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## Excise Taxes (Alcohol, Tobacco, Vapor)

The state imposes a number of excise and occupational taxes on the sale of specific products in Wisconsin. An excise tax is a tax on the consumer, rather than the seller, while an occupational tax is imposed on the privilege of engaging in a particular business. State general fund excise or occupational taxes are currently levied on cigarettes, tobacco products, vapor products, fermented malt beverages (primarily beer, but includes other malted beverages such as certain hard lemonades, malt-based coolers, and malt liquors), and intoxicating liquor (including wine, hard cider, and liquor). Information is presented in this paper on the taxes imposed on each of these products. In addition, a summary of the excise tax rates imposed on alcohol and tobacco products by the federal government and other states, as well as excise taxes on vapor products and recreational marijuana imposed in other states, is included in the final sections of the paper. [The excise taxes charged on motor vehicle and alternate fuel, which are deposited in the transportation fund, are described in the Legislative Fiscal Bureau's informational paper entitled, "Motor Vehicle Fuel and Alternate Fuel Tax."]

## Policy Goals and Considerations

Several tax policy principles warrant consideration when structuring an excise tax. A summary of some major tax policy goals is presented below.

## Policy Goals and Limitations

There are several reasons states may choose to impose an excise tax on certain goods or services, including to: (a) raise revenues for the state; (b)
discourage consumption of a harmful product; (c) offset the negative costs to society that consumption creates; and (d) impose a user fee for the use of a government service. However, each of these objectives have limitations that states should also consider.

Raise Revenues. Excise taxes are used as a tool for governments to raise revenues. In times of economic downturns, when states are in need of additional revenues, they often consider creating, or increasing existing rates on, excise taxes. When the concept of excise taxes first became popular, many believed that commodities such as tobacco, alcohol, and sugar were optimal subjects of taxation to raise revenues, as they were not necessities, and yet were almost universally consumed. Additionally, levying a tax on a specific product that is not considered a necessity poses a smaller risk to the overall economy.

However, in more recent history, some of these products, such as tobacco, are no longer universally consumed. As society has learned more about the negative impacts associated with consuming certain products, policy goals for the imposition of excise taxes have shifted.

Discourage Consumption/Offset Costs. In recent history, excise taxes have been used to discourage consumption of a product that: (a) is considered harmful to users; or (b) creates an undesirable negative externality or additional cost on society. When used in this way, such taxes are called "sin" taxes. For example, not only is smoking cigarettes harmful to the health of the smoker, but secondhand smoke can also negatively impact the health of nearby nonsmokers. Excise taxes raise the overall price of the product, which generally decreases consumption. Additionally, taxes help states raise revenues that
can be used to address the external costs created by the taxed products.

Impose User Fees. Excise taxes can be imposed on purchases that serve as proxies for an individual's use of a certain public service. Used in this way, states are able to indirectly impose user fees on consumers of the service, and apply the revenue collected towards maintenance of the service. A common example of an excise tax structured as a user fee is the motor fuel tax. Motor fuel purchases set a price on the use of public roads by serving as a proxy for a driver's contributions to traffic congestion, road wear-and-tear, and emissions.

Limitations. One limitation of using excise taxes as a means to raise revenues is the issue of regressivity. Since excise taxes are imposed at the same rate regardless of income level, lowerincome individuals pay a larger portion of their income in excise taxes than higher-income individuals who purchase the same amount of the taxed goods. Further, research suggests that smoking and tobacco usage behaviors are more common among individuals with lower financial resources. While raising rates on excise taxes can generate revenues, doing so can be financially detrimental to low-income individuals.

Since excise taxes are often designed to reduce consumption of the taxed product, excise tax revenues are inherently unstable. As demand for a product decreases, tax revenues will generally decline. As a result, a state's ability to fund programs that address the external costs created by the taxed products is reduced. However, the tax structure will affect the stability of excise tax revenues.

## Excise Tax Design

There are several issues for states to consider when designing excise taxes, including: (a) the appropriate tax base and rate; (b) the appropriate structure of the tax; (c) from whom the tax should
be collected; and (d) how the revenue is used once collected.

Tax Base and Rate. It is often suggested that the tax base for an excise tax be the harm or costcausing element of the product being taxed. For example, taxing alcoholic beverages based on alcohol content allows states to tax more harmful alcoholic beverages at a higher rate. Another consideration is how broadly to impose the tax. Taxing a good often leads to substitution to another similar, untaxed (or lower-taxed) good. When designing a new excise tax, states might consider what substitute goods exist for the product being taxed, and whether those goods are desirable substitutions. If it is determined that a substitute good is as harmful as the potentially taxed goods, states may wish to consider expanding the tax base to the substitute good.

Further, it is recommended that the tax rate be set at a level to match the negative externalities that tax is intending to offset. Rates that are set too low can fail to reduce consumption or generate the necessary revenues, while setting rates too high may create a burden on users that is higher than the burden created by the negative externalities. Rates that are set prohibitively high may create incentives for an illegal market to sell untaxed goods.

Tax Structure. There are two main tax structures to consider when designing an excise tax: (a) a tax on the value of the product (ad valorem tax); or (b) a tax on the quantity of the product (specific, or unit, tax).

Ad valorem taxes are generally applied as a percentage of the price charged by the manufacturer, wholesaler, or retailer. In contrast, specific taxes by quantity tax the amount of the product (or harmful substance within the product) rather than the price paid for the product. Examples of specific excise taxes include imposing a tax at a fixed rate per: (a) pack of 20 cigarettes; (b) 31-gallon barrel of beer; (c) ounce
of tobacco; (d) milliliter of vapor liquid; (e) milligram of THC in marijuana; or (f) gallon of liquor, wine, or motor fuel.

Proponents of an ad valorem tax structure argue that such a tax is more resistant to inflationary pressure. Inflation-related price increases may offset some demand-related revenue reductions, leading to less erosion of state excise tax revenues compared to specific taxes. However, ad valorem taxes can encourage users to purchase cheaper brands of a product, even though the relative harm between brands does not differ. Additionally, these types of taxes can provide a disincentive for manufacturers to invest in product development, as higher priced products are taxed more heavily than lower priced products.

On the other hand, proponents of a specific tax structure argue that such a tax allows states to create a clearer connection to the harm caused by consumption of such products. Specific taxes can be simpler to calculate, because they do not create issues that may arise when determining the accurate value to be taxed with ad valorem taxes (such as whether to tax the price before or after a manufacturer's discount).

Tax Remittance. Excise taxes are often levied early on in the value chain (on manufacturers or distributors), rather than at the retail level. One reason for this is because doing so limits the number of taxpayers remitting tax, therefore reducing administration and enforcement costs and increasing efficiency. However, this practice is simpler to do when excise taxes are levied as specific taxes. In contrast, ad valorem taxes may be simpler to levy at the retail level where the true market value is established, although this significantly increases the number of taxpayers subject to the tax.

Use of Revenue. Many states, including Wisconsin, allocate excise tax revenues for general revenue spending. Others recommend that excise tax revenues be allocated towards programs
and activities that directly address the societal costs of the product being taxed. These societal costs include the health costs related to smoking, infrastructure costs associated with driving, and costs related to regulating the sale of alcohol and enforcing bans on driving under the influence. Some states dedicate revenues generated from cigarette and tobacco taxes to fund smoking and tobacco cessation programs, while other states appropriate general purpose revenues for these programs.

## Taxes on Tobacco and Vapor Products in Wisconsin

## Cigarette Tax

The state imposes an excise tax on the sale of cigarettes. The tax rate is generally $\$ 2.52$ per pack, or 126 mills per cigarette, although for larger cigarettes (weighing more than three pounds per thousand) the tax rate is 252 mills per cigarette. A mill equals one-tenth of one cent.

The tax is paid through the purchase of tax stamps from the Department of Revenue (DOR), generally by a manufacturer, including the owner of an automated roll-your-own cigarette machine, or a distributor. The tax stamp must be affixed to each pack of cigarettes prior to its first sale in the state. Manufacturers and distributors receive a $0.8 \%$ discount on total stamp purchases (or tax payments) as compensation for their administrative costs.

Since the cigarette tax was first imposed under Chapter 443, Laws of 1939, at a general tax rate of $2 \nless$ per pack, the cigarette tax rate has been raised 17 times to its current rate of $\$ 2.52$ per pack. The current rate was established in 2009 Act 28. Changes in the cigarette tax rate are outlined in Table 1.

Table 1: Cigarette Tax Rates Per Pack

| Effective Date | Tax Rate |
| :--- | :---: |
| September 20, 1939 | $\$ 0.02$ |
| July 21, 1949 | 0.03 |
| July 1, 1955 | 0.04 |
| July 1, 1957 | 0.05 |
| September 1, 1961 | 0.06 |
| August 15, 1963 | 0.08 |
| August 1, 1965 1, 1969 | 0.10 |
| September 1, |  |
| November 5, 1971 | 0.14 |
| August 1, 1981 | 0.16 |
| May 1, 1982 | 0.20 |
| September 1, 1987 | 0.25 |
| May 1, 1992 | 0.30 |
| September 1, 1995 | 0.38 |
| November 1, 1997 | 0.44 |
|  | 0.59 |
| October 1, 2001 |  |
| January 1, 2008 | 0.77 |
| September 1, 2009 | 1.77 |

Table 2 presents a summary of cigarette tax collections since 2011-12. Over the last eleven years cigarette tax revenues have generally decreased. During this time period, overall cigarette consumption in Wisconsin, as measured by the number of taxed cigarette packs sold to distributors, is estimated to have declined by nearly $18 \%$, with the largest annual decline in revenues occurring in 2021-22 (-5.4\%). The increases seen in 2013-14, 2015-16, and 2019-20 coincided with a neighboring state's tax increase.

Table 2: Cigarette Tax Collections (\$ in Millions)

| Fiscal <br> Year | Amount | Percent <br> Change From <br> Prior Year | Percent of <br> General Fund <br> Tax Collections |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2011-12$ | $\$ 587.75$ | $-2.8 \%$ | $4.35 \%$ |
| $2012-13$ | 569.15 | -3.2 | 4.04 |
| $2013-14$ | 573.04 | 0.7 | 4.11 |
| $2014-15$ | 569.55 | -0.6 | 3.92 |
| $2015-16$ | 573.41 | 0.7 | 3.80 |
| $2016-17$ | 564.20 | -1.6 | 3.64 |
| $2017-18$ | 538.90 | -4.5 | 3.34 |
| $2018-19$ | 514.27 | -4.6 | 2.97 |
| $2019-20$ | 523.56 | 1.8 | 2.99 |
| $2020-21$ | 509.79 | -2.6 | 2.60 |
| $2021-22$ | 482.44 | -5.4 | 2.35 |

The observed decrease in taxable packs sold follows the general decline in cigarette consumption in the United States in recent years. According to the federal Center for Disease Control and Prevention, $12.5 \%$ of adults were considered current smokers in 2020, down from $19.3 \%$ in 2010.

1997 Act 27 and 2007 Act 20 reduced the discount on stamp purchases for manufacturers and distributors from $2 \%$ to $1.6 \%$ and $0.7 \%$, respectively. As mentioned, the discount is provided to manufacturers and distributors as compensation for their administrative costs associated with the tax. Previously, decreases in the rate of the discount were enacted to keep the total discount at approximately the same per pack level as it was prior to the increases in the cigarette tax rate. However, no reduction to the discount rate was included with the 2009 Act 28 cigarette tax increase, and the discount was raised to $0.8 \%$ without a change in the cigarette tax rate under 2017 Act 59.

2005 Act 25 authorized direct marketing of cigarettes to consumers in this state if a direct marketer meets certain requirements. Under prior law, direct marketing of cigarettes to Wisconsin consumers was prohibited unless the seller had a valid municipal retail license for the municipality into which each sale was made. Act 25 authorized direct marketing for a direct marketer that registers with DOR and certifies that the direct marketer will sell only stamped cigarettes. In addition, the direct marketer must verify consumer names, addresses, and ages, and comply with certain other administrative requirements, including certain provisions that also apply to permitted cigarette distributors. The provisions took effect July 27, 2005. As of July, 2022, no direct marketers have registered under these provisions.

## Tobacco Products Tax

The state imposes an excise tax on the sale of all tobacco products except cigarettes. The tobacco products tax is paid by distributors at rates of $100 \%$ of the manufacturer's list price for moist

Table 3: Tobacco Products Tax Rates

| Effective Date | Moist Snuff Tax Rate | Other Tobacco <br> Products Tax Rate | Cigar Tax Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| October 1, 1981 | 20\% of the manuf. list price | $20 \%$ of the manuf. list price | 20\% of the manuf. list price |
| October 1, 2001 | $25 \%$ of the manuf. list price | $25 \%$ of the manuf. list price | $25 \%$ of the manuf. list price |
| January 1, 2008 | \$1.31 per ounce | $50 \%$ of the manuf. list price | $50 \%$ of the manuf. list price, but not more than $50 \notin$ per cigar |
| September 1, 2009 | $100 \%$ of the manuf. list price | $71 \%$ of the manuf. list price | $71 \%$ of the manuf. list price, but not more than $50 \notin$ per cigar |

snuff and $71 \%$ of the manufacturer's list price for all other tobacco products, with a maximum tax of $50 \notin$ per cigar.

The tax on tobacco products was established at $20 \%$ of the manufacturer's list price for all tobacco products in Chapter 20, Laws of 1981. Table 3 shows the historical changes to the tobacco products tax rates. Prior to 2007 Act 20, all tobacco products, other than cigarettes, were subject to the same tax rates. Act 20 created a separate tax rate for moist snuff and established a maximum tax per cigar. The rates were raised to their current levels pursuant to 2009 Act 28. Act 28 also converted the tax on moist snuff from a weight-based tax to a price-based tax. The tax is paid on the basis of monthly returns filed with DOR.

Table 4 shows tobacco products tax collections since 2011-12. During this period, collections ranged from $\$ 63.0$ million to $\$ 94.4$ million. Unlike other state excise and occupational taxes which are imposed as a fixed amount per unit of product, the tobacco products tax is levied as a percentage of price. Therefore, collections of the tobacco products tax increase over time as prices rise. With the exception of 2012-13 and 2017-18, tobacco tax collections have grown each year. Since 2011-12, the comprehensive annual average growth rate in tobacco tax collections was $3.7 \%$, and annual growth has been $5.5 \%$ or higher in seven of the last 11 years.

Table 4: Tobacco Products Tax Collections (\$ in Millions)

| Fiscal <br> Year | Amount | Percent <br> Change From <br> Prior Year | Percent of <br> General Fund <br> Tax Collections |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2011-12$ | $\$ 65.52$ | $7.6 \%$ | $0.48 \%$ |
| $2012-13$ | 63.02 | -3.8 | 0.45 |
| $2013-14$ | 67.69 | 7.4 | 0.49 |
| $2014-15$ | 71.91 | 6.2 | 0.49 |
| $2015-16$ | 76.13 | 5.9 | 0.50 |
| $2016-17$ | 80.28 | 5.5 | 0.52 |
| $2017-18$ | 80.20 | -0.1 | 0.50 |
| $2018-19$ | 85.52 | 6.6 | 0.49 |
| $2019-20$ | 91.36 | 6.8 | 0.52 |
| $2020-21$ | 92.74 | 1.5 | 0.47 |
| $2021-22$ | 94.38 | 1.8 | 0.46 |

## Vapor Products Tax

2019 Act 9 imposed an excise tax on the sale of vapor products at a rate of $5 \phi$ per milliliter of the liquid. This applies to a liquid or other substance that functions as part of a vapor product or is sold with a vapor product as one packaged item.

Vapor products can be sold in several different forms, including disposable e-cigarettes, cartridges, tanks, and pods. The most basic e-cigarette is sold with the vaping liquid prefilled within a pre-charged device. When the liquid runs out, the entire device is discarded. Other e-cigarettes come with a rechargeable battery and are designed for multiple uses. For some, the vaping liquid is included with these devices by attaching a prefilled or refillable cartridge or pod. For others, the e-cigarettes are sold with an attached tank that can
be refilled with vapor liquid, which is often sold separately. A distinction is also made between open and closed vapor products systems. In an open system, the device includes a refillable tank, pod, or cartridge, which holds the liquid and is designed for continuous use. Conversely, in a closed system, the prefilled cartridge, pod, or basic e-cigarette is disposable and intended for single use.

Under current law, included in Act 9 as enrolled, the definition of a vapor product is a noncombustible product that produces vapor or aerosol for inhalation from the application of a heating element to a liquid or other substance that is depleted as the product is used. However, the Governor employed his partial veto to delete "to a liquid or other substance that is depleted as the product is used" from the definition of vapor products. The Governor stated that the partial veto would clarify that the tax applies to any device containing vapor fluid and to vapor fluid sold separately.

On July 10, 2020, in the case Bartlett v. Evers, the Wisconsin Supreme Court struck down this partial veto as unconstitutional. Therefore, the decision eliminates tax upon liquids or other substances sold separately from a device.

Table 5 shows vapor products tax collections since 2019-20. Wisconsin began collecting an excise tax on vapor products beginning with sales made on October 1, 2019. Therefore, 2019-20 only reflects nine months of collections. Following the 2020 Wisconsin Supreme Court decision, the Department of Revenue issued refunds to taxpayers for taxes previously collected on liquids or other substances sold separately from a device.

Table 5: Vapor Products Tax Collections (\$ in Millions)

| Fiscal <br> Year | Amount | Percent <br> Change From <br> Prior Year | Percent of <br> General Fund <br> Tax Collections |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2019-20$ | $\$ 1.32$ | N/A | $0.01 \%$ |
| $2020-21$ | 1.56 | $18.0 \%$ | 0.01 |
| $2021-22$ | 4.13 | 164.8 | 0.02 |

These refunds, which were largely recorded in 2020-21, resulted in lower 2020-21 collections than would have been seen without the refunds.

In an effort to address rising levels of youth vapor product usage, the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) announced in January, 2020, a policy prioritizing enforcement against certain unauthorized flavored e-cigarette products that appeal to children, including fruit and mint flavors. The policy warned that companies that did not cease manufacture, distribution, and sale of unauthorized flavored cartridge- or pod-based e-cigarettes (other than tobacco or menthol) would risk FDA enforcement actions. The FDA stated in its guidance that it intended to prioritize enforcement beginning February 6, 2020. Further, Juul Labs, a prominent e-cigarette manufacturer, was the subject of a two-year investigation into the company's marketing and sales practices. This investigation was initiated by 33 states, including Wisconsin, and resulted in a settlement of nearly $\$ 440$ million after Juul was found to have marketed its e-cigarettes to underage teens. Wisconsin is expected to receive $\$ 14.4$ million as a result of the settlement.

## Refunds to Native American Tribes

Federal law prohibits states from imposing a cigarette tax, tobacco products tax, or a vapor products tax on sales by Native Americans to Native Americans who reside on their own reservations or trust land. To discourage purchases of non-taxed cigarette, tobacco, and vapor products on reservations by non-Native Americans, state law permits DOR to enter into agreements with Native American tribes to impose these excise taxes. The agreements are described below.

The tax on cigarettes was converted from an occupational tax to an excise tax under 1983 Act 27. This change allowed the state to impose the tax on sales of cigarettes made by Native Americans to non-Native Americans on reservations.

Previously, unstamped (untaxed) cigarettes were sold on reservations, which raised concern regarding competition and the administration and collection of taxes for sales to non-Native Americans. Act 27 authorized DOR to refund a portion of cigarette taxes collected on sales to nonNative Americans. Currently, the state has agreements with all 11 Native American tribes in Wisconsin through which the state provides a refund to the tribes of $70 \%$ of the tax paid on sales to non-Native Americans, and $100 \%$ of the tax paid on sales to eligible Native American tribal members. Eligible tribal members must reside on the reservation or trust land of the tribe where the sale took place and be an enrolled member of that tribe.

The tax on tobacco products was converted from an occupational tax to an excise tax under 1999 Act 9. Prior to Act 9, it was common for distributors to sell untaxed tobacco products to Native American retailers and to claim exemptions from the tax for such sales when filing tax returns with DOR. Act 9 specified that all tobacco products were subject to the tax unless specifically exempted, and imposed penalties on distributors who failed to collect and remit the appropriate tax. These changes meant that tribal retailers could no longer purchase untaxed tobacco products. However, Act 9 authorized DOR to enter into agreements with the tribes to refund $100 \%$ of tobacco products taxes paid on sales to eligible tribal members on reservations and $50 \%$ of such taxes paid on sales to non-tribal members. The Department has entered into such agreements with 10 of the 11 Wisconsin tribes. Although the refund rates for sales to non-tribal members differ, the refund provisions for the tobacco products taxes and the cigarette tax are comparable.

2019 Act 9 extends authorization of similar agreements to the sale of vapor products by tribes. Like tobacco products, DOR can enter into agreements to refund $100 \%$ of the tax on sales of vapor products to enrolled members of the tribe, residing
on the tribal reservation, and $50 \%$ of taxes paid on sales to non-tribal members. As of December, 2022, the state has not entered into such agreements with any tribes.

Table 6 shows cigarette and tobacco products refunds that have been paid to tribes since 201112. Growth rate volatility of between $18.2 \%$ and $-25.5 \%$ was primarily caused by the timing of refund claims submitted by tribes near the beginning or end of the fiscal year. In 2021-22, \$30.7 million in refunds were paid to tribes in this state, with refunds from cigarettes accounting for $95.2 \%$ of total refunds paid to tribes.

Table 6: Cigarette and Tobacco Products Refunds Paid to Tribes (\$ in Millions)

|  |  | Tobacco | Percent |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fiscal | Cigarette | Products <br> Year | Total <br> Refunds <br> Refunds | Change From |
| $2011-12$ | $\$ 36.04$ | $\$ 1.76$ | $\$ 37.80$ | $-5.5 \%$ |
| $2012-13$ | 27.03 | 1.12 | 28.15 | -25.5 |
| $2013-14$ | 31.42 | 1.31 | 32.73 | 16.3 |
| $2014-15$ | 34.98 | 1.45 | 36.43 | 11.3 |
| $2015-16$ | 33.43 | 1.49 | 34.92 | -4.1 |
| $2016-17$ | 39.11 | 2.17 | 41.28 | 18.2 |
| $2017-18$ | 31.02 | 1.33 | 32.35 | -21.6 |
| $2018-19$ | 31.11 | 1.65 | 32.76 | 1.3 |
| $2019-20$ | 27.06 | 1.42 | 28.48 | -13.1 |
| $2020-21$ | 29.21 | 1.55 | 30.76 | 8.0 |
| $2021-22$ | 29.23 | 1.48 | 30.71 | -0.2 |

Source: Department of Revenue

Occupational Taxes on Alcohol in Wisconsin

## Liquor, Wine, and Cider Taxes

The state imposes occupational taxes on the sale of liquor, wine, and fermented cider at the rates shown in Table 7.

In addition to the $\$ 3.25$ per gallon tax on liquor, an administrative fee of $11 \phi /$ gallon is also imposed. The fee, which is paid to DOR along

Table 7: Liquor, Wine and Cider Tax Rates

|  | Tax Rate <br> Per Liter | Tax Rate <br> Per Gallon |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Liquor* | $85.86 ¢$ | $\$ 3.25$ |
| Wine |  |  |
| Up to 14\% Alcohol | 6.605 | 0.25 |
| $14 \%$ to 21\% Alcohol | 11.89 | 0.45 |
| Cider |  |  |
| Less than 7\% Alcohol  <br> More than 7\% Alcohol 1.71 | 0.06 |  |
| Taxed as Wine |  |  |

*Excludes administrative fee of $11 \not \subset /$ gallon that is levied by the Department of Revenue.
with liquor taxes, is deposited to a program revenue appropriation and used for costs incurred by the Department in administering the state liquor tax and enforcing the three-tier system for alcohol beverage production, distribution, and sale. In 2021-22, this fee amounted to $\$ 2.23$ million, of which $\$ 1.38$ million was expended, and $\$ 0.85$ million lapsed (reverted) to the general fund.

Liquor, wine, and cider taxes are collected through monthly payments by distributors and out-of-state direct shippers, based on the actual tax liability for the previous month. The tax payments and a tax return are due on the 15th day of each month. A summary of liquor and wine tax revenues since 2011-12 is presented in Table 8. From 2011-12 to 2019-20, collections increased at an average annual rate of $1.9 \%$. However, in 202021, liquor revenues increased significantly, up

Table 8: Liquor and Wine Tax Collections (\$ in Millions)

| Fiscal <br> Year | Amount | Percent <br> Change From <br> Prior Year | Percent of <br> General Fund <br> Tax Collections |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2011-12$ | $\$ 47.04$ | $2.7 \%$ | $0.35 \%$ |
| $2012-13$ | 48.29 | 2.7 | 0.34 |
| $2013-14$ | 48.99 | 1.5 | 0.35 |
| $2014-15$ | 48.77 | -0.5 | 0.34 |
| $2015-16$ | 49.99 | 2.5 | 0.33 |
| $2016-17$ | 52.08 | 4.2 | 0.34 |
| $2017-18$ | 51.97 | -0.2 | 0.32 |
| $2018-19$ | 53.60 | 3.1 | 0.31 |
| $2019-20$ | 54.78 | 2.2 | 0.31 |
| $2020-21$ | 64.59 | 17.9 | 0.33 |
| $2021-22$ | 64.90 | 0.5 | 0.32 |

$17.9 \%$ from the previous fiscal year. This increase reflects a general trend seen across the U.S. of increased alcohol consumption during the COVID19 pandemic.

The occupational tax on liquor and wine was established by Chapter 3, Laws of Special Session 1933. Table 9 shows the historic changes to these rates since 1934. These rates are listed in dollars per gallon. A two-tier rate for liquor existed until 1961, with liquor containing less than $21 \%$ alcohol being taxed at a lower rate. Likewise, Chapter 217, Laws of 1935 created new rates for wine with less than $21 \%$ alcohol. The current rates have not been modified since Chapter 20, Laws of 1981.

Table 9: Historic Liquor and Wine Tax Rates

| EffectiveDate | Tax Rate (\$ per Gallon) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Wine Up | Wine 14\% | Liquor Up | Liquor and |
|  | To 14\% | to $21 \%$ | to $21 \%$ | Wine Over |
|  | Alcohol | Alcohol | Alcohol | 21\% Alcohol |
| January 13, 1934 | \$0.25 | \$0.25 | \$0.25 | \$1.00 |
| July 4, 1935 | 0.05 | 0.10 | 0.25 | 1.00 |
| July 25, 1947 | 0.10 | 0.20 | 0.50 | 2.00 |
| July 1, 1961 | 0.15 | 0.30 | N/A | 2.00 |
| August 15, 1963 | 0.16875 | 50.3375 | N/A | 2.25 |
| November 5, 1971 | 0.195 | 0.39 | N/A | 2.60 |
| August 1, 1981* | 0.25 | 0.45 | N/A | 3.25 |
| *On May 2, 1986, <br> $\$$ per gallon to $\not \subset$ | the statutory liter. | y tax rates | were conve | rted from |

Prior to 1981, a separate bracket schedule of rates existed for quantities of liquor and wine less than and including one gallon. These schedules applied a different rate to each range of quantities established. For example, one bracket consisted of quantities of more than one quart up to and including a $1 / 2$ gallon. All quantities that fell within this bracket were taxed at the same rate. The schedule for wine was repealed in Chapter 20, Laws of 1981, following the Governor's partial veto, and the liquor schedule was subsequently eliminated in Chapter 302, Laws of 1985. This simplified the calculation of tax imposed. For example, the tax imposed for $5 / 8$ of a gallon or liter of liquor is now equal to $5 / 8$ of the established rate per gallon or liter.

A tax on hard cider, which separates the tax on cider from the tax on wine, was established by 1997 Act 136, effective July 1, 1998. Hard cider is defined as fermented apple or pear juice that contains $0.5 \%$ to $7.0 \%$ alcohol by volume. Cider containing more than $7.0 \%$ alcohol by volume is taxed as wine.

## Beer Tax

An occupational tax is imposed by the state on the sale of beer and other fermented malt beverages. A summary of recent collection amounts is presented in Table 10. Similar to liquor tax collections, the state saw a large increase in beer tax revenues in 2020-21, further suggesting an increase in alcohol consumption during the COVID-19 pandemic.

Table 10: Beer Tax Collections (\$ in Millions)

| Fiscal <br> Year | Amount | Percent <br> Change From <br> Prior Year | Percent of <br> General Fund <br> Tax Collections |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2011-12$ | $\$ 9.24$ | $-0.9 \%$ | $0.07 \%$ |
| $2012-13$ | 9.00 | -2.6 | 0.06 |
| $2013-14$ | 8.97 | -0.4 | 0.06 |
| $2014-15$ | 8.83 | -1.5 | 0.06 |
| $2015-16$ | 8.98 | 1.7 | 0.06 |
| $2016-17$ | 9.13 | 1.6 | 0.06 |
| $2017-18$ | 8.91 | -2.4 | 0.06 |
| $2018-19$ | 8.53 | -4.3 | 0.05 |
| $2019-20$ | 8.49 | -0.5 | 0.05 |
| $2020-21$ | 9.19 | 8.3 | 0.05 |
| $2021-22$ | 8.87 | -3.5 | 0.04 |

The beer tax was established as a temporary tax in Chapter 361, Laws of 1933, and was later made permanent. The initial rate was set at $\$ 1$ per barrel of 31 gallons, effective July 9, 1933. The tax on beer was later increased to its current $\$ 2$ per barrel rate, or approximately 6.5 ¢ per gallon, in Chapter 185, Laws of 1969, effective November 1, 1969. A $50 \%$ tax credit on the first 50,000 barrels produced is available to brewers who produce fewer than 300,000 barrels per year. The tax is paid by brewers, bottlers, and wholesalers on a monthly basis.

Federal Taxes on Alcohol and Tobacco

The federal excise tax on cigarettes is currently $\$ 1.0066$ per pack. Previous per-pack federal excise tax rates, and the periods during which those rates were in effect, are shown in Table 11.

Table 11: Federal Cigarette Tax Rate Since 1940

| Effective Date | Tax Rate |
| :--- | :---: |
| July 1, 1940 | $\$ 0.065$ |
| November 1, 1942 | 0.07 |
| November 1, 1951 | 0.08 |
| January 1, 1983 | 0.16 |
| January 1, 1991 | 0.20 |
| January 1, 1993 | 0.24 |
| January 1, 2000 | 0.34 |
| January 1, 2002 | 0.39 |
| April 1, 2009 | 1.0066 |

The current federal taxes on tobacco products took effect April 1, 2009, and are as follows:

| Snuff | \$1.51 per pound |
| :---: | :---: |
| Chewing Tobacco | 50.33¢ per pound |
| Pipe Tobacco | \$2.8311 per pound |
| Roll-Your-Own |  |
| Tobacco | \$24.78 per pound |
| Cigarette Papers | 3.15 ¢ for 50 papers |
| Cigarette Tubes | $6.30 ¢$ for 50 tubes |
| Small Cigars | \$50.33 per 1,000 |
| Large Cigars | $52.75 \%$ of the manufacturer's or importer's sales price, but not more than $40.26 \not \subset$ per cigar |

On December 20, 2019, the federal government passed legislation raising the federal minimum age for sale of tobacco products from 18 to 21 years. This legislation makes it illegal for a retailer to sell any tobacco product, including cigarettes, cigars, and vapor products, to anyone under 21.

The current federal liquor, wine, and beer tax rates described below took effect on January 1, 2018.

The federal liquor tax is imposed at graduated rates that increase with the number of proof gallons handled.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { First } 100,000 \text { proof gallons } & \$ 2.70 \text { per gallon } \\
100,000-22.23 \text { million proof gallons } & 13.34 \text { per gallon } \\
\text { Over } 22.23 \text { million proof gallons } & 13.50 \text { per gallon }
\end{array}
$$

A proof gallon is one gallon of 100 proof liquor. For example, the first 100,000 gallons of 80 proof alcohol produced are taxed at $\$ 2.16$ per gallon ( $80 \%$ of $\$ 2.70$ ). The Wisconsin liquor tax, in contrast, is based on the volume of the beverage in liters and does not consider the alcohol content.

Federal wine taxes are based on wine gallons (128 fluid ounces) rather than proof gallons. Similar to liquor, lower rates are imposed for specific wine gallon thresholds. The rates increase on a graduated scale for the first 750,000 wine gallons produced, as shown below.

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { First } \\ 30,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30,000- \\ & 130,000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 130,000- \\ & 750,000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Over } \\ 750,000 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tax Class | w. gal | w. gal | w. gal | w. gal |
| Mead/Wine less than |  |  |  |  |
| 16\% alcohol | \$0.07 | \$0.17 | \$0.535 | \$1.07 |
| $16 \%$ to $21 \%$ alcohol | 0.57 | 0.67 | 1.035 | 1.57 |
| $21 \%$ to $24 \%$ alcohol | 2.15 | 2.25 | 2.615 | 3.15 |
| Artificially carbonated wine | e 2.30 | 2.40 | 2.765 | 3.30 |
| Sparkling wine | 2.40 | 2.50 | 2.865 | 3.40 |
| Hard cider, $0.5 \%$ to $8.5 \%$ alcohol | 0.164 | 0.17 | 0.193 | 0.226 |

The federal beer tax for all brewers is $\$ 16$ per barrel of 31 gallons for the first six million barrels produced annually, and $\$ 18$ per barrel thereafter. A reduced rate of $\$ 3.50$ per barrel for the first 60,000 barrels of beer produced annually, and $\$ 16$ per barrel thereafter, is provided for domestic brewers that produce not more than two million barrels in a calendar year.

## Taxes on Alcohol and Tobacco in Other States

Information on the excise taxes imposed by other states on cigarettes, tobacco products, vapor products, liquor, beer, and recreational marijuana is summarized in the following tables. These tables reflect state tax rates in effect January 1, 2023, based on law changes in other states (including the District of Columbia) as of December 1, 2022.

Table 12 identifies the cigarette tax rates in effect in other states. These rates apply to packages of 20 cigarettes. Some states impose a higher tax rate on cigarettes weighing more than three pounds per thousand. In such cases, the rates shown in Table 12 are for packages of cigarettes weighing not more than three pounds per thousand, which is the most common type of package sold. In addition, 19 states treat little cigars like cigarettes for tax purposes. Little cigars are similar in shape, size, and tobacco content to cigarettes. A primary difference between little cigars and cigarettes is that the former are wrapped in tobacco leaf while the latter are wrapped in white paper.

Table 13 presents a summary of excise tax rates on tobacco products in other states. As noted, Wisconsin caps its tax on cigars at $50 \notin$ each. Ten other states also have caps on their cigar taxes (Arkansas, Connecticut, Iowa, Michigan, Minnesota, New Mexico, Ohio, Oregon, Rhode Island, and Washington), but these amounts are not shown in Table 13. Five states (Alabama, Arizona, Oklahoma, Texas, and Vermont) tax cigars on a perunit basis, with some imposing taxes at varying rates based on the price of the cigar. Florida and Pennsylvania do not impose an excise tax on cigars, and the District of Columbia does not impose an excise tax on premium cigars.

Table 14 identifies the vapor products tax rates in effect in other states. As of December, 2022, 31 states, including Wisconsin, impose an excise tax

Table 12: Cigarette Tax Rates by State as of December, 2022

| State | Tax Per Pack | State | Tax Per Pack | State | Tax Per Pack |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- | ---: |
| District of Columbia*\# | $\$ 5.020$ | Alaska | $\$ 2.000$ | Kentucky | $\$ 1.100$ |
| Connecticut\# | 4.350 | Arizona | 2.000 | Louisiana | 1.080 |
| New York\# | 4.350 | Maine | 2.000 | Indiana | 0.995 |
| Rhode Island\# | 4.250 | Michigan | 2.000 | Mississippi | 0.600 |
| Maryland | 3.750 | New Mexico\# | 2.000 | Alabama | 0.675 |
| Minnesota**\# | 3.732 | Colorado*** | 1.940 | Nebraska | 0.640 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Massachusetts\# | 3.510 | Nevada | 1.800 | Tennessee\# | 0.620 |
| Oregon\# | 3.330 | New Hampshire\# | 1.780 | Virginia | 0.600 |
| Hawaii\# | 3.200 | Montana\# | 1.700 | Wyoming | 0.600 |
| Vermont\# | 3.080 | Utah\# | 1.700 | Idaho | 0.570 |
| Washington\# | 3.025 | Ohio | 1.600 | South Carolina | 0.570 |
| Illinois\# | 2.980 | South Dakota | 1.530 | North Carolina | 0.450 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| California | 2.870 | Texas | 1.410 | North Dakota | 0.440 |
| New Jersey | 2.700 | Iowa\# | 1.360 | Georgia | 0.370 |
| Pennsylvania\# | 2.600 | Florida | 1.339 | Missouri | 0.170 |
| WISCONSIN | 2.520 | Kansas | 1.290 |  |  |
| Delaware | 2.100 | West Virginia | 1.200 |  |  |
| Oklahoma\# | 2.030 | Arkansas | 1.150 |  |  |

[^0]Sources: Federation of Tax Administrators, Campaign for Tobacco-Free Kids, state tax publications, and state websites.
on vapor products. It should be noted that Wiscon$\sin$ is currently the only state that taxes the vapor liquid only when sold as part of the vapor product. All other states also tax liquid sold separately.

Table 15 summarizes the excise tax rates imposed on liquor for the 34 states that license the sale of liquor by private individuals. [Tax rates for the 17 states that maintain a complete or partial government monopoly on the sale of liquor are not provided. Such states generate revenue from a variety of taxes, fees, and net liquor profits.] Many states have separate rates for wine, champagne, and other alcoholic beverages. In some cases, the tax imposed on liquor depends on the percentage of alcohol content by volume. In addition, a number of states impose an additional tax based on: (a) a percentage of the wholesale price; (b) a percentage of the retail price for on-premise and/or offpremise consumption; and (c) a charge per bottle or per volume sold. In all cases where such variation exists, Table 15 provides only the primary
liquor tax rate.
Table 16 presents a summary of the excise tax rates levied in other states on the sale of beer. In addition to the rates shown, some states offer a reduced tax rate for beer sold by smaller breweries. In a number of states, the tax rate depends on the alcohol content of the beer. Also, some states impose an additional tax based on the wholesale or retail price or on a per unit basis, sometimes in connection with the location of the sale. Table 16 provides only the primary tax rate on beer, both by the gallon and by the barrel. In cases where the rate per barrel varies with the volume of beer included in the packaging sold (for example, a half barrel of beer has a different tax rate than a case of beer), the state's highest statutory tax rate is shown in Table 16 .

Table 17 identifies the excise tax rates imposed on sales of recreational marijuana in other states. Currently, 20 states have legalized the possession,
use, and sale of marijuana for recreational use and impose (or will impose) an excise tax on retail and/or wholesale sales of recreational marijuana. Many states have set their marijuana excise tax rate as a percentage of the retail price of marijuana, while some tax marijuana both at the wholesale and retail levels. Other states have excise tax rates that vary based on the type of product and the

THC content of those products. In addition, possession and use, but not sales, of recreational marijuana are legal in the District of Columbia and will become legal in Maryland beginning on July 1, 2023. In 29 states (including Wisconsin), the possession, use, and sale of recreational marijuana remains illegal.

Table 13: Tobacco Products Tax Rates by State as of December, 2022
States That Impose Tax Uniformly for All Tobacco Products

| State | Tax | State | Tax |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Alaska | $75.0 \%$ of wholesale price | Nevada | $30.0 \%$ of wholesale price |
| California | $61.74 \%$ of wholesale value | New Hampshire | $65.03 \%$ of wholesale price |
| Colorado* | $50.0 \%$ of manuf. list price | New Mexico*** | $25.0 \%$ of wholesale product value |
| District of Columbia | $79 \%$ of wholesale price | North Carolina | $12.8 \%$ of wholesale price |
| Florida** | $85.0 \%$ of wholesale price | Pennsylvania** | $55 \neq$ per ounce |
|  |  | South Carolina | $5.0 \%$ of manuf. price |
| Idaho | $40.0 \%$ of wholesale price | South Dakota | $35.0 \%$ of wholesale price |
| Kansas | $10.0 \%$ of wholesale price | Tennessee | $6.6 \%$ of wholesale price |
| Michigan*** | $32 \%$ of wholesale price | West Virginia | $12.0 \%$ of wholesale price |
| Mississippi | $15.0 \%$ of manuf. list price |  |  |
| Missouri | $10.0 \%$ of manuf. invoice price |  |  |

States That Impose Tax That Differs by Product

| State/Product | Range of Tax Rates | State/Product | Range of Tax Rates |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alabama |  | Delaware |  |
| Cigars | \$40.50/1,000 | Moist Snuff | 92¢ per ounce |
| Little Cigars | $4 ¢$ per 10 | All Other Tobacco | $30 \%$ of wholesale price |
| Filtered Cigars | $15 ¢$ per 10 |  |  |
| Smoking Tobacco | Up to $6 ¢$ per ounce | Georgia |  |
| Chewing Tobacco | $1.5 \phi$ per ounce | Cigars | 23\% of wholesale price |
| Snuff | Up to $12 \not \subset$ per ounce | Little cigars <br> All Other Tobacco | $5 \notin$ per 20 $10 \%$ of wholesale price |
| Arizona |  |  |  |
| Cigars | $21.8 ¢$ for 3 to $21.8 ¢$ each | Hawaii |  |
| Little Cigars | $44.10 ¢$ per 20 | Cigars | 50\% of wholesale price |
| Plug Tobacco | $5.50 ¢$ per ounce | Other Tobacco | 70\% of wholesale price |
| Other Tobacco | $22.3 ¢$ per ounce | Illinois |  |
| Arkansas |  | Moist Snuff | $30 ¢$ per ounce |
| Cigarette Papers | 25¢ per 32 sheets | Other Tobacco | $36 \%$ of wholesale price |
| Other Tobacco *** | 68\% of manuf. selling price | Indiana |  |
|  |  | Moist Snuff | $40 ¢$ per ounce |
| Snuff | \$3.00 per ounce | All Other Tobacco | $24 \%$ of wholesale price |
| All Other Tobacco*** | $50 \%$ of wholesale price | Iowa |  |
|  |  | Snuff | \$1.19 per ounce |
|  |  | All other Tobacco*** | $50.0 \%$ of wholesale price |

Table 13: Tobacco Products Tax Rates by State as of December, 2022 (continued)

## States That Impose Tax That Differs by Product (continued)

| State/Product | Range of Tax Rates | State/Product | Range of Tax Rates |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Kentucky |  | Ohio |  |
| Snuff | 19¢ per 1.5 ounces | Little Cigars | $37 \%$ of wholesale price |
| Chewing Tobacco | Up to $5 ¢$ per ounce | All Other Tobacco*** | $17 \%$ of wholesale price |
| All Other Tobacco | 15\% of wholesale price | Oklahoma |  |
| Louisiana |  | Cigars | $36 ¢$ to $120 ¢$ per 10 |
| Cigars | $8 \%$ to $20 \%$ of manuf. invoice price | Smoking Tobacco | $80 \%$ of factory list price |
| Smoking Tobacco | $33 \%$ of invoice price | Snuff; Chewing and |  |
| Smokeless Tobacco | 20\% of invoice price | Smokeless Tobacco | 60\% of factory list price |
| Maine |  | Oregon |  |
| Smokeless Tobacco | \$2.02 per ounce | Moist Snuff | \$1.78 per ounce |
| Smoking Tobacco | 43\% of wholesale sales price | All Other Tobacco*** | 65.0\% of wholesale price |
| Maryland |  | Rhode Island |  |
| Cigars | $70 \%$ of wholesale price | Snuff | \$1.00 per ounce |
| Premium Cigars | 15\% of wholesale price | All Other Tobacco *** | 80\% of wholesale cost |
| Pipe Tobacco | $30 \%$ of wholesale price |  |  |
| All Other Tobacco | $53 \%$ of wholesale price | Texas |  |
|  |  | Little Cigars | $1 ¢$ per 10 |
| Massachusetts |  | Cigars | \$7.50/1,000 to \$15/1,000 |
| Smoking Tobacco | $40 \%$ of wholesale price | All Other Tobacco | \$1.22 per ounce |
| Smokeless Tobacco | 210\% of wholesale price |  |  |
| Minnesota |  | Utah <br> Moist Snuff | \$1.83 per ounce |
| Moist Snuff | Greater of $\$ 3.04$ per 1.2 ounces or $95 \%$ of wholesale price | All Other Tobacco | $86 \%$ of manuf. sales price |
| All Other Tobacco*** | 95\% of wholesale price | Vermont |  |
|  |  | Snuff | \$2.57 per ounce |
| Montana |  | Smokeless Tobacco | \$2.57 per ounce |
| Moist Snuff All Other Tobacco | 85¢ per ounce | Cigars > \$2.18 | \$2 or \$4 per cigar |
| All Other Tobacco | $50 \%$ of wholesale price | All Other Tobacco | 92\% of wholesale price |
| Nebraska |  | Virginia |  |
| Snuff | $44 ¢$ per ounce | Moist snuff | $36 ¢$ per ounce |
| Other Tobacco | 20.0\% of manuf. selling price | Loose Leaf Tobacco | Up to $20 ¢$ per ounce |
| New Jersey |  | Roll-Your-Own Tobacco | 10\% of manuf. sales price |
| Moist Snuff | $75 ¢$ per ounce | All Other Tobacco | 20\% of manuf. sales price |
| All Other Tobacco | $30.0 \%$ of wholesale price | Washington |  |
| New York |  | Moist Snuff | \$2.526 per unit of 1.2 ounces |
| Snuff | \$2.00 per ounce | All Other Tobacco*** | 95\% of taxable sales price |
| All Other Tobacco | $75 \%$ of wholesale price | WISCONSIN |  |
| North Dakota |  | Moist Snuff | 100\% of manuf. list price |
| Cigars \& Pipe Tobacco | 28\% of wholesale price | All Other Tobacco*** | $71 \%$ of manuf. list price |
| Snuff | $60 ¢$ per ounce |  |  |
| Chewing Tobacco | 16¢ per ounce | Moist Snuff | 60¢ per ounce |
|  |  | All Other Tobacco | 20.0\% of wholesale price |

[^1]Table 14: Vapor Products Tax Rates by State as of December, 2022

| State | Tax | State | Tax |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| States That Impose Tax as a Percentage of the Price |  |  |  |
| California | 12.5\% of retail price; and | Minnesota | 95\% of wholesale price |
|  | 61.74\% of wholesale price | Nevada | $30 \%$ of wholesale price |
| Colorado* | 50\% of manufacturer's list price | New York | 20\% of retail price |
| District of Columbia | 79\% of wholesale price | Oregon | 65\% of wholesale price |
| Illinois | $15 \%$ of wholesale price | Pennsylvania | 40\% of wholesale price |
| Maine | 43\% of wholesale price | Utah | $56 \%$ of manufacturer's sales price |
| Maryland | $12 \%$ of retail price | Vermont | 92\% of wholesale price |
|  | ( $60 \%$ if 5 mL or less) | Wyoming | 15\% of wholesale price |
| Massachusetts | 75\% of wholesale price |  |  |
| States That Impose Tax by Volume of E-Liquid |  |  |  |
| Delaware | $5 ¢$ per milliliter | Ohio | $10 ¢$ per milliliter |
| Kansas | $5 ¢$ per milliliter | Virginia | $6.6 ¢$ per milliliter |
| Louisiana | $5 ¢$ per milliliter | West Virginia | 7.5¢ per milliliