

WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT

Budget Summary							
Fund	2018-19 Base Year Doubled	2019-21 Governor	2019-21 Jt. Finance	2019-21 Legislature	2019-21 Act 9	Act 9 Change Over Base Year Doubled	
						Amount	Percent
GPR	\$92,758,400	\$80,325,200	\$106,364,800	\$106,364,800	\$106,364,800	\$13,606,400	14.7%
FED	414,823,200	404,276,700	404,276,700	404,276,700	404,276,700	- 10,546,500	- 2.5
PR	153,424,800	154,592,200	154,592,200	154,592,200	154,592,200	1,167,400	0.8
SEG	<u>50,067,000</u>	<u>51,345,000</u>	<u>51,345,000</u>	<u>51,345,000</u>	<u>51,345,000</u>	<u>1,278,000</u>	2.6
TOTAL	\$711,073,400	\$690,539,100	\$716,578,700	\$716,578,700	\$716,578,700	\$5,505,300	0.8%

FTE Position Summary						
Fund	2018-19 Base	2020-21 Governor	2020-21 Jt. Finance	2020-21 Legislature	2020-21 Act 9	Act 9 Change Over 2018-19 Base
GPR	150.82	150.82	150.82	150.82	150.82	0.00
FED	1,167.18	1,164.18	1,164.18	1,164.18	1,164.18	- 3.00
PR	218.25	218.25	218.25	218.25	218.25	0.00
SEG	<u>72.80</u>	<u>109.30</u>	<u>72.80</u>	<u>72.80</u>	<u>72.80</u>	<u>0.00</u>
TOTAL	1,609.05	1,642.55	1,606.05	1,606.05	1,606.05	- 3.00

Budget Change Items

Departmentwide

1. STANDARD BUDGET ADJUSTMENTS

Governor/Legislature: Adjust the agency's base budget by \$527,000 GPR, \$1,709,000 FED, -3.00 FED positions, \$553,100 PR, and \$633,300 SEG in 2019-20, and \$546,800 GPR, \$1,763,200 FED, \$614,300 PR, and \$644,700 SEG in 2020-21.

The adjustments are for: (a) turnover reduction (-\$226,100 GPR, -\$1,689,200 FED, -\$446,800 PR, -\$89,300 SEG annually); (b) removal of noncontinuing elements from the base (-\$347,400 FED and -3.00 FED positions beginning in 2019-20 and -\$372,700 FED in 2020-21); (c) full funding of continuing position salaries and fringe benefits (\$726,700 GPR, \$4,432,400 FED, \$396,400 PR, and \$645,100 SEG annually); (d) overtime

	Funding	Positions
GPR	\$1,073,800	0.00
FED	3,472,200	- 3.00
PR	1,167,400	0.00
SEG	<u>1,278,000</u>	<u>0.00</u>
Total	\$6,991,400	- 3.00

(\$153,600 PR annually); and (e) full funding of lease and directed moves costs (\$26,400 GPR, -\$686,800 FED, \$449,900 PR, and \$77,500 SEG in 2019-20, and \$46,200 GPR, -\$607,300 FED, \$511,100 PR, and \$88,900 SEG in 2020-21).

Incorporate the changes enacted as part of 2017 Wisconsin Act 370, which converted the Department of Workforce Development's (DWD) continuing GPR appropriation for workforce training grants and services into eight separate annual GPR appropriations.

2. TRANSFER WORKER'S COMPENSATION ADJUDICATORY FUNCTIONS FROM DHA TO DWD

	Positions Governor (Chg. to Base)	Positions Jt. Finance/Leg. (Chg. to Gov)	Positions Net Change
SEG	36.50	- 36.50	0.00

Governor: Transfer the adjudicatory functions related to a contested worker's compensation claim from the Department of Administration (DOA) Division of Hearings and Appeals (DHA) to DWD and transfer 36.5 positions to DWD related to these functions. Funding associated with the 36.5 positions would continue to be provided from DWD's worker's compensation SEG appropriation, but convert supplies and services funding of \$2,400,000 in 2019-20 and \$4,800,000 in 2020-21 as follows to reflect the transfer of positions from DHA to DWD: (a) in 2019-20, \$1,643,400 in permanent position salaries and \$756,600 in fringe benefits; and (b) in 2020-21, \$3,286,800 in permanent positions salaries and \$1,513,200 in fringe benefits. Specify that the effective date of the transfer would be January 1, 2020.

Repeal the transfer of adjudicatory functions from DWD to DHA as provided under 2015 Wisconsin Act 55 and repeal statutory references giving DHA concurrent jurisdiction over certain program matters. Modify statutory sections within the state's Worker's Compensation Act (Chapter 102) that currently refer to DHA ("Division") to instead refer to DWD ("Department").

Under current law, DWD and DHA jointly administer the state worker's compensation law. DWD is primarily responsible for worker's compensation claims where a formal hearing is not scheduled, and DHA hears contested claims. Prior to 2015 Act 55, DWD performed all administrative responsibilities and most adjudicatory functions related to worker's compensation. Adjudicatory functions include hearing disputed worker's compensation claims, adjudicating disputes over the reasonableness of fees charged for health services provided to an injured employee and of the amount charged for prescription drugs dispensed to an injured employee (reasonableness of fees), and hearing disputes over the necessity of treatment provided to an injured employee (necessity of treatment). Act 55 transferred these worker's compensation adjudicatory functions and 32.0 positions from DWD to DHA. 2017 Wisconsin Act 59 transferred an additional 4.5 positions related to the adjudication of worker's compensation claims from DWD to DHA.

Provide that on the effective date of the transfer, 36.5 DHA positions, assets, liabilities,

tangible personal property, pending matters, contracts, administrative rules, and orders primarily related to worker's compensation matters, as determined by the DOA Secretary, transfer to DWD. Provide that incumbent employees transferred to DWD would retain their employee rights and status held immediately before the transfer, and provide that employees transferred to DWD who have attained permanent status would not be required to serve a probationary period. Provide that DWD would carry out any obligations under any contracts transferred from DHA related to the program, unless modified or rescinded by DWD to the extent allowed by the contract. Provide that any worker's compensation matter pending with DHA transfers to DWD. All materials submitted to, or actions taken by, DHA related to the pending matters would be considered as having been submitted to or taken by DWD. Provide that all orders issued and administrative rules promulgated by DHA in effect on the effective date of the transfer that are primarily related to worker's compensation matters, as determined by the DOA Secretary, would remain in effect until their specified expiration dates or until amended or repealed by DWD. [See "Administration -- Transfers."]

Joint Finance/Legislature: Delete provision.

