
Jefferson	Jefferson, City	1	30,000
Kenosha	Kenosha, City	1	30,000
La Crosse	Onalaska, City	1	11,760
Manitowoc	Mishicot, Village	1	14,157
Marathon	Marathon County	1	15,000
Marathon	Wausau, City	2	130,000
Marinette	Marinette, City	1	100,000
Marinette	Marinette, County	1	30,000
Marquette	Neshkoro, Village	1	26,000
Marquette	Shields, Town	1	30,000
Milwaukee	Cudahy, City	4	94,760
Milwaukee	Greenfield, City	2	57,450
Milwaukee	Milwaukee County	2	60,000
Milwaukee	Milwaukee, City	4	107,464
Milwaukee	Milwaukee, City RA	19	486,118
Milwaukee	South Milwaukee CDA	1	30,000

<u>County</u>	<u>Recipient</u>	<u>Number of Grants</u>	<u>Grant Amount</u>
Milwaukee	West Allis, City	7	\$398,863
Milwaukee	West Milwaukee, Village	1	30,000
Milwaukee	Whitefish Bay, Village	2	37,518
Oconto	Gillett, City	1	30,000
Oconto	Mountain, Town	1	20,000
Oconto	Oconto, City	1	30,837
Oconto	Suring, Village	2	60,000
Oneida	Oneida, County	1	30,000
Outagamie	Appleton, City RA	2	120,000
Outagamie	Kaukauna, City	1	14,000
Outagamie	Little Chute, Village	1	7,800
Outagamie	Outagamie, County	2	40,987
Outagamie	Seymour, City	1	27,493
Ozaukee	Fredonia, Village	1	10,000
Ozaukee	Mequon, City	1	30,000
Ozaukee	Ozaukee, County	1	30,000
Polk	Clayton, Village	1	29,375
Polk	Dresser, Village	1	26,300
Polk	Polk County	1	30,000
Portage	Rosholt, Village	1	30,000
Racine	Burlington, City	1	30,000
Richland	Richland Center, City	3	90,000
Rock	Beloit, City	1	4,800
Rock	Edgerton, City	1	21,670
Rock	Evansville, City	1	30,000
Rusk	Rusk, County	1	16,400
Sauk	Baraboo, City	1	30,000
Sawyer	Sawyer, County	1	26,600
Sheboygan	Sheboygan, City RA	4	177,928
St. Croix	North Hudson, Village	1	2,750
Vernon	Vernon, County	2	15,304
Walworth	Delavan, City	8	270,420
Walworth	Geneva, Town	1	24,684
Walworth	Sharon, Village	2	34,000
Washburn	Washburn County	1	11,428
Waukesha	Elm Grove, Village	1	5,446
Waukesha	New Berlin, City	1	10,000
Waukesha	Sussex, Village	1	30,000
Waupaca	Ogdensburg, Village	1	18,000
Waupaca	Waupaca, County	2	55,000
Winnebago	Menasha, City	1	30,000
Winnebago	Oshkosh, City	3	192,000
Wood	Marshfield, City	1	30,000
Wood	Pittsville, City	1	20,000
Wood	Wood, County	<u>1</u>	<u>30,000</u>
Total grants		156	\$4,850,000

Municipalities located in more than one county are listed under the county in which the largest portion of the property value is located.

RA = Redevelopment Authority

CDA = Community Development Authority