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## Historical Development of State Sales Taxation in the U.S.

State sales taxes in the United States developed independently of similar taxes in other countries. The sales tax originated in the occupation taxes that some states imposed on certain business activities in the nineteenth and early twentieth centuries. Beginning in the 1930s, the occupational taxes evolved into the world's first significant retail sales taxes.

The first state retail sales tax was enacted by Mississippi in 1932, replacing a broad business occupation tax. As with other business occupation taxes, the Mississippi tax had been imposed on the receipts of each taxable business. Therefore, a product making its way through the distribution chain was taxed at each stage of production. With conversion to a retail sales tax, only the final sale was taxed, which necessitated raising the tax rate to generate the same amount of revenue. The sales tax was attractive to other states because of its relative administrative simplicity and its productivity as a revenue source, particularly in a time of economic depression. The tax allowed states to design a selective tax on nonessential goods and avoid imposing additional taxes directly on business. Prior to World War II, 22 states joined Mississippi in enacting permanent sales taxes; another six enacted taxes which expired within two years.

The second wave of sales tax activity began in 1947 with enactment of a tax by Tennessee. By 1960, another 12 states (and the District of

Columbia) had enacted or reenacted sales taxes. By 1969, an additional 11 states had done so (including Wisconsin in 1962), bringing the total number of states with sales taxes to the current 45. The five states that do not have a sales tax (Alaska, Delaware, Montana, New Hampshire, and Oregon) have relatively small populations, which reduces the revenue potential of the tax. However, Alaska makes broad use of local sales taxes. Thus, while $90 \%$ of the states impose a sales tax, $98 \%$ of the U.S. population live in states with a sales tax.

## The Nature of Sales Taxation

The most common sales tax structure in use by the states is a single-stage retail sales tax on gross receipts from the sales of certain personal property. However, there is significant variation in the nature and extent of state sales taxes. For purposes of this discussion, a distinction is made between narrow-based, product-specific excise taxes, and broad-based taxes; the discussion will be limited to broad-based taxes.

Within the broad-based category, there can be two basic types of tax imposed: a general sales tax with a broadly-defined tax base and specificallyidentified exemptions, or a selective tax imposed only on the sales of those products listed in the statutes. These approaches can be combined within a state. For example, Wisconsin imposes a general sales tax on goods and a selective tax on services. Furthermore, the tax can be imposed on only retail
sales. Retail sales are generally those sales made to private consumers, but also may include some purchases of certain property to be used, but not resold, in a trade or business.

As state sales taxes have developed over the years, standards have evolved for evaluating tax structures for their efficiency, equity, administration and compliance. Due and Mikesell summarize the following sales tax structure guidelines in Sales Taxation: State and Local Structure and Administration:

1. As a uniform tax on consumer expenditures, the sales tax should be designed to facilitate shifting to the ultimate consumer, apply at a uniform rate to all except justifiably-exempt consumption expenditures, and be based on the final consumer price paid.
2. The tax structure should attempt to minimize regressivity in the distribution of the tax burden, based on accepted principles of equity.
3. The tax structure should not impede economic efficiency by indirectly favoring certain types of distribution channels, methods of business operation or forms of business organization.
4. The tax structure should facilitate administration and compliance.

As tax policy goals, these principles find widely varying degrees of adherence among state sales tax structures. Two main problems with sales tax structure are the regressive nature of the tax and the use of exemptions from the tax base.

## Regressivity of the Sales Tax

The sales tax has generally been thought to be inherently regressive because the proportion of an individual's or family's income devoted to consumption declines as income increases. Persons at the lower income levels, therefore, tend to pay a
larger share of their income in sales tax. The sales tax base and exemptions, however, have a significant impact on the degree of sales tax regressivity. In Wisconsin, the exemption of food, drugs and certain other items, and imposition of the tax on certain services, offsets part of the regressive effect.

The 1979 Wisconsin Tax Burden Study by the Department of Revenue (DOR) is the most comprehensive study of the incidence of major Wisconsin taxes. This study found that the sales tax was regressive for persons with total economic income up to $\$ 6,000$, but closer to proportional for incomes between $\$ 6,000$ and $\$ 40,000$. The results for incomes greater than $\$ 40,000$ vary with the assumptions used and the particular income level, but tend towards regressivity.

A 1992 study conducted by Gilbert Metcalf challenged the notion that the sales tax is inherently regressive. Metcalf computed the lifetime incidence of the major state and local taxes used during the 1980s and concluded that the incidence of a general sales tax is not regressive when viewed over a consumer's lifetime purchases. In fact, he contends that the sales tax is as progressive as the income tax when viewed with a lifetime perspective for the following three reasons: (1) the variation in income due to "life cycle effects" is eliminated with a lifetime perspective as a cause of variations in tax incidence which would tend to make the sales tax look less regressive and the income tax less progressive; (2) federal tax deductibility of the income tax tends to make the sales tax more progressive and the income tax less progressive; and (3) exemptions for items with low elasticities (such as food for home consumption) in a majority of states tend to increase the progressivity of the sales tax.

Metcalf argues that the sales tax may seem regressive if sales tax is computed as a percentage of a household's income in any given year, even considering exemptions for food, prescription
drugs and other necessities. However, he contends, many households at the low end of the income distribution are not poor. Rather, they are spending income from the past or future. For example, some young people who will eventually make a good living borrow to buy now and some retired people live off of savings. Thus, lower-income people may consume more than they earn and may appear very different when viewed with a lifetime income perspective.

The regressivity of the sales tax is also impacted by whether individual consumers or businesses pay the tax. Sales taxes paid by businesses on their taxable purchases represent business costs that ultimately are reflected in market prices or reduced after-tax profits. If the tax is reflected in higher prices that consumers pay for the products of business, then the tax is shifted forward to consumers. If it is reflected in reduced profits or dividends, then it is borne by the stockholders or owners of the business. A tax would tend to be more regressive if consumers ultimately bear the sales tax than if stockholders ultimately bear the tax. This is because consumption expenditures account for a larger proportion of incomes of lower-income families than for higher-income families, while a larger proportion of stockownership is in the hands of higher-income families.

The Tax Burden Study estimated that individuals paid $55 \%$ and businesses paid $45 \%$ of the sales tax. The Department of Revenue has more recently estimated that $30 \%$ of total sales tax revenues are paid by businesses. Alternatively, the Advisory Commission on Intergovernmental Relations, in a 1981 study, estimated that the average state received $35 \%$ of its sales tax revenues from businesses. In 1999, the National Tax Journal published a study by Raymond Ring, Jr. that utilized state sales tax data from 1979 and 1989. Ring reported that, on average, approximately $59 \%$ of the sales tax was paid by in-state consumers and $41 \%$ was paid by businesses and tourists. The Ring
study estimated that, in Wisconsin, in-state consumers paid $62 \%$ of the state sales tax, while the remaining $38 \%$ was paid by businesses and tourists.

## Exemptions from Sales Tax Base

As noted, most states provide a number of sales tax exemptions, which can have two very different effects. On one hand, exemptions narrow the tax base (which puts a greater burden on the purchasers of goods remaining taxable), impede economic efficiency, create inequities between types of businesses, and complicate administration and compliance of the tax. On the other hand, when enacted in the context of a generally broadbased tax, exemptions can be used selectively to lessen the regressivity of the tax.

It is generally agreed that a strong case for an exemption can be made for food for home consumption. If food is exempt from an otherwise general sales tax, the tax tends to become proportional over the middle range of income levels, although remaining regressive as to the highest and lowest income levels. A strong case can also be made for an exemption for prescription drugs. Most states exempt food and prescription drugs from the sales tax.

One of the broadest exemptions in many states applies to personal and business services. Unlike most consumer expenditures, spending on services tends to increase as income rises. Therefore, imposing the sales tax on most services could reduce the regressivity of the tax.

Providing even a limited number of exemptions tends to decrease the simplicity and administrative efficiency of an otherwise general sales tax. For this reason, use of an income tax credit approximating a portion of sales taxes paid (for example average sales taxes paid on food and other essential items) is frequently suggested, and is used in some states, to introduce a more directly progressive element
into the sales tax. Such a credit would be most effective if made refundable and thus available to persons with no income tax liability. It could be structured as a flat credit amount limited to persons below a certain income level, or as a declining amount as income rises. Alternative approaches for income tax reductions based on sales taxes paid include an increase in the standard deduction or an increase in the allowable personal exemption credits. With each of these proposals, the initial problem is determining the appropriate amount of relief or credit. Unlike property tax relief credits, such as Wisconsin's homestead credit, there is no convenient measure of the amount of tax actually paid for use in determining the appropriate amount of relief. For this reason, limiting the overall cost of the credit is frequently the dominant issue debated.

## Temporary Tax Holidays and Rebates

Sales tax holidays and rebates are two mechanisms states have utilized in recent years to provide targeted tax relief to their residents. From
a fiscal standpoint, both have the virtue of being limited in scope. Holidays are limited in that tax is waived only for certain goods and because they are in effect only during specified days of the year. In addition, most holidays utilize price thresholds above which the tax-free treatment does not apply. Rebates are limited in that they generally are enacted on a one-time basis, typically in times of strong economic growth.

The State of New York implemented the first sales tax holiday, suspending taxation of all clothing and footwear priced under \$500 purchased during the first week of January, 1997. (Since that time, New York has created a permanent sales tax exemption for clothing and footwear selling for less than \$110.) In 2002, eight states, the District of Columbia, and New York City held sales tax holidays. The details of the holiday held in each taxing jurisdiction are presented in Table 1. New York City's holiday was enacted in response to the September 11, 2001, terrorist attacks occurring in that city. A relatively recent development in sales tax holidays is the inclusion

Table 1: Sales Tax Holidays Held in 2002

| State | Items Included | Maximum Cost | 2002 Dates |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Connecticut | Clothing and footwear | \$300 | Aug. 18-24 |
| District of Columbia | Clothing and school supplies | \$100 | Aug. 9-18 |
| Georgia | Clothing, school supplies, computers | Clothing, \$100 <br> School Supplies, \$20 | Mar. 29-30, Aug. 2-3 |
|  |  | Computers, \$1,500 |  |
| Iowa | Clothing and footwear | \$100 | Aug. 2-3 |
| New York City | Most tangible personal property, calling card services, food, drink, and rentals | \$500 | June 9-11; July 9-11; Aug. 20-22 |
| North Carolina | purchased in a liberty or resurgence zone Clothing, school supplies, computers | Clothing, \$100 | Aug. 2-4 |
|  |  | School Supplies, \$100 Computers, \$3,500 |  |
| Pennsylvania | Computers | None | Feb. 17-24 |
| South Carolina | Clothing, computers, supplies | None | Aug. 2-4 |
| Texas | Clothing and footwear | \$100 | Aug. 2-4 |
| West Virginia | Clothing, school supplies, computers | \$100 | Aug. 2-4 |
| Source: Federation of Tax Administrators; state revenue departments |  |  |  |

of computers among the goods afforded tax-free treatment. Holidays traditionally have targeted clothing, footwear, and school supplies.

Proponents of sales tax holidays emphasize the ability to give money back to taxpayers without creating permanent exemptions. Opponents have argued that sales tax holidays place an administrative burden on retailers and require consumers to spend money in order to benefit from tax relief. Finally, as with other sales tax exemptions, sales tax holidays decrease the simplicity and administrative efficiency of a general sales tax.

A second measure for providing a temporary tax break is a tax rebate. Colorado, Connecticut, Minnesota and Wisconsin have recently used a sales tax rebate to return revenue surpluses to taxpayers. Unlike a sales tax holiday, a sales tax rebate requires a delivery mechanism and a method to determine the size of the rebate. Connecticut's rebate in 1998 was $\$ 50$ per qualified citizen. Colorado issued rebates in 1998, 1999, and 2000 that were based on a person's income. Minnesota, which provided sales tax rebates in 1999, 2000, and 2001, and Wisconsin, with a rebate in 2000, also based their rebates on a person's income. Among other sources, Colorado, Minnesota, and Wisconsin made use of the state income tax form as a primary method of determining eligibility for, and the amount of, the rebate. Wisconsin's 2000 sales tax rebate is described in greater detail below.

## Use Tax

In general, a sales tax is imposed on the gross receipts from the sale, lease, or rental of tangible personal property and services identified by state law. A companion use tax is imposed on the
storage, use or other consumption of the property or services purchased from out-of-state retailers if the sale would have been taxable if the property or services had been purchased in the state. A credit is allowed for sales tax paid in the other state. All states that impose a sales tax also impose a corresponding use tax.

The use tax is imposed as a complement to the sales tax in order to prevent consumers from avoiding tax by purchasing taxable goods in other states and to allow state merchants to compete on an equal basis with sellers in other states that have lower sales tax rates or no sales tax. An enforceable use tax assures the equal taxation of all purchases by state residents and gives no competitive advantage to either resident or nonresident sellers.

The sales tax is generally a tax on retailers for the privilege of making taxable sales and is usually passed on by a retailer to its customers. The retailers collect the sales tax from their customers and remit the tax to the state. Unlike the sales tax, the use tax is imposed on the buyer. A buyer may be required to file its own tax return and pay the use tax to the state. (In Wisconsin, consumers have the option of including their use tax on their individual income tax return.) Under some circumstances, however, out-of-state sellers are required to collect the use tax from the buyer and remit the tax to the state in which the buyer is located. For example, a mail order catalog business located in Illinois may have sufficient presence or connection (nexus) to Wisconsin that it is required to collect the use tax from sales to its Wisconsin customers and remit those collections to the Wisconsin Department of Revenue. As of June 30, 2002, there were 9,597 out-of-state use tax registrants (retailers who collect use tax on their sales to Wisconsin residents) and 4,163 active consumer use tax registrants (generally businesses which purchase taxable goods from out-of-state sellers and pay use tax directly to DOR). Use tax collections for 2001 totaled $\$ 196.4$ million.

## The Historical Development of the Wisconsin Sales Tax

The Wisconsin sales tax was first enacted with Chapter 620, Laws of 1961. It was effective in 1962 as a $3 \%$ selective tax on designated categories of goods and services. As a companion to the sales tax, a 3\% use tax was imposed on items purchased out-of-state but used in Wisconsin, if those items would have been taxable if purchased in the state. Among the major taxable goods were alcoholic beverages and tobacco, motor vehicles, household furnishings, and recreational equipment. Taxable services included restaurant meals, hotel rooms, telephone service, and admissions to entertainment. Although the tax was only imposed selectively, various exemptions were specified. Definitional exemptions included sales for resale, sales prohibited from taxation under federal law, and sales of property for export. Other exemptions included farm vehicles, purchases by governmental and charitable organizations, and certain occasional sales.

The original sales tax law also included various provisions to facilitate administration and enforcement. Businesses were required to obtain sellers' permits and make monthly reports and tax payments. The Department of Revenue was authorized to conduct audits and adopt administrative rules regarding a bracket system for tax collections and other matters. A retailers' discount was established to allow sellers to retain $2 \%$ of monthly tax collections as compensation for administrative costs.

The 1962 sales tax required that $\$ 55$ million of annual collections be paid to towns, villages, and cities for distribution to taxpayers, according to a formula based in part on a formula then in use for distribution of certain utility tax revenues. The dollar amount of this distribution was modified in subsequent years; in 1971 these provisions were
eliminated from the sales tax statutes and made part of the shared revenue statutes.

The sales tax rate was raised to $4 \%$ in 1969 and the selective tax on property and services was converted to a general tax on property, with an expanded list of specific exemptions and taxable services. The tax rate was increased again with Chapter 317, Laws of 1981; effective May 1, 1982, the tax rate increased from $4 \%$ to $5 \%$. As with the 1961 law which first enacted the sales tax, the 1982 increase in the sales tax was enacted in the context of increased property tax relief. Amounts collected after June 30, 1983, were to be distributed as a supplement to the Wisconsin State Property Tax Relief (WSPTR) credit. As passed by the Legislature, the provision for use of the one cent sales tax increase for property tax relief was contingent on the Legislature adopting and placing on the April, 1983, referendum ballot a constitutional amendment earmarking a portion of sales tax revenues for school property tax relief and on approval of the amendment by the voters. The Governor vetoed the language that tied the additional property tax relief to future passage and voter approval of the constitutional amendment. Thus, the vetoes established the increase in the property tax credit, but left the continuation of the sales tax rate at $5 \%$ past July 1, 1983, contingent upon adoption of the constitutional amendment. However, in January, 1983, faced with a severe general fund deficit, the Legislature permanently extended the sales tax at a $5 \%$ rate and eliminated, for the 1984 distribution and thereafter, the property tax supplement created in 1981.

The tax base has frequently been modified since the sales tax was enacted to impose the tax on additional services or to exempt certain items of tangible personal property. A list of the currently taxed services and examples of the sales tax exemptions are provided under the following section on current law. A more detailed list of the sales and use tax exemptions is provided in the Appendix.

The retailers' discount, originally established as $2 \%$ of the sales and use tax payable, was reduced to $1 \%$ in 1963. The discount was changed again in 1981 from a flat $1 \%$ to a three-tiered system: $2 \%$ on the first $\$ 10,000$ of tax collected annually; $1 \%$ on the second $\$ 10,000$; and $0.5 \%$ on collections over $\$ 20,000$. The three-tiered system was eliminated in 1991 in favor of a flat $0.5 \%$ of sales and use tax payable. In 1995, the retailers' discount was modified to be the greater of $\$ 10$ or $0.5 \%$ of sales and use tax payable per reporting period, not to exceed the amount of tax actually payable.

Under 1999 Wisconsin Act 9, the 1999-01 biennial budget act, the Department of Revenue was authorized to enter into voluntary agreements with certain out-of-state direct marketers to collect Wisconsin sales and use tax from Wisconsin customers. Such agreements could be used to encourage out-of-state direct marketers that do not have nexus with the state and are not required to collect Wisconsin sales and use taxes to voluntarily collect such taxes. As an incentive for direct marketers to collect the taxes, Act 9 provided that participating direct marketers may retain $5 \%$ of the first $\$ 1$ million of such tax collected in a calendar year and $6 \%$ of any additional amounts collected in the remainder of the same year. As of October, 2002, no agreements with direct marketers to voluntarily collect use tax have been signed under these provisions.

In 1991, the penalties for failing to comply with the sales tax law were increased. Any person who intentionally fails to remit both the county and state sales taxes collected to the state, or who fraudulently withholds, uses or spends sales tax receipts, is guilty of criminal theft. The monetary penalty for failure to file a sales tax return was also increased from $10 \%$ to $25 \%$ of the sales and use tax due, as estimated by the Department.

## Sales Tax Rebate

A one-time sales tax rebate totaling $\$ 688.2$ million was provided to 2.5 million households in calendar year 2000. The rebate amount was based on filing status and Wisconsin adjusted gross income as reported in 1998 state individual income tax returns and ranged from \$184 to \$267 (\$360 to $\$ 534$ for married couples filing jointly). Wisconsin residents who did not file a state income tax return or homestead credit claim in 1998 were eligible for the rebate upon filing a form with the Department of Revenue. In addition, nonresidents were allowed to claim a rebate for documented sales taxes paid in 1998. The rebate was authorized under 1999 Wisconsin Act 10.

## Current Law

Wisconsin imposes a $5 \%$ general sales tax on the gross receipts from the sale and rental of personal property and selected services; counties have the option of imposing an additional $0.5 \%$ local sales tax. The tax is imposed on the sale, lease, or rental of all personal property not specifically exempted. This contrasts with the treatment of services, where the tax is imposed only on those services specifically listed in the statutes.

A use tax at the same rate is imposed on goods or services purchased out-of-state and used in Wisconsin, if the good or service would be taxable if purchased in Wisconsin. In computing the use tax liability, a credit is provided for sales tax paid in the state in which the good or service was purchased.

## Taxable Services

Under current law, the following twelve service categories are taxable:

- hotel, motel and other lodgings
- admissions to amusement, athletic, and entertainment events (admissions to county fairs are exempt)
- wire-based telecommunications services that originate or terminate in Wisconsin and are charged to a service address in this state (excluding services that are obtained by means of a toll-free number that originate outside the state and terminate in the state), including the rights to purchase telecommunications services (pre-paid calling cards and authorization numbers) and including access services to the Internet
- mobile telecommunications services utilized by customers whose residential or primary business street address is in Wisconsin, regardless of where such services originate, terminate, or pass through
- telephone answering services
- laundry and dry cleaning services, except for coin-operated and diaper services
- photographic services
- parking and docking of motor vehicles, aircraft, and boats
- installation, repair, maintenance, and related services to personal property, other than real property improvements (unless the property being installed or repaired is exempt when sold)
- producing, fabricating, processing, printing, and imprinting services for consumers who furnish the materials, except for printed advertising services that will be transported and used solely outside the state
- cable television services, including installation
- landscaping and lawn maintenance services

Prior to enactment of 1999 Act 9, transfers of time-share property were subject to the sales tax on short-term lodging if they were on a "flex-time" basis. (A "flex-time" time-share transfer is one in which the use of the rooms or lodging is not fixed at the time of the sale as to the starting date or lodging unit.) If a transfer was on a "fixed-time" basis, in which the use of the rooms or lodging was fixed at the time of the sale as to the starting date or lodging unit, the transfer was generally subject to the real estate transfer fee and not the sales tax. Under Act 9, effective December 1, 1999, all transfers of timeshare property are subject to the real estate transfer fee rather than the sales tax.

## Sales Tax Exemptions

Exemptions from the general sales tax are provided for specified types of personal property, transactions, and entities. In some cases, exemptions are provided for items used in the course of business such as manufacturing machinery and equipment, property that becomes an ingredient in the manufacturing process, farm tractors and machines, seeds, and various other farming supplies. In other cases, the exemptions relate to personal and family needs such as food for home consumption, prescription drugs, and water delivered through mains. In addition, exemptions are provided for sales to governmental, educational, and charitable organizations and for specified sales by such organizations. More detailed information about exemptions from the general sales and use tax is provided in the Appendix.

## Administration

Sellers of taxable property and services must obtain a business tax registration certificate and a permit for each location from the Department of Revenue (and may be required to make a security
deposit not to exceed $\$ 15,000$ ) and periodically file a sales tax return and make payment of tax due. Returns and payment are generally due on a quarterly basis, but the Department may require sellers with a quarterly liability exceeding $\$ 600$ to report monthly, due on the last day of the next month; sellers with a quarterly liability exceeding $\$ 3,600$ may be required to report monthly, due on the 20th day of the next month. Retailers with a sales and use tax of $\$ 300$ or less have the option of filing annually. The Department may also permit a different reporting period.

Sellers may deduct the retailers' discount from taxes due, as compensation for administrative costs, equal to the greater of $\$ 10$ or $0.5 \%$ of the tax liability per reporting period, but not more than the amount of tax actually payable. If reports and payments are delinquent, this discount is forfeited. In addition, a $\$ 20$ late filing fee is assessed and the delinquent taxes bear monthly interest of $1.5 \%$ until paid. Further penalties are imposed for incorrect returns filed due to neglect or fraud. Late, nondelinquent payments bear annual interest of $12 \%$, while refunds of overpaid taxes receive interest of $9 \%$.

Table 2: Wisconsin Sales and Use Tax Collections 1991-92 through 2001-02 (\$ In Millions)

Sales \& Use Tax

|  | Sales \& Use Tax Collections | Sales \& Use Tax <br> as $\%$ of |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Amount | Percent <br> Change | General Fund <br> Collections |
| $1991-92$ | $\$ 2,127.3$ | $5.0 \%$ | $33.6 \%$ |
| $1992-93$ | $2,260.6$ | 6.3 | 32.9 |
| $1993-94$ | $2,427.9$ | 7.4 | 33.3 |
| $1994-95$ | $2,571.2$ | 5.9 | 32.9 |
| $1995-96$ | $2,704.2$ | 5.2 | 32.8 |
| $1996-97$ | $2,864.4$ | 5.9 | 32.5 |
| $1997-98$ | $3,047.4$ | 6.4 | 32.0 |
| $1998-99$ | $3,284.7$ | 7.8 | 33.0 |
| $1999-00$ | $3,501.7$ | 6.6 | 32.0 |
| $2000-01$ | $3,609.9$ | 3.1 | 35.9 |
| $2001-02$ | $3,695.8$ | 2.4 | 36.9 |

the sales tax has constituted approximately onethird of total general fund revenues for most of the period shown. Late in the period this ratio trended upward somewhat, due primarily to income tax rate reductions that were implemented in fiscal year 2000-01.

Table 3 provides a breakdown of sales tax collections by type of business for calendar year 2001.

## Sales and Use Tax Collections

Wisconsin's sales and use tax has been a significant and growing source of state revenue since its enactment. As shown in Table 2, since 1991-92, annual growth in sales tax collections has ranged from a low of $2.4 \%$ in 2001-02, the most recently ended fiscal year, to a high of $7.8 \%$ in 1998-99. The average annual growth rate has been $5.7 \%$ over the period. As Table 2 also indicates, revenue from

Table 3: State Collections in Calendar Year 2001 by Type of Industry* (\$ In Millions)

| Type of Industry | Establishments |  | Sales Tax |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Amount | Percent |
| Agriculture, Forestry, Fishing | 4,618 | 3.0\% | \$21.0 | 0.6\% |
| Mining | 639 | 0.4 | 10.0 | 0.3 |
| Construction and Special |  |  |  |  |
| Trade Contractors | 5,022 | 3.3 | 58.1 | 1.8 |
| Manufacturing | 7,219 | 4.7 | 81.4 | 2.5 |
| Transportation and Utilities | 1,513 | 1.0 | 377.3 | 11.6 |
| Wholesale Trade | 5,153 | 3.4 | 151.6 | 4.6 |
| Retail Trade | 80,261 | 52.2 | 2,067.2 | 63.4 |
| Finance, Insurance, Real Estate | 936 | 0.6 | 13.1 | 0.4 |
| Services | 47,962 | 31.2 | 470.1 | 14.4 |
| Public Administration | 327 | 0.2 | 12.3 | 0.4 |
| TOTAL | 153,650 | 100.0\% | \$3,262.1 | 100.0\% |

[^0]Table 4: State Collections in Calendar Year 2001 from Retail Business (\$ In Millions)

|  | Establishments |  | Sales Tax |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Type of Store | Number | \% of Total | Amount | \% of Total |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Garden Supply, Mobile Homes | 4,002 | $5.0 \%$ | $\$ 189.0$ | $9.1 \%$ |
| Dept. and General Merchandise | 723 | 0.9 | 333.3 | 16.1 |
| Food | 3,024 | 3.8 | 145.2 | 7.0 |
| Auto, Other Vehicle \& Parts | 3,942 | 4.9 | 460.7 | 22.2 |
| Service Stations | 1,703 | 2.1 | 55.5 | 2.7 |
| Clothing | 1,789 | 2.2 | 94.0 | 4.6 |
| Furniture and Appliance | 3,062 | 3.8 | 126.3 | 6.1 |
| Restaurant and Bar | 13,430 | 16.7 | 253.6 | 12.3 |
| Drug Stores | 357 | 0.4 | 27.4 | 1.3 |
| Liquor Stores | 569 | 0.7 | 16.5 | 0.8 |
| Used Merchandise Stores | 494 | 0.6 | 3.6 | 0.2 |
| Book Stores | 593 | 0.7 | 12.2 | 0.6 |
| Sporting Goods and Bicycle Stores | 2,047 | 2.6 | 26.4 | 1.3 |
| Jewelry Stores | 704 | 0.9 | 11.2 | 0.5 |
| Gift, Novelty and Souvenir Shops | 1,395 | 1.7 | 10.8 | 0.5 |
| Sewing, Needlework and Piece Goods Stores | 356 | 0.4 | 0.9 | 0.0 |
| Catalog and Mail Order Houses | 580 | 0.7 | 6.8 | 0.3 |
| Vending Machine Operators | 801 | 1.0 | 6.0 | 0.3 |
| Fuel Dealers | 115 | 0.1 | 6.4 | 0.3 |
| Florists | 506 | 0.6 | 3.5 | 0.2 |
| Other Retail | 40,069 | 49.9 | 277.7 | 13.4 |
| TOTAL |  |  |  |  |

Table 4 provides similar information in greater detail for retail businesses. The data for these tables are derived from Department of Revenue compilations of sales tax reports, according to the Standard Industrial Classification (SIC) category to which each business is assigned. Although this data provides the best available indication of the number of establishments and volume of retail sales by each type of business, its reliability is limited because DOR determines the business classifications based on a brief description of the seller's principal business and merchandise on the application for a seller's permit. In the case of a business with sales in a variety of areas or with products that have changed over a period of time, the coding may not accurately reflect the extent and nature of sales by the business.

The "Other Retail" category in Table 4 is comprised of businesses that do not clearly fall into another category. "Other Retail" in calendar year 2001
generated only $13.4 \%$ of tax collections even though it accounted for $49.9 \%$ of all retail establishments. The automotive sector, on the other hand, generated $22.2 \%$ of collections, yet made up only $4.9 \%$ of the number of establishments. These distinctions reflect differences in the nature and cost of products sold, the amount of taxable sales as a percent of total sales, and the average size of establishments in a given category of retailers, as measured by sales volume.

Finally, Table 5 shows tax collections by taxable receipts. As might be expected, most collections come from businesses with higher taxable receipts, which would include large, high-volume businesses (for example, department stores) and smaller businesses selling high-cost items such as automobiles. The $57.8 \%$ of registered businesses that have taxable receipts of $\$ 25,000$ or less pay only $0.6 \%$ of the total tax. At the opposite end of the spectrum, the $1.1 \%$ of businesses with over $\$ 5$
million in taxable receipts account for $63.9 \%$ of total tax collections. Overall, only $8.9 \%$ of businesses have taxable receipts of over $\$ 500,000$, but they collect $88.7 \%$ of the tax.

## Local Sales Taxes

Several types of local sales taxes are levied in Wisconsin. Wisconsin counties are permitted to impose a $0.5 \%$ county sales tax. As of October, 2002, 56 of the state's 72 counties had such a tax in place; on January 1, 2003, Green County will become the $57^{\text {th }}$ county to impose the tax. The other types of local sales taxes levied in the state are local exposition district taxes, local professional baseball park district taxes, local professional football stadium district taxes, and premier resort area taxes. Detailed information regarding all the local sales taxes can be found in Informational Paper \#15, "Local Government Revenue Options," prepared by the Legislative Fiscal Bureau.

## Tax Rate Comparison with Other States

A total of 45 states and the District of Columbia impose a sales tax. The five states that do not have a sales tax are Alaska, Delaware, Montana, New Hampshire and Oregon, although Delaware imposes a merchants' and manufacturers' license tax and a use tax on leases.

As of October 1, 2002, sales tax rates ranged from $2.9 \%$ (Colorado) to 7\% (Mississippi, Rhode Island, Tennessee), exclusive of optional local sales

Table 5: Collections in Calendar Year 2001 by Taxable Receipts of Business (\$ In Millions)

| Taxable Receipts | Establishments |  | Sales Tax |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Amount | Percent |
| Zero | 15,397 | 10.0\% | -- | 0.0\% |
| \$1-25,000 | 73,469 | 47.8 | \$20.6 | 0.6 |
| 25,001-50,000 | 12,786 | 8.3 | 23.1 | 0.7 |
| 50,001-100,000 | 13,051 | 8.5 | 46.9 | 1.4 |
| 100,001-500,000 | 25,336 | 16.5 | 294.1 | 9.0 |
| 500,001-1,000,000 | 6,179 | 4.0 | 215.5 | 6.6 |
| 1,000,001-2,500,000 | 4,254 | 2.8 | 328.6 | 10.1 |
| 2,500,001-5,000,000 | 1,519 | 1.0 | 262.9 | 8.1 |
| over 5,000,000 | 1,659 | 1.1 | 2,070.3 | 63.9 |
| TOTAL | 153,650 | 100.0\% | \$3,262.1 | 100.0\% |

taxes. Compared to nearby states, Wisconsin's 5\% rate is lower than Minnesota's $6.5 \%$, Illinois' $6.25 \%$ Indiana's and Michigan's 6\%; Iowa also has a 5\% rate. Sales tax rates and the treatment of commonly exempt items by the states appear in Table 6.

Among the major factors in determining the relative fiscal role of the sales tax in the states are the definition of tax base, or taxable sales, and the tax rate. Tax rate increases are, administratively, the simplest means of increasing revenue. Throughout most of the 1990s, the revenue situation in the states was a very favorable one, with most realizing substantial year-over-year revenue gains from their primary taxes, including the sales tax. Early in 2001, however, this trend began to reverse as an economic recession took hold. Several states responded to the declining fiscal environment by, among other measures, raising their sales tax rate. In the two years since this paper was last updated (January, 2001), eight states raised their sales tax rates and one--Colorado--reduced its rate. The states that raised their rates were Arizona, Arkansas, Indiana, Kansas, Nebraska, North Carolina, Tennessee, and Utah. Of these, Indiana and Tennessee were notable in that each raised the existing rate by a full percentage point, Indiana to $6 \%$ from $5 \%$ and Tennessee to $7 \%$ from $6 \%$. The remainder utilized
increases of $0.6 \%$ or less. In addition, several states that raised their rate also enacted provisions to "dial back" the increases in the near future. Nebraska's $0.5 \%$ increase to $5.5 \%$, for example, took effect on Oct. 1, 2002, but the rate will be restored to $5.0 \%$ on October 1, 2003. Kansas raised its rate from $4.9 \%$ to $5.3 \%$, effective July 1, 2002, but also incorporated annual step-wise reductions until July 1, 2005, when the rate will become $5.0 \%$. North Carolina's rate of $4.50 \%$, which took effect on Oct. 16,2001 , will revert to the previous $4.0 \%$ rate on July 1, 2003. Finally, going against the general trend, Colorado elected to reduce its rate from $3.0 \%$ to $2.9 \%$.

## Tax Base Comparison with Other States

The sales tax base varies widely among the states, particularly with regard to services. Most of the 45 states (and the District of Columbia) with a sales tax impose the tax on personal property generally, subject to various exclusions and exemptions. Since the tax is in principle imposed on final sales or consumer purchases, most states, like Wisconsin, exclude sales for resale. Also commonly excluded are sales of property that is consumed in the production of, or becomes an ingredient of, other property for sale; many states also exclude or exempt some goods used in the production of property that are not consumed in the process. A number of states exempt machinery used in the production process, and most states exempt agricultural supplies and equipment used directly in farming.

As shown in Table 6, exemptions for property for personal consumption also vary widely. The most common exemptions are for goods which are considered essential: food for home consumption, exempted in 26 states; prescription drugs, exempted in every state that has a sales tax, except Illinois, which taxes drugs at a reduced rate; and
residential energy (fuel, electricity, gas), exempted in whole or in part by 32 states. Clothing, considered a necessity to a certain extent, is exempted in whole or in part by only eight states, reflecting the problematic nature of the good as an exemption (although, as noted previously, a number of states have recently offered sales tax holidays for some clothing items). Other common exemptions are newspapers and/or periodicals ( 31 states), and certain goods subject to separate excise taxation (primarily gasoline, exempt in 37 states).

A number of states provide a partial exemption for items shown in Table 6. For example, Wisconsin provides an exemption for residential sales of natural gas and electricity only during the months of November through April. For more detailed information regarding the sales tax structures in other states, see the State Tax Guide, published by the Commerce Clearing House.

The first sales taxes enacted by states extended only to certain personal property. The overall tax base was fairly limited, and it was generally believed that restricting the tax to goods facilitated administration and avoided taxing labor. There has been a gradual inclusion of some services in the sales tax base as the tax is increasingly perceived as being passed on to consumers, like the tax on goods, as opposed to being a tax on labor. More important in the broadening of the tax base, however, has been the fact that, as the base has broadened to include many goods, continuing to exempt services has become more of an administrative hindrance than an aid. State revenue needs also have given impetus to this movement. When Wisconsin enacted its selective sales tax on services in 1961, it included several of the services most frequently taxed in other states and has added other services since then.

In most states, the sales tax is imposed on the retail sales of tangible personal property; only services that are explicitly listed are also taxed. Thus, charges for services such as cleaning,
laundering or barbering, if not specifically listed as taxable, are tax-free. However, in such cases, materials used or consumed in the performance of a tax-free service are generally taxable when sold to the service provider. Some states specify that if a sale of a service involves the inconsequential transfer of property, the transfer of that property is not taxed to the consumer. On the other hand, if the service is inconsequential to the transfer of property, the entire transaction is taxed.

Table 7 shows the taxability of certain services in each state and the District of Columbia. Professional and personal services include, but are not limited to, services provided by attorneys, accountants, architects, barbers, beauticians, and laundries. General treatment indicates the overall approach the state takes to imposing the sales tax on services.

In contrast to states that tax services selectively, the states of New Mexico, Hawaii, South Dakota, and West Virginia impose a general sales tax on services as well as on goods, with specific exemptions provided. The New Mexico tax is structured as a gross receipts tax on business but is passed on to consumers like a sales tax. This tax is imposed on receipts from all property sold or leased and services performed, with specific exemptions or deductions provided for a number of items, including certain agricultural services, financial services, fraternal and related organization dues, and construction and manufacturing-related services. Similarly, Hawaii imposes a general excise tax on the gross receipts of businesses. This tax is also passed on to consumers like a sales tax. Certain entities are
exempt from the tax, including public utilities, certain charitable and nonprofit organizations, and hospitals. In addition, transportation services are exempt. South Dakota imposes a retail sales tax on sales of property and services, with certain exemptions, including membership organization dues and charges, health, educational and social, agricultural, and certain financial and construction services. West Virginia imposes a general consumer sales and service tax on the privilege of selling tangible personal property and all services except professional or personal services and those furnished by corporations subject to the Public Service Commission.

## State Sales Tax Collection Rankings

Table 8 shows that Wisconsin ranked 17th highest in terms of sales tax collections per capita and 20th highest in collections per $\$ 1,000$ of personal income in fiscal year 2000-01. Wisconsin's per capita collections of $\$ 668.25$ stood above the national per capita average of $\$ 630.56$; similarly, this state's collections per $\$ 1,000$ of personal income of $\$ 23.93$ ranked above the national average of $\$ 21.62$.

It is important to note that sales tax collections can vary significantly from year to year in the states due to law changes, general economic conditions and other factors. Correspondingly, rankings such as those shown in Table 8 also can vary from year to year.

Table 6: State Sales Tax Rates and Treatment of Commonly Exempt Items

| State | Tax Rate | Sales Tax Exemptions |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Food | Prescription Drugs | Clothing | Residential Energy | Newspapers | Gasoline |
| Alabama | $4.000 \%$ * | T | E | T | E | T | E |
| Alaska | NA* | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Arizona | 5.600** | E | E | T | T | T | E |
| Arkansas | 5.125** | T | E | T | E | E | E |
| California | 6.000* | E | E | T | E | T | T |
| Colorado | $2.900^{*}$ | E | E | T | E | E | E |
| Connecticut | 6.000 - | E | E | E | E | E | E |
| Delaware | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| District of Columbia | 5.750 • | E | E | T | E | T | E |
| Florida | 6.000** | E | E | T | E | T | T |
| Georgia | $4.000^{*}$ | E | E | T | T | T | T |
| Hawaii | 4.000** | T | E | T | T | T | T |
| Idaho | $5.000^{*}$ | T | E | T | E | T | E |
| Illinois | 6.250** | T | T | T | T | E | T |
| Indiana | 6.000 • | E | E | T | T | E | T |
| Iowa | $5.000^{*}$ | E | E | T | T | E | E |
| Kansas | $5.300^{*}$ | T | E | T | E | T | E |
| Kentucky | 6.000* | E | E | T | E | T | E |
| Louisiana | 4.000** | T | E | T | T | T | E |
| Maine | 5.000 • | E | E | T | E | E | E |
| Maryland | 5.000 - | T | E | T | E | T | E |
| Massachusetts | 5.000 | E | E | E | E | E | E |
| Michigan | 6.000 - | E | E | T | T | E | T |
| Minnesota | $6.500^{*}$ - | E | E | E | E | T | E |
| Mississippi | $7.000 \cdot$ | T | E | T | E | E | E |
| Missouri | 4.225** | T | E | T | E | T | E |
| Montana | NA* | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Nebraska | $5.500^{*}$ | E | E | T | T | E | E |
| Nevada | 6.500** | E | E | T | E | E | E |
| New Hampshire | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| New Jersey | 6.000* | E | E | E | T | E | E |
| New Mexico | 5.000 • | T | E | T | E | E | E |
| New York | 4.000** | E | E | E | E | E | T |
| North Carolina | 4.500** | T | E | T | T | E | E |
| North Dakota | $5.000^{*}$ • | E | E | T | E | E | E |
| Ohio | $5.000^{*}$ | E | E | T | E | E | E |
| Oklahoma | 4.500* | T | E | T | E | E | E |
| Oregon | NA* | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Pennsylvania | 6.000* | E | E | E | E | E | E |
| Rhode Island | 7.000 | E | E | E | E | E | E |
| South Carolina | $5.000^{*}$ | T | E | T | E | E | E |
| South Dakota | 4.000** | T | E | T | T | T | E |
| Tennessee | 7.000** | T | E | T | E | E | E |
| Texas | 6.250** | E | E | T | E | E | E |
| Utah | 4.750** | T | E | T | T | E | E |
| Vermont | 5.000** | E | E | E | E | E | E |
| Virginia | $3.500^{*}$ - | T | E | T | E | E | E |
| Washington | $6.500^{*}$ - | E | E | T | E | E | E |
| West Virginia | 6.000 • | T | E | T | E | E | T |
| WISCONSIN | $5.000^{*}$ | E | E | T | E | E | E |
| Wyoming | 4.000** | T | E | T | T | E | E |
| *Additional local sales taxes may apply. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - Variable tax rates for select items. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| For Exemptions: T | axable; E--Fu | artially | mpt (Consult sous | ces identifi | at bottom for | more detal | informatio |

[^1]Table 7: State Sales Tax Treatment of Select Services


Table 8: Rank of States' Sales Tax Collections for 2000-01 Fiscal Year*

|  | Sales Tax Collections <br> Per Capita |  |  | Rank |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |

SOURCE: U.S. Bureau of the Census, State Government Tax Collections, 2001
*Five states do not impose a sales tax: Alaska, Delaware, Montana, New Hampshire and Oregon.
**Aggregate total ratio of tax per capita and per thousand dollars of personal income.

## Electronic Commerce and Remote Sales

## Federal Internet Tax Nondiscrimination Act

On November 28, 2001, President Bush signed the Internet Tax Nondiscrimination Act (ITNA) into law. This act extends, by two years, the moratorium on taxation of charges for internet access services. Existing taxes on internet-access charges that were authorized by statute and "generally imposed and actually enforced" prior to October 1, 1998, are exempted from the moratorium.

The federal law also imposes a moratorium on "multiple and discriminatory taxes" on electronic commerce. With certain exceptions, this provision prohibits taxation of a single transaction by more than one state or political subdivision of a state. In addition, the provision limits the taxes on electronic commerce to taxes that would be imposed on the same products and services sold by other means.

Wisconsin is one of nine states with grandfather protection under ITNA for state taxes on internet access. As noted, Wisconsin law imposes the 5\% state sales and use tax on certain telecommunications services. While neither the sales tax statutes nor administrative rules specifically address inter-net-access services, DOR has interpreted the general statute relating to taxation of telecommunications services to include internet-access charges. Therefore, such services are taxable if they originate or terminate in this state and are charged to a Wisconsin service address. Certain other internetrelated services, such as web-site advertising, do not fit into any of the twelve taxable service categories in Wisconsin and are therefore not subject to Wisconsin sales tax.

The ban on taxation of internet-access charges sometimes has been mistakenly understood to apply to all taxes on internet sales. However, the pro-
hibition against multiple and discriminatory taxation of a single transaction does not negate existing state sales and use tax laws, as long as such taxes are not multiple or discriminatory.

Goods and services purchased by Wisconsin residents over the internet are subject to the Wisconsin sales and use tax in the same manner that they would be if they were purchased through other means (such as through a mail-order cata$\log$ ). As with other sales, Wisconsin vendors selling over the internet to Wisconsin customers are required to collect the sales tax on taxable goods and services. It is the buyer's responsibility to pay the Wisconsin use tax if the seller is an out-of-state seller that is not required to collect use tax from sales to its Wisconsin customers (that is, the seller lacks nexus, or business connection, with the state).

The U.S. Supreme Court has held that when nexus is absent, states' efforts to collect taxes on remote sales place an undue burden on interstate commerce and thereby violate the U.S. Constitution. The Court found that requiring vendors to collect and remit sales taxes on out-of-state purchases would subject firms to the undue burden of calculating taxes--for thousands of taxing jurisdictions nationwide--that differ in their rates, in the categories included in their tax bases, and in the definitions of goods within those categories. (As of late spring, 2002, approximately 4,700 cities, 1,600 counties, and 1,100 other tax jurisdictions imposed sales taxes -- in addition to forty-five states plus the District of Columbia.) As a result, states have no authority to impose the collection responsibility for the sales and use tax on out-of-state sellers that lack nexus with the state. In its decision, however, the Supreme Court concluded that, if Congress so wished, it could give states the authority to require vendors to collect and remit sales tax on remote purchases.

## Estimates of Revenue Losses from Remote Sales

The inability of states to enforce a use tax col-
lection obligation on out-of-state sellers that do not possess nexus to the state has resulted in substantial amounts of foregone revenues. Various estimates of the magnitude of these losses have been developed. The Wisconsin Department of Revenue undertook a study of the losses to this state based upon a review of a number of e-commerce analyses cited in the business press during the late 1990s. Making the assumption that Wisconsin's consumption patterns and e-commerce usage mirror those of the nation as a whole, DOR estimated the revenue losses to this state in 2003 from e-commerce sales (sales completed via computer) at between $\$ 86$ million and $\$ 141$ million and the losses from remote sales (catalog and telephone sales) at $\$ 115$ million for a combined 2003 revenue loss ranging from $\$ 201$ million to $\$ 256$ million.

University of Tennessee economists Donald Bruce and William F. Fox also developed a set of estimates using a different methodology than that used by DOR. Their analysis placed revenue losses in Wisconsin from new e-commerce (defined as sales made via the internet both on goods that otherwise would have been purchased over-thecounter plus projected new internet sales) in Wisconsin at $\$ 113$ million in 2001, with the losses to rise to $\$ 386$ million in 2006. Bruce and Fox note in their analysis that the trend toward increased use of remote sales (internet sales, catalog and telephone sales, and cross-state shopping) is a major contributing factor to the narrowing, over time, of state sale tax bases relative to total state personal income. Other factors that serve to bring about the same end, according to Bruce and Fox, are a shift in purchase patterns toward greater consumption of services instead of goods (services are taxed to a significantly lesser degree than goods) and the continued use of legislated sales tax exemptions.

## Streamlined Sales Tax Project

The streamlined sales tax project (SSTP) is a multi-state initiative intended to simplify and modernize the collection and administration of
sales and use tax nationwide. Although the SSTP aims to improve sales and use tax administration for all types of commerce, a pivotal goal of the project to is simplify the sales and use tax structure to such an extent that sellers will participate by (among other steps) voluntarily collecting tax on their remote sales. The primary impetus behind the SSTP came from state governments, with significant input from the private sector and local governments. Among the key outcomes envisioned by the SSTP are:

- uniform definitions of taxable items
- simplification (limiting the number of different rates that may apply in a state)
- uniform guidelines by which transactions can be sourced (thereby settling any uncertainties that may exist regarding which jurisdiction has the authority to tax a given transaction)
- limits on variations in the tax base within a state
- one-stop registration that would allow a seller to register in all participating states
- uniform sales tax returns
- elimination of caps on tax amounts due and thresholds on the application of sales tax
- state-level administration of sales and use tax collections
- automated systems designed to calculate the tax imposed by each jurisdiction on a transaction, determine the amount to remit to the appropriate state, and maintain a record of the transaction.

As of November, 2002, thirty-seven states (including Wisconsin) were actively participating in the effort to ultimately arrive at a simplified sales
and use tax system. In mid-November, states gave formal approval to the SSTP Agreement, the document that spells out the specific simplification measures. This agreement will serve as the basis for legislative proposals in the various states that, if enacted, will implement the simplification actions. However, under the SSTP's governance provisions, the agreement will not become binding nor take effect until 10 states that comprise at least $20 \%$ of the total population of states with a sales tax have approved it. As of the time of this writing, four states--Minnesota, North Carolina, South Dakota, and Wyoming--had enacted the SSTP Agreement (although these states adopted a somewhat earlier version of the document than the one approved in November, 2002) and integrated its provisions into their respective statutes.

As a way to evaluate the likely efficacy of an automated tax collection and remittance system, a key component of the SSTP, a pilot project was initiated late in 2001 involving four states (Wisconsin included), three technology vendors, and one merchant. The merchant, which produced the medals for the 2002 Olympics, used specially developed software to process sales taxes for internet purchases of its Olympic-related goods. Overall, the project proceeded smoothly, according to DOR, with the first returns filed via the automated system in January, 2002. Because the project involved testing for only a relatively limited transaction volume and across only a limited number of states, however, further trials and another pilot project are planned.

## APPENDIX

## Wisconsin Sales Tax Exemptions

Exemptions from the general sales and use tax are provided for the following types of personal property or sales:

## Business Enterprises

- property that becomes an ingredient or component or that is consumed or destroyed in the manufacturing process, except for fuel and electricity (however, an income tax credit is available for sales taxes paid on fuel and electricity used in manufacturing)
- property that becomes an ingredient or component or is consumed or destroyed in the production of newspapers, periodicals or shoppers guides
- mobile units for mixing and processing
- manufacturing machinery and equipment, including safety attachments
- $35 \%$ of the sales price of certain manufactured homes or the sales price minus the cost of materials that became component parts of a building being sold
- packing and shipping materials and containers
- fuels used by utilities to generate power
- aircraft, including parts and fuel, for use in interstate commerce
- motor vehicles, including parts, sold to common or contract carriers
- motor vehicles not required to be licensed and used for recycling or waste reduction activities
- motion picture film and related advertising materials for commercial purposes
- printed advertising material for out-of-state use
- property which becomes a component part of a waste treatment facility
- equipment and parts used exclusively for waste reduction or recycling
- equipment used in maple syrup production
- certain equipment used in logging
- wood residue used as fuel in a business activity
- commercial boats and barges and fuel
- building materials used to construct, develop or renovate a home stadium for any professional athletic team participating in a multistate league
- railroad cars including parts and fuel
- raw materials incorporated into printed material for out-of-state use


## Farms

- farm tractors and machines, including parts
- seeds, plants, feed, fertilizer, pesticides and related chemicals, fuel, livestock, wire and twine, animal bedding, milkhouse supplies, plastic sheeting, and certain containers used in farming
- medicine used on farm livestock, not including workstock
- animal tags and standard milk samples sold by the Department of Agriculture, Trade and Consumer Protection
- livestock semen used for artificial insemination
- electricity sold for farm use
- fuel sold for use in farming


## Exempt Entities

- sales prohibited from taxation under federal law or the state constitution
- sales to governmental, educational and charitable organizations
- admission fees to state parks and forests and state park camping fees
- sales by elementary and secondary schools
- admission to Circus World Museum and to county fairs
- sales of tickets, admissions, and property by American Legion baseball teams
- tickets or admissions to elementary and secondary school activities
- volunteer fire department equipment
- copies of public records
- sales to U.S. government agencies and U.S. government-owned corporations
- charges for countywide "911" emergency phone systems


## Medical Supplies

- prescription medicines, including drugs furnished free of charge to health care providers
- equipment used to administer oxygen for medical purposes
- prosthetic devices, including prescription eye glasses, antiembolism elastic hose, and adaptive equipment for the operation of motor vehicles
- crutches, wheelchairs, and scooters for the disabled
- equipment for insulin injection and the treatment of diabetes and supplies used to determine the blood sugar level


## Family Purchases

- motor vehicle sales to family members
- $35 \%$ of purchase price of new, and total purchase price of used, primary housing mobile homes and the rental of a mobile home for use as a residence
- food for home consumption, not including the following: soda water beverages and related products; beer; liquor; over-the-counter medicines; and vitamins
- food sold through vending machines that would be exempt if sold in a grocery store
- pre-packaged meals if $50 \%$ or more of the sales price of the package is attributable to exempt goods
- caskets and burial vaults
- electricity and natural gas sold for residential purposes from November through April
- coal, fuel oil, propane, steam, peat fuel cubes produced from solid waste, and wood sold for residential fuel (applies throughout the year)
- cloth diapers and charges by diaper services


## Other Sales Tax Exemptions

- United States flag and the flag of the State of Wisconsin
- newspapers, shoppers guides, and periodicals sold by subscription or transferred without charge to the recipient
- water delivered through mains
- sales of property and services under a contract entered into prior to such property being made taxable (however, purchaser is subject to use tax)
- snowmobile trail grooming equipment sold to snowmobile clubs
- separately stated interest, finance, and insurance charges
- motor vehicle, aviation, and alternate fuel
- aircraft and motor vehicles for use outside the state
- occasional sales, except for bingo receipts and most motor vehicle sales
- sale of meals at community-based residential facilities, hospitals, sanatoriums, and nursing homes
- sale of meals provided to students or National Football League teams under contract with higher education institutions
- meals and disposable products transferred with meals provided by restaurants to employees during work hours
- sales to common or contract carriers, if the property is delivered out-of-state
- sales to a purchaser who takes delivery outside the U.S.
- public benefits fees charged by electric utilities and electric cooperatives
- a license or right to purchase admission to professional football games at the Green Bay Packer stadium, and entitles the purchaser to purchase admission to at least three professional football games in a single football season.


## Use Tax Exemptions

- receipts from the sale of property, if such receipts are included in receipts subject to the sales tax
- automobile dealer loan of a motor vehicle to a school driver education program
- aircraft based in Wisconsin which was purchased out-of-state by a nonresident
- household goods, boats, and various vehicles brought into the state with owners who move to Wisconsin
- donations of inventory to government units and nonprofit organizations
- state and federal motor fuel taxes that are refunded to exempt purchasers of fuel


## Exemptions Arrived at Through the Definition of Taxable Sales

- sales for resale (that is, wholesale sales are generally exempt, but retail sales made by a wholesaler are taxable)
- the trade-in value allowed as part of a purchase
- certain transfers of property to or from a corporation, limited liability company, or partnership; cash discounts; cash or credit refunds on returned property
- separately-stated transportation charges, when transportation occurs after completion of the sale
- certain transfers of transmission facilities to an electric transmission company


[^0]:    *Totals do not include: (a) use tax collections of $\$ 196.4$ million; (b) collections from occasional sales of $\$ 88.2$ million; (c) interest and penalty collections of $\$ 3.3$ million; and (d) a reduction for the retailers' discount of approximately $\$ 17.4$ million.

[^1]:    Notes: Delaware: Imposes a merchants and manufacturers license tax and a use tax on leases.
    Kansas: The rate will be reduced to $5.2 \%$ on July 1, 2004, and to $5.0 \%$ on July $1,2005$.
    Missouri: The rate will be reduced to $4.125 \%$ on November 8, 2008.
    Nebraska: The rate will be reduced to $5.0 \%$ on October 1, 2003.
    North Carolina: The rate will be reduced to $4.0 \%$ on July 1, 2003.
    Wyoming: The rate is reduced to $3.5 \%$ on July 1 of any year in which the unappropriated general fund balance at the end of the current budget period exceeds $\$ 35$ million.
    Sources: Commerce Clearing House, State Tax Guide, Table of Rates, July, 2002, and 2002 U.S. Master Sales and Use Tax Guide; Wisconsin Department of Transportation.