3. FEDERAL APPROPRIATIONS REESTIMATE

FED	- \$14,018,700
-----	----------------

Governor/Legislature: Delete \$6,487,900 in 2019-20 and \$7,530,800 in 2020-21 to align federal expenditure authority with the amount of revenue that DWD estimates will be deposited into appropriations. The adjustments are as follows:

DWD Federal Appropriations Reestimates

<u>Appropriation</u>	<u>2019-20</u>	<u>2020-21</u>
Workforce investment and assistance	-\$2,904,400	-\$2,904,400
Unemployment administration	1,264,500	1,208,800
Vocational rehabilitation; project aids	<u>-4,848,000</u>	<u>-5,835,200</u>
Total	-\$6,487,900	-\$7,530,800

4. LABOR AND INDUSTRY REVIEW COMMISSION ADMINISTRATIVE ATTACHMENT

Governor: Transfer the administrative attachment for the Labor and Industry Review Commission (LIRC) from DOA to DWD on the effective date of the bill. LIRC would be attached to DWD under s. 15.03 of the statutes for limited administrative purposes, such as accounting, budget, and general program management. Under current law and the provision, LIRC retains policy-making and adjudicatory functions prescribed to it. Also, LIRC's biennial agency budget request is to be forwarded to the Governor without change by the agency to which LIRC is attached, except instances in which LIRC agrees to any change.

Joint Finance/Legislature: Delete provision.

5. INDEPENDENT LIVING CENTERS

Governor/Legislature: Amend DWD's vocational rehabilitation services program federal aids and operations appropriation to specify that from the moneys received from the Social Security Administration, pursuant to federal law, DWD must in each fiscal year transfer the lesser of \$600,000 or the amount received to the Department of Health Services (DHS) independent living center grants appropriation.

Current law requires DWD to transfer \$600,000 to DHS. DWD receives money from the federal Social Security Administration as reimbursement for individuals who gain employment with assistance from the vocational rehabilitation program and no longer receive certain benefits from the Social Security Administration. DWD subsequently transfers funding to DHS, who uses it to provide grants to independent living centers for providing nonresidential services to disabled individuals.

[Act 9 Section: 231]

Employment and Training

1. CAREER AND TECHNICAL EDUCATION GRANTS AND COMPLETION AWARDS

	Governor (Chg. to Base)	Jt. Finance/Leg. (Chg. to Gov)	Net Change
GPR	- \$7,000,000	\$13,000,000	\$6,000,000

Governor: Transfer the authority to award career and technical education (CTE) incentive grants and career and technical education completion awards from DWD to the Department of Public Instruction (DPI). Delete \$3,500,000 annually for the incentive grants and transfer the career and technical education incentive grants annual appropriation to DPI. Transfer the GPR sum-sufficient appropriation for the career and technical education completion awards to DPI.

The career and technical education incentive grant program awards incentive grants of \$1,000 to school districts for each person completing an approved industry-recognized certification program. The technical education completion award program provides completion awards of \$500 to each person who completes an industry-recognized certification program. The bill would transfer authority to approve programs eligible for grant funding to the State Superintendent, as well as the authority to prorate payments if funding for CTE grants is insufficient to fully fund payments in any year.

Repeal the requirement that DWD and DPI enter into a memorandum of understanding setting forth their respective responsibilities in administering the program, and that DWD annually

provides funds to DPI to make payments under the programs. Maintain the requirement that the State Superintendent annually confer with DWD and the Wisconsin Technical College System (WTCS) to identify industries and occupations facing workforce shortages or shortages of adequately trained, entry-level workers, and annually notify school districts of the identified industries and occupations. [See "Public Instruction -- Categorical Aids."]

Joint Finance/Legislature: Delete provision and retain the programs in DWD. Provide \$3,000,000 GPR annually in additional funding to the Department's CTE incentive grants annual appropriation for funding CTE incentive grant payments to school districts. Total funding for CTE incentive grant payments is \$6,500,000 GPR annually.

2. LOCAL YOUTH APPRENTICESHIP GRANTS

GPR	\$5,532,600
-----	-------------

Joint Finance/Legislature: Provide \$2,766,300 GPR annually in additional funding to the Department's annual appropriation for the purposes of funding local youth apprenticeship (YA) grants. Total funding for YA grants is \$5,000,000 GPR annually.

DWD's youth apprenticeship grant program is an expense reimbursement program with funding statutorily limited to \$900 per student served. The purpose of the grant is to sustain and expand the statewide youth apprenticeship program. All local youth apprenticeship consortia, which are partnerships between employers, school districts, technical colleges, labor, and other training or non-profit organizations, must be approved by DWD and provide matching funds equal to at least 50% of grant funds awarded.

3. DELETE EARLY COLLEGE CREDIT PROGRAM FUNDING

	Governor (Chg. to Base)	Jt. Finance/Leg. (Chg. to Gov)	Net Change
GPR	- \$3,507,000	\$3,507,000	\$0

Governor: Repeal DWD's tuition reimbursement GPR appropriation and delete \$1,753,500 annually. Under current law, the amounts in the appropriation are to reimburse DPI for payments made to school districts under the early college credit program. The program allows high school pupils to enroll in an institution of higher education for the purpose of taking courses for high school credit, college credit, or both. DWD reimburses school districts and governing bodies of private schools as follows for the eligible tuition costs of pupils taking higher education courses: (a) 25% of tuition costs for courses taken for high school credit; or (b) 50% of tuition costs for courses taken for postsecondary credit. The bill would delete the early college credit program, and instead create new dual enrollment programs under the UW System and WTCS. [See "Public Instruction -- Choice, Charter, and Open Enrollment," "Wisconsin Technical College System," and "University of Wisconsin System."]

Joint Finance/Legislature: Delete provision. Act 9 retains the early college credit program, and funding for tuition reimbursement is retained in DWD.

4. TECHNICAL EDUCATION EQUIPMENT GRANT PROGRAM

	Governor (Chg. to Base)	Jt. Finance/Leg. (Chg. to Gov)	Net Change
GPR	- \$1,000,000	\$2,000,000	\$1,000,000

Governor: Transfer \$500,000 GPR annually and DWD's technical education equipment grant annual appropriation to DPI. Transfer from DWD to DPI all provisions in current law that specify the requirements of awarding grants under the technical education equipment grant program. [See "Public Instruction -- Categorical Aids."]

The technical education equipment grant program awards up to \$50,000 for school districts to purchase equipment and related software used in advanced manufacturing fields and to train pupils on such equipment. Districts must match at least double the amount of a technical education equipment grant.

Joint Finance/Legislature: Delete provision and retain the program in DWD. Provide \$500,000 GPR annually in additional funding for technical education equipment grants to school districts. Total funding for equipment grant awards is \$1,000,000 annually.

5. TEACHER DEVELOPMENT GRANTS

	Governor (Chg. to Base)	Jt. Finance/Leg. (Chg. to Gov)	Net Change
GPR	- \$1,000,000	\$1,000,000	\$0

Governor: Repeal DWD's grants for teacher training GPR appropriation and delete \$500,000 annually associated with the appropriation. Combine certain provisions from DWD's teacher training program funded from this appropriation with certain provisions from DWD's teacher development grant program and transfer the combined teacher development training and recruitment grants program to DPI. Rename and transfer DWD's teacher development program grants annual GPR appropriation to DPI to fund the newly created grants program under DPI. [See "Public Instruction -- Categorical Aids."]

DWD's teacher training and recruitment grants program requires DWD to grant funds to nonprofit organizations, with preference given to those that train future teachers who are enrolled in an accredited college or university, that provide continuing education and professional development, and that attempt to place a majority of participants in public or private schools located in low-income or urban school districts in this state.

DWD's teacher development grants program requires DWD to award grants to a school board, to the governing body of a private school, or to a charter management organization that has partnered with a DPI-approved educator preparation program to design and implement a teacher development program that satisfies program requirements as specified under DPI.

Joint Finance/Legislature: Delete provision. The teacher training and recruitment grant program and the teacher development grant program remains in DWD.

6. FAST FORWARD

	Governor (Chg. to Base)	Jt. Finance/Leg. (Chg. to Gov)	Net Change
GPR	- \$500,000	\$500,000	\$0

Governor: Transfer \$250,000 annually from the Department's workforce training grants appropriation ("Fast Forward") to DPI for the administration of the teacher development training and recruitment grants program, as transferred to DPI under the bill. [See "Public Instruction -- Categorical Aids."]

Joint Finance/Legislature: Delete provision. Act 9 maintains base funding of \$6,250,000 GPR annually for DWD's Fast Forward appropriation to support continuing workforce training grants, as well as several additional required expenditures described in the following entries.

7. EMPLOYEE TRAINING GRANTS TO SHIPBUILDERS [LFB Paper 800]

Governor: Require DWD to allocate \$1,000,000 from the Department's workforce training grants GPR appropriation ("Fast Forward") in the 2019-21 fiscal biennium for grants to shipbuilders in this state to train new and current employees. Specify that a shipbuilder that receives a grant under this provision must expend all grant moneys before July 1, 2021, for purposes of training new and current employees. Although not specified in the bill, funding is intended for activities to be conducted by or on behalf of Fincantieri Marinette Marine.

Joint Finance/Legislature: Modify the provision to require DWD to allocate from the Department's Fast Forward appropriation \$1,000,000 GPR in each year of the 2019-21 biennium for grants to shipbuilders to incorporate the funding changes included in DOA's errata letter. The total required allocation from DWD to shipbuilders (Marinette Marine) in the 2019-21 biennium would be \$2,000,000 GPR.

Veto by Governor [B-25]: Delete the requirement that a shipbuilder that receives a grant must expend all grant moneys before July 1, 2021, for purposes of training new and current employees.

[Act 9 Section: 1326]

[Act 9 Vetoed Section: 1326 (as it relates to the deadline for grant expenditures)]

8. MOBILE CLASSROOMS AND INSTITUTIONAL JOB CENTERS

Joint Finance/Legislature: Require DWD to allocate from the Department's workforce training grants GPR appropriation ("Fast Forward") \$425,000 in 2019-20 and \$582,500 in 2020-

21 for grants to the Department of Corrections (DOC) for mobile classrooms and institutional job centers. Of the amounts allocated, \$200,000 in 2019-20 and \$320,000 in 2020-21 are to be allocated for grants to DOC to fund the creation and operation of mobile classrooms. Additionally, \$225,000 in 2019-20 and \$262,500 in 2020-21 are to be allocated for grants to DOC to fund the creation and operation of institutional job centers at six eligible institutions in 2019-20 and at seven eligible institutions in 2020-21. An "eligible institution" is a minimum-security correctional institution or a medium-security prison. Additionally, specify DOC may not use a grant for more than one institutional job center at any eligible institution.

Veto by Governor [B-22]: Delete all references to specific funding amounts and specific numbers of institutions. The partial veto also deletes the provision that DOC may not use a grant for more than one institutional job center at any eligible institution. In the veto message, the Governor directs "DWD and the Department of Corrections to work collaboratively to provide job training opportunities at correctional institutions."

[Act 9 Sections: 1325d thru 1325p]

[Act 9 Vetoed Sections: 1325h and 1325p (as each relates to required allocations in the fiscal biennium)]

9. PROJECT SEARCH [LFB Paper 800]

Governor/Legislature: Require DWD to allocate \$250,000 annually from the Department's workforce training grants GPR appropriation ("Fast Forward") for contracts entered into by DWD to provide services to persons with disabilities under the Project SEARCH program operated by the Cincinnati Children's Hospital or its successor organization. Authorize DWD to enter into contracts under this provision, and in statutes for general agency contracting, create for Project SEARCH contracts an exception to the requirement that the agency must find services that can be provided more economically or efficiently by contract. Modify DWD's workforce training grants appropriation to allow as an eligible expense costs associated with these contracts.

Project SEARCH is a nine- to 12-month program that provides training and education leading to integrated employment for youth with disabilities. Project SEARCH is based on a collaboration that includes a local business, school districts, and DWD's Division of Vocational Rehabilitation.

[Act 9 Sections: 61, 222, and 488]

10. NORTHCENTRAL TECHNICAL COLLEGE WORKFORCE TRAINING IN COUNTY JAIL FACILITIES

Joint Finance/Legislature: Require DWD to award \$75,000 annually in the 2019-21 biennium from the Department's workforce training grants GPR appropriation ("Fast Forward") to the district board for Northcentral Technical College (NTC) for workforce training in county jail facilities. Specify that DWD may not require any matching funds to be provided as a condition of

receiving the grants and that the Department must award the grants to NTC notwithstanding any otherwise applicable eligibility criteria.

Veto by Governor [B-23]: Delete the provision that earmarks workforce training grants for Northcentral Technical College and instead make the \$75,000 annual grants available for workforce training in county jail facilities. The veto retains the provisions that: (a) grants be made notwithstanding any otherwise applicable eligibility criteria; and (b) DWD may not require any matching funds to be provided as a condition of a grant.

[Act 9 Section: 9150(7i)]

[Act 9 Vetoed Section: 9150(7i) (as it relates to an earmark for Northcentral Technical College)]

11. PERSONAL CARE WORKER GRANTS

Joint Finance/Legislature: Authorize as eligible under the Department's workforce training grants GPR appropriation ("Fast Forward") programs that promote the attraction and retention of personal care workers. Require DWD to allocate moneys in the 2019-21 fiscal biennium from the Fast Forward appropriation for a grant program that promotes the attraction and retention of personal care workers who provide home-based care and community-based care, and that focuses on providing quality care. The bill would not specify the amount to be allocated.

Veto by Governor [B-24]: Delete the provision that would have required DWD to allocate moneys in the 2019-21 fiscal biennium from the Department's Fast Forward appropriation for a grant program that promotes the attraction and retention of personal care workers who provide home-based care and community-based care, and that focuses on providing quality care. Grants for programs that promote the attraction and retention of personal care workers remain an eligible expense of moneys from DWD's Fast Forward appropriation.

[Act 9 Section: 1325c]

[Act 9 Vetoed Section: 9150(5i)]

12. YOUTH SUMMER JOBS PROGRAM

Joint Finance/Legislature: Expand eligibility for the Department's youth summer jobs program to include programs outside first-class cities (City of Milwaukee). Under current law, DWD is provided \$422,400 in base funding in an annual appropriation to implement and operate a youth summer jobs program in a first-class city.

Veto by Governor [B-26]: Delete provision.

[Act 9 Vetoed Sections: 230m and 1325b]

13. ELIMINATE WISCONSIN CAREER CREATOR PROGRAM [LFB Paper 800]

Governor: Repeal DWD's continuing GPR appropriation for a worker training and employment program ("career creator"). Eliminate the requirement that, of the amounts provided in the 2019-21 fiscal biennium, DWD allocate \$20,000,000 to provide funding to facilitate worker training and employment in this state. Repeal provisions associated with the career creator program, including the requirement that DWD consult with the WTCS Board and the Wisconsin Economic Development Corporation in implementing the program and submit a plan for implementing the program to the Joint Committee on Finance. The Wisconsin career creator program was created under 2017 Wisconsin Act 58, which authorizes tax incentives and other considerations to a business (Foxconn) in an electronics and information technology manufacturing zone. Act 58 did not provide the program base funding in the 2017-19 biennium.

Joint Finance/Legislature: Delete provision. Language created under 2017 Act 58 remains in statute.

Unemployment Insurance

1. UI DRUG TESTING

	Governor (Chg. to Base)	Jt. Finance/Leg. (Chg. to Gov)	Net Change
GPR	- \$500,000	\$500,000	\$0

Governor: Repeal the unemployment insurance (UI) administration controlled substances testing and treatment appropriation and delete funding of \$250,000 annually associated with the elimination of the biennial appropriation. Under current law, the funding is for conducting screenings of UI applicants, testing applicants for controlled substances, and providing substance abuse treatment to applicants and claimants. The unencumbered balance on June 30 of each odd-numbered year must be transferred to the unemployment program integrity fund. These provisions would be deleted under the bill.

Repeal the requirement that DWD establish a UI occupational drug testing program. Under current law, when a claimant applies for UI benefits, DWD determines whether the claimant is an individual for whom suitable work is only available in an occupation that regularly conducts testing. If the claimant's only suitable work is in an occupation that regularly conducts drug testing, as determined by the U.S. Department of Labor and DWD rules, DWD must screen the claimant to determine whether the claimant should be required to submit to a drug test. The results of the initial screening must provide a reasonable suspicion that the claimant has engaged in the unlawful use of controlled substances for the claimant to be required to submit to a drug test. If the claimant refuses to submit to a drug test or tests positive for a controlled substance for which the claimant

does not have a valid prescription, the claimant is ineligible for UI benefits. A claimant who tests positive may maintain eligibility for UI benefits for each week in which they are in full compliance with a state-sponsored substance abuse treatment program and a state-sponsored job skills assessment. Under federal law, the state may only require drug testing under the program in accordance with U.S. Department of Labor regulations, and final rules regarding which occupations can be subject to drug testing have not been issued.

Repeal all provisions of the UI pre-employment drug testing program. Under current law, an employer may voluntarily submit to DWD the results of a test for the unlawful use of controlled substances that was conducted on an individual as pre-employment screening or notify DWD that an individual declined to submit to such a test as a condition of employment. If an individual tests positive for controlled substances without a valid prescription for the drug, or if the individual refuses to take the test, there is a rebuttable presumption that the claimant refused to accept suitable work. If an employer reports that an individual refused to submit to a drug test or tested positive for a controlled substance, the claimant would be ineligible for UI benefits until the individual earns wages in subsequent employment equal to at least six times the individual's weekly benefit rate. A claimant who tests positive for a controlled substance as part of a pre-employment screening may maintain eligibility for UI benefits for each week in which the claimant is in full compliance with a state-sponsored substance abuse treatment program and a state-sponsored job skills assessment.

Provide that the effective date of the repeal of the pre-employment drug testing program and the occupational drug testing program would be the Sunday after publication of the bill, with the repeal of the pre-employment drug testing program first applying to initial claims for benefits filed on the Sunday after publication of the bill.

Joint Finance/Legislature: Delete provision.

2. UI WEEKLY BENEFIT RATE

Governor: Increase the maximum weekly benefit rate for each eligible UI recipient from \$370 to \$406 for each week of total unemployment that commences on or after January 5, 2020. The current maximum weekly benefit rate of \$370 has been in effect since January 6, 2014. The current minimum weekly benefit rate that an eligible UI recipient could qualify for would remain unchanged at \$54.

Under current law, the weekly benefit rate equals 4% of the employee's base period wages that were paid during that quarter of the employee's base period in which the employee was paid the highest total wages. If that amount is less than \$54, no benefits are payable to the employee. If that amount is more than the maximum weekly benefit rate, the employee's weekly benefit rate is the maximum rate. Under current law, the minimum weekly benefit rate of \$54 requires high-quarter earnings of \$1,350 while the maximum weekly benefit rate of \$370 requires high-quarter earnings of \$9,250.

Specify that the effective date of the provision would be the first Sunday of the third month beginning after publication of the bill.

Joint Finance/Legislature: Delete provision.

3. UI WAITING PERIOD

Governor: Repeal the one-week waiting period requirement for UI benefits. Under this provision, a claimant for UI benefits would start receiving benefit payments beginning with the individual's first week of eligibility.

Under current law, the claimant's waiting period is the first week of a claimant's benefit year for which the claimant is otherwise eligible for regular benefits. During a claimant's waiting period, no benefits are payable to the claimant. The current one-week waiting period went into effect with all benefit years starting as of January 1, 2012. The waiting period does not affect a claimant's maximum benefit amount. A claimant must serve one waiting week per benefit year.

The effective date of this provision would be the first Sunday after publication of the bill. This provision would first apply to a claimant benefit year beginning on that effective date.

Joint Finance/Legislature: Delete provision.

4. UI WAGE THRESHOLD

Governor: Require DWD to annually adjust the UI wage threshold amount for receipt of UI benefits (currently \$500) by the average annual percentage change in the U.S. Consumer Price Index for all urban consumers, U.S. city average, as determined by the U.S. Department of Labor, effective January 1 of each year, with the first adjustment being effective on January 1, 2020. Specify that DWD must annually have the revised wage threshold amount published in the Wisconsin Administrative Register.

Under current law, regular UI benefits may be available to individuals who are partially employed during a week but not receiving more than \$500 during that week in wages earned for work performed in that week, sick pay, holiday pay, vacation pay, termination pay, bonus pay, back pay, or any combination thereof.

Joint Finance/Legislature: Delete provision.

5. UI SUITABLE WORK

Governor: Modify provisions that define suitable work and what is considered good cause for failing to accept suitable work for UI claimants.

Provide that an employee has good cause for failing to return to work or accept suitable work, regardless of the reason articulated by the employee for the failure, if DWD determines that: (a) the failure involved work at a lower grade of skill or significantly lower rate of pay than applied to the employee on one or more recent jobs; and (b) that the employee had not yet had a reasonable opportunity, considering labor market conditions and the employee's degree of skill, to seek a new

job substantially in line with the employee's prior job skill and rate of pay, provided the term of unemployment does not exceed six weeks.

Require DWD to define, by rule, what constitutes suitable work for claimants, which must specify different levels of suitable work based upon the number of weeks that a claimant has received benefits in a given benefit year.

Under current law, if an employee fails, without good cause, to accept suitable work when offered, the employee is ineligible to receive benefits until the employee re-establishes eligibility by earning wages in subsequent covered employment. In the first six weeks after the employee became unemployed, suitable work means: (a) the work does not involve a lower grade of skill than one or more of his or her most recent jobs, and (b) the hourly wage for the work is 75% or more of what the employee earned on the highest paying of his or her most recent jobs. Beginning in the seventh week after the employee became unemployed, suitable work means any work that the employee is capable of performing, regardless of whether the employee has any relevant experience or training, that pays wages that are above the lowest quartile of wages for similar work in the labor market area in which the work is located, as determined by DWD. An employee is considered to have good cause for failing to accept suitable work for reasons including the employee's personal safety, sincerely held religious beliefs, an unreasonable commuting distance, or other compelling reason. The bill would delete these provisions.

Require DWD to submit a notice to the Legislative Reference Bureau for publication in the Wisconsin Administrative Register when DWD determines that it has rules in place to define suitable work.

Provide that these provisions take effect on the date the rules are published in the Wisconsin Administrative Register or on January 3, 2021, whichever occurs first. Specify that these provisions initially apply to UI benefit determinations issued on the effective date of the provision.

Joint Finance/Legislature: Delete provision.

6. UI SUBSTANTIAL FAULT

Governor: Modify the definition of substantial fault in current law. Modify provisions in current law that specify that an employee terminated for substantial fault is ineligible to receive UI benefits until certain conditions are met.

Under current law, DWD uses a two-tier standard to determine whether claimants who are discharged qualify for UI benefits. A claimant will be disqualified if they are discharged for misconduct or for substantial fault connected with the employment. If it cannot be determined that the employee was discharged for misconduct, a disqualification under substantial fault is considered by the Department. The definition of "substantial fault" includes acts or omissions of an employee over which the employee exercised reasonable control and that violate the employer's reasonable requirements. Substantial fault essentially means that if an employer establishes a reasonable job policy to which an employee can conform, failure to conform constitutes substantial fault. An employee who is discharged for misconduct or substantial fault connected with his or her

employment will have total entitlement for benefits reduced with respect to wages from the discharging employer and is ineligible for benefits based on work for other employers unless he or she requalifies. To requalify, seven weeks must elapse since the end of the week in which the discharge occurs and the employee must earn wages in subsequent covered employment equal to at least 14 times the weekly benefit rate he or she would have received if termination had not occurred.

The bill would replace the substantial fault provision with a provision on absenteeism or tardiness by an employee. Under the bill, tardiness becomes excessive if an employee is late for six or more scheduled workdays in the 12-month period preceding the date of the discharge without providing adequate notice to his or her employer. Also under the bill, absenteeism becomes excessive if an employee is absent for five or more scheduled workdays in the 12-month period preceding the date of the discharge without providing adequate notice to his or her employer.

Employee Requalification Requirements. Specify that if an employee is discharged for failing to notify his or her employer of absenteeism or tardiness that becomes excessive, and the employer has complied with all employer requirements as described below, the employee is ineligible to receive benefits until six weeks have elapsed since the end of the week in which the discharge occurs and the employee earns wages after the week in which the discharge occurs equal to at least six times the employee's weekly benefit rate in employment or other work covered by the UI law of any state or the federal government. For purposes of requalification, the employee's weekly benefit rate shall be the rate that would have been paid had the discharge not occurred.

Employer Notification Requirements. Specify that the requalifying requirements apply only if the employer has a written policy on notification of tardiness or absences that satisfies all of the following: (a) defines what constitutes a single occurrence of tardiness or absenteeism; (b) describes the process for providing adequate notice of tardiness or absence; and (c) notifies the employee that failure to provide adequate notice of an absence or tardiness may lead to discharge. The employer shall provide a copy of the written policy to each employee and shall have written evidence that the employee received a copy of that policy. The employer must have given the employee at least one warning concerning the employee's violation of the employer's written policy within the 12-month period preceding the date of the discharge. The employer must apply the written policy uniformly to all employees of the employer.

Specify that the effective date of the provision is January 5, 2020, and that these provisions first apply to UI benefit determinations on that effective date.

Joint Finance/Legislature: Delete provision.

7. UI WORK SEARCH WAIVERS

Governor: Repeal the provisions of 2017 Act 370 that codify in statute work-registration and work-search waiver provisions for certain UI claimants that were previously contained only within the administrative code. Restore DWD's general rulemaking authority, which had been eliminated by Act 370, to establish waivers from work search and registration requirements.

From 2004 until June 14, 2015, the Department, by administrative rule, waived a claimant's

search requirement if the claimant was laid off but there was a reasonable expectation of reemployment of the claimant by that employer. As of July 14, 2015, the Department altered the administrative rule to provide a work-search waiver only if the claimant is currently laid off from employment but there is a reasonable expectation that the claimant will be returning to employment within a period of eight weeks, with a possibility of one additional four-week extension. 2017 Act 370 codified in statute the work-search waivers that were previously prescribed by rule of the Department.

Require DWD to submit a notice to the Legislative Reference Bureau for publication in the Wisconsin Administrative Register when DWD determines that the Department has any rules in place that are necessary to provide waivers from the work search and registration requirements. The effective date of the provision would be on the date the notice is published in the Wisconsin Administrative Register or on January 3, 2021, whichever occurs first. Specify that the provision first applies to initial claims for benefits filed on that effective date.

Joint Finance/Legislature: Delete provision.

8. UI VOLUNTARY TERMINATION

Governor: Provide that if a prospective claimant's spouse was required by his or her employing unit to relocate to a place to which it is impractical for the claimant to commute, that the voluntary termination exception for UI benefits would apply to that claimant. Under current law, if an employee voluntarily terminates (quits) employment, the employee is ineligible to receive UI benefits until the employee earns wages after the week in which the voluntary termination occurs equal to at least six times the employee's weekly benefit rate. However, an employee is exempt from the requirement if the employee's spouse is a member of the U.S. Armed Forces on active duty. The bill would expand eligibility for the voluntary termination exemption if the employee's spouse was required by his or her employing unit to relocate to a place to which it is impractical for the employee to commute.

The effective date of the provision would be the first Sunday after publication of the bill. The provision would apply to UI benefit determinations beginning on the effective date of the provision.

Joint Finance/Legislature: Delete provision.

Equal Rights

1. MINIMUM WAGE

Governor: Specify annual increases to the minimum wage level for most employees, from the effective date of the bill to January 1, 2024. The following table shows the current minimum wages rates and those provided under the bill.

Minimum Wage Rates

	<u>Current Law</u>	Beginning on Effective Date of Bill Through <u>12/31/20</u>	Beginning 1/1/21 Through <u>12/31/21</u>	Beginning 1/1/22 Through <u>12/31/22</u>	Beginning 1/1/23 Through <u>12/31/23</u>
Adult, Minor, or Agricultural Employee	\$7.25	\$8.25	\$9.00	\$9.75	\$10.50
Opportunity Employee	5.90	6.71	7.32	7.93	8.54
Tipped Employee	2.33	2.65	2.89	3.13	3.37
Tipped Opportunity Employee	2.13	2.42	2.64	2.86	3.08
Caddies					
9 Holes	5.90	6.71	7.32	7.93	8.54
18 Holes	10.50	11.95	13.03	14.12	15.21
Camp Counselors (Adult and Minor), weekly rate					
No Board or Lodging	350.00	398.28	434.48	470.69	506.90
Board Only	265.00	284.48	310.34	336.21	362.07
With Board and Lodging	210.00	238.97	260.69	282.41	304.14

Require DWD to revise each minimum wage rate in effect on January 1, 2024 (last column in above table), and on each January 1 thereafter, by the percentage change in the Consumer Price Index (CPI) for the most recent 12-month period for which full-month information is available. The bill would require DWD to annually revise the amount published in the Wisconsin Administrative Register and on the DWD internet site.

Define "consumer price index" to mean the average of the CPI over each 12-month period for all urban consumers, U.S. city average, all items, not seasonally adjusted, as determined by the Bureau of Labor Statistics of the U.S. Department of Labor.

Minimum Wage Study Committee. Require the DWD Secretary to establish a minimum wage study committee to consist of the following members: (a) five members appointed by the Governor; (b) one member appointed by the Speaker of the Assembly; (c) one member appointed by the Minority Leader of the Assembly; (d) one member appointed by the Majority Leader of the Senate; and (e) one member appointed by the Minority Leader of the Senate. Require the committee to study options to achieve a \$15 per hour minimum wage and other options to increase compensation for workers in this state. No later than October 1, 2020, require the committee to submit to the Governor and the appropriate standing committees of the Legislature a report that includes recommendations regarding the options for achieving a \$15 per hour minimum wage and other means of increasing worker compensation in this state. Specify that the minimum wage study committee would terminate upon submission of the report.

Joint Finance/Legislature: Delete provision.

2. RIGHT TO WORK

Governor: Repeal the provisions of 2015 Wisconsin Act 1 that specify that no person may require, as a condition of obtaining or continuing employment, an individual to do any of the following: (a) refrain or resign from membership in, voluntary affiliation with, or voluntary

financial support of a labor organization; (b) become or remain a member of a labor organization; (c) pay any dues, fees, assessments, or other charges or expenses of any kind or amount, or provide anything of value, to a labor organization; or (d) pay to any third party an amount that is in place of, equivalent to, or any portion of dues, fees, assessments, or other charges or expenses required of members of, or employees represented by, a labor organization. Delete current law specifying that these provisions apply to the extent permitted under federal law and that if a section of a contract violates this provision, that section of the contract is void.

Unfair Labor Practices. For the purposes of the following provisions, the definition of "employer" does not include the state or any political subdivision thereof.

Modify the current declaration of an unfair labor practice for an employer to encourage or discourage membership in any labor organization, employee agency, committee, association, or representation plan by discrimination in regard to hiring, tenure, or other terms or conditions of employment. Create an exception for a collective bargaining unit where an all-union, fair-share, or maintenance of membership agreement is in effect. Under current law, an "all-union agreement" means an agreement between an employer and the representative of the employer's employees in a collective bargaining unit whereby all or any of the employees in such unit are required to be members of a single labor organization. The terms "fair-share agreement" and "maintenance of membership agreement" are not defined under the bill or in the pertinent statutory sections the bill would modify.

Modify the current declaration of unfair labor practice for an employer to bargain collectively with the representatives of less than a majority of the employer's employees in a collective bargaining unit, or to enter into an all-union agreement, by creating an exception for an employer who does so with the voluntarily recognized representative of the employees in a collective bargaining unit, where at least a majority of such employees voting have voted affirmatively, by secret ballot, in favor of the all-union agreement in a referendum conducted by the Wisconsin Employment Relations Commission (WERC). If the bargaining representative has been certified by either WERC or the National Labor Relations Board as the result of a representation election, no referendum is required to authorize the entry into an all-union agreement.

Specify that the authorization of an all-union agreement continues, subject to the right of either party to the agreement to petition WERC to conduct a new referendum on the subject. Upon receipt of the petition, if WERC determines there is reasonable ground to believe that the employees concerned have changed their attitude toward the all-union agreement, WERC shall conduct a referendum. If the continuance of the all-union agreement is supported on a referendum by a majority vote, it may continue, subject to the right to petition for a further vote by the same procedure. If the continuance of the all-union agreement is not supported on a referendum, it terminates at the expiration of the contract of which it is then a part or at the end of one year from the date of the announcement by WERC of the result of the referendum, whichever is earlier. Require WERC to declare any all-union agreement terminated whenever it finds that the labor organization involved has unreasonably refused to receive as a member any employee of such employer. An interested person may, as specified in current law, request WERC to perform this duty.

Modify the current declaration of an unfair labor practice for an employer to deduct labor organization dues or assessments from an employee's earnings, unless the employer has been presented with an individual order signed by the employee, and terminable by the employee. Create an exception for cases in which there is an all-union, fair-share, or maintenance of membership agreement in effect. The employer must give notice to the labor organization of receipt of a notice of termination.

Specify that it be an unfair labor practice for an employer to fail to give the notice of intention to engage in a lockout as provided in s. 111.115(3) of the statutes, which refers to a strike at a certain type of agricultural processing facility.

Declaration of Policy. Recreate a state declaration of policy on employment relations repealed under Act 1. The declaration would state, in part:

(a) that the public policy of the state, as to employment relations and collective bargaining, recognizes that there are three major interests: the public, the employee, and the employer; and that these three interests are interrelated and that it be the policy of the state to protect and promote each of these interests with due regard to the situation and to the rights of the others; and

(b) that industrial peace, regular and adequate income for the employee, and uninterrupted production of goods and services are promotive of all of these interests and are dependent upon the maintenance of fair, friendly, and mutually satisfactory employment relations and the availability of suitable machinery for the peaceful adjustment of whatever controversies may arise; that whatever may be the rights of disputants, they should not be permitted to intrude directly into the primary rights of third parties to earn a livelihood, transact business, and engage in the ordinary affairs of life; and

(c) that negotiations of terms and conditions of work should result from voluntary agreement between employer and employee; and that an employee has the right, if the employee desires, to associate with others in organizing and bargaining collectively through representatives of the employee's own choosing; and

(d) that it would be the policy of the state, in order to preserve and promote the interests of the public, the employee, and the employer, to establish standards of fair conduct in employment relations and to provide a convenient, expeditious, and impartial tribunal by which these interests may have their respective rights and obligations adjudicated.

Penalties. Repeal the provision that specifies that anyone who violates the right to work law is guilty of a Class A misdemeanor.

Joint Finance/Legislature: Delete provision.

3. PREVAILING WAGE

Governor: Restore the state prevailing wage law as the law existed prior to 2015 Act 55 by repealing the provisions of 2015 Act 55 that eliminated the state prevailing wage law that applied to local projects of public works (counties, villages, towns, cities, school districts,

municipal utilities and technical colleges) and the provisions of 2017 Act 59 that eliminated the state prevailing wage law that applied to state agency and state highway projects.

Under current law, there are no state prevailing wage standards for local projects of public works, state agency projects, or state highway projects. The state prevailing wage requirements for local projects were repealed effective January 1, 2017. The state prevailing wage requirements for state agency and state highway projects were repealed effective September 23, 2017. These changes did not affect federal Davis-Bacon Act requirements, which specify that building and highway projects that utilize at least \$2,000 in federal funds are subject to the federal prevailing wage rates as determined by the U.S. Department of Labor.

Under the bill, the state prevailing wage law would be as it was immediately prior to the passage of 2015 Act 55. Generally, the prevailing wage law under the bill would consist of the following major elements:

Application of the Prevailing Wage Law. Specify that state prevailing wage requirements apply based on various project cost thresholds. For a single-trade project, the threshold is \$48,000, whereas the threshold for a multiple-trade project is either \$100,000 or \$234,000; the latter applies to public works projects erected, constructed, repaired, remodeled, or demolished by a private contractor for a city or village with a population less than 2,500, or for a town. A "single-trade project" is defined as one in which a single trade (such as a carpenter, glazier, or electrician) accounts for 85% or more of the total labor cost of the project. A "multiple-trade project" is defined as one in which no single trade accounts for more than 85% of the total labor cost of the project.

Prevailing Hours of Labor. Specify that workers to whom state prevailing wage law applies may not be permitted to work a greater number of hours per day or per week than the prevailing hours of labor, unless they are paid for all hours worked in excess of prevailing hours of labor at a rate of at least 1.5 times their hourly basic rate of pay. Define "prevailing hours of labor" to mean 10 hours per day and 40 hours per week and may not include any hours worked on a Saturday or Sunday, or on certain holidays.

Prevailing Wage Rate. Define "prevailing wage rate" to mean the hourly basic rate of pay, plus the hourly contribution for health insurance, vacation, pension, and any other economic benefit, paid for a majority of the hours worked in a trade or occupation on projects in an area (generally the county). If there is no rate at which a majority of the hours worked in the occupation on projects in the area is paid, the prevailing wage rate would mean the average hourly basic rate of pay, weighted by the number of hours worked, plus the average hourly contribution, weighted by the number of hours worked, for health insurance benefits, vacation benefits, pension benefits and any other bona fide economic benefit, paid for all hours worked at the hourly basic rate of pay of the highest-paid 51% of hours worked in that trade or occupation on projects in that area.

Survey Process. Require DWD to determine prevailing wage rates for each trade or occupation in each area of the state by January 1 of each year. The survey would be based on a statutorily prescribed annual survey process for all types of local public works projects, state agency public works projects excluding highways and bridges, and state-contracted highway construction projects. Provide that DWD may not collect survey data from projects that are subject to the state or federal prevailing wage requirements unless DWD determines that there is

insufficient wage data in the area to determine a prevailing wage rate.

Administration and Enforcement. Require DWD to enforce all local and state prevailing wage laws and the Department of Transportation (DOT) to administer and enforce federal and state prevailing wage laws for highway and bridge construction projects. Require DWD, by May 1 of each year, to certify to DOT the prevailing wage rates in each area for all trades or occupations commonly employed in the highway construction industry.

Specify that all provisions regarding compliance, enforcement, inspection, notice, appeals, remedies, coverage, and penalties from the state prevailing wage law as it was prior to the enactment of 2015 Act 55 would be recreated and made effective on the date of the bill.

Retain the current prohibition against local governments enacting or administering their own prevailing wage laws or similar ordinances. Currently, a local governmental unit may not enact and administer an ordinance or other enactment requiring laborers, workers, mechanics, and truck drivers employed on projects of public works, or on publicly funded private construction projects, to be paid the prevailing wage rate and to be paid at least 1.5 times their hourly basic rate of pay for hours worked in excess of the prevailing hours of labor or any similar ordinance or enactment.

Specify that for a project of public works that is subject to bidding, the prevailing wage repeal first applies to a project for which the request for bids is issued on or after the effective date of the bill.

Specify that for a project of public works that is not subject to bidding, the prevailing wage repeal first applies to a contract that is entered into on or after the effective date of the bill.

Joint Finance/Legislature: Delete provision.

4. FAMILY AND MEDICAL LEAVE

Governor: Specify that an employer would be covered by the family and medical leave law if the employer has at least 25 permanent employees in this state. Under current law, an employer that employs at least 50 individuals on a permanent basis in this state is required to allow an employee who has been employed by the employer for more than 52 consecutive weeks and who has worked for the employer for at least 1,000 hours during the preceding 52 weeks to take the following: (a) six weeks of family leave in a 12-month period for the birth or adoptive placement of a child; (b) two weeks of family leave in a 12-month period to care for the employee's child, spouse, domestic partner, or parent with a serious health condition; and (c) two weeks of medical leave in a 12-month period when the employee has a serious health condition that makes the employee unable to perform the employee's employment duties.

Definition of Child. Expand the definition of "child" under current family and medical leave law to mean a natural, adopted, or foster child, a stepchild, or a legal ward. The bill would delete requirements a child be: (a) less than 18 years of age; or (b) 18 years of age or older and unable to care for himself or herself because of a serious health condition.

Covered Active Duty. Provide that an employee covered under the law be allowed to take

six weeks of family leave in a 12-month period because of any qualifying exigency, as determined by DWD by rule, arising out of the fact that the spouse, child, domestic partner, parent, grandparent, grandchild, or sibling of the employee is on covered active duty or has been notified of an impending call or order to covered active duty. If the employee intends to take leave that is foreseeable because the spouse, child, domestic partner, parent, grandparent, grandchild, or sibling of the employee is on covered active duty or has been notified of an impending call or order to covered active duty, the employee shall provide notice of that intention to the employer in a reasonable and practicable manner.

Specify that if an employee requests leave under the covered active duty provision, the employer may require the employee to provide certification that the spouse, child, domestic partner, parent, grandparent, grandchild, or sibling of the employee is on covered active duty or has been notified of an impending call or order to covered active duty issued at such time and in such manner as the DWD may prescribe by rule, and the employee must provide a copy of that certification to the employer in a timely manner.

Define "covered active duty" to mean any of the following: (a) in the case of a member of a regular component of the U.S. Armed Forces, duty during the deployment of the member with the U.S. Armed Forces to a foreign country, or (b) in the case of a member of a reserve component of the U.S. Armed Forces, duty during the deployment of the member with the U.S. Armed Forces to a foreign country under a call or order to active duty.

Care for Grandparent, Grandchild, or Sibling. Specify that an employee covered under the law be allowed to take two weeks of family leave in a 12-month period to care for a grandparent, grandchild, or sibling, in addition to the current family leave definition that specifies that an employee may take family leave to care for the employee's child, spouse, domestic partner, or parent, if the child, spouse, domestic partner, or parent has a serious health condition.

Define the following: (a) "grandchild" to mean the child of a child; (b) "grandparent" to mean the parent of a parent; (c) "sibling" to mean a brother, sister, half-brother, half-sister, stepbrother, or stepsister, whether by blood, marriage, or adoption; and (d) "employee" to mean an individual employed in this state by an employer, except the employer's child, spouse, domestic partner, parent, grandparent, grandchild, or sibling. Current law does not include grandparent, grandchild, or sibling.

Provide that current family and medical leave law governing proper notice to employers, proper medical certifications, and administrative proceedings, that currently reference child, spouse, domestic partner, parent, and employee, also include references to grandparent, grandchild and sibling.

Closure of Child Care Center, Provider or School. Specify that an employee covered under the law be allowed to take six weeks of family leave in a 12-month period because a child care center, child care provider, or school that the employee's child attends is experiencing an unforeseen or unexpected short-term closure.

Provide that if an employee requests leave due to such a closure, the employer may require the employee to provide certification that the child care center, child care provider, or school that

the employee's child attends is experiencing an unforeseen or unexpected short-term closure. Under the bill, DWD may prescribe by rule the form and content of the certification.

Posting. Delete a requirement that any person employing at least 25 individuals post, in one or more conspicuous places where notices to employees are customarily posted, a notice describing the person's policy with respect to leave for the reasons under the family and medical leave law. Current law already requires that each employer post, in one or more conspicuous places where notices to employees are customarily posted, a notice in a form approved by DWD setting forth employees' rights under the family and medical leave law.

Joint Finance/Legislature: Delete provision.

5. LOCAL EMPLOYMENT REGULATIONS

Governor: Repeal the provisions of 2017 Wisconsin Act 327, which prohibits local units of government from enacting or enforcing ordinances related to any of the following: (a) regulations related to wage claims and collections; (b) requiring a person to accept provisions of a collective bargaining agreement or to waive rights under state or federal labor relations laws (defined as the National Labor Relations Act and the Labor Management Relations Act); (c) regulation of employee hours of labor or overtime, including scheduling of employee work hours or shifts; (d) requiring an employer to provide certain employment benefits, including retirement, pension, profit sharing, insurance, or leave benefits; (e) prohibiting an employer from requesting the salary history of a prospective employee; (f) prohibiting requiring any person to waive the person's rights under state or federal labor laws, or compel or attempt to compel a person to agree to waive the person's rights under state or federal labor laws, as a condition of any regulatory approval or other approval by the local governmental unit; or (g) imposing occupational licensing requirements on an individual that are more stringent than state-imposed licensing requirements for the profession.

Repeal the prohibition on local units of government from enacting ordinances that require employers to provide employees with paid or unpaid family and medical leave from employment for employees of private employers. This would generally delete provisions enacted under 2011 Wisconsin Act 16.

Recreate provisions from the 2015 statutes specifying that the prohibition on a local government (county, city, village, or town) from enacting a minimum wage ordinance does not affect a local government ordinance that applies the state prevailing wage law requirements specified under the bill to an employee of a local government, a contractor for the local government, or a person performing work using financial assistance from the local government.

Joint Finance/Legislature: Delete provision.

6. PROJECT LABOR AGREEMENTS

Governor: Repeal the provisions of 2017 Wisconsin Act 3, which prohibits state and local units of government from any of the following in letting bids for state procurement or public works

contracts: (a) require that a bidder enter into or adhere to an agreement with a labor organization; (b) consider, as a factor in making an award, whether any bidder has or has not entered into an agreement with a labor organization; or (c) require that a bidder enter into, adhere to, or enforce any agreement that requires, as a condition of employment, that the bidder or bidder's employees become or remain members of, or be affiliated with, a labor organization or pay any dues, fees, assessments, or other charges or expenses of any kind or amount, or provide anything of value, to a labor organization or a labor organization's health, welfare, retirement, or other benefit plan or program.

Joint Finance/Legislature: Delete provision.

7. JOB APPLICANT CONVICTION HISTORY

Governor: Provide that employment discrimination because of a conviction record includes requesting an applicant for employment, on an application form or otherwise, to supply information regarding the conviction record of the applicant, or otherwise inquiring into or considering the conviction record of an applicant for employment, before the applicant has been selected for an interview by the prospective employer. Specify that this provision does not prohibit an employer from notifying applicants for employment that an individual with a particular conviction record may be disqualified by law or under the employer's policies from employment in particular positions. Under the bill, these provisions first apply to an application for employment submitted to an employer on the first day of the sixth month beginning after publication of the bill.

Joint Finance/Legislature: Delete provision.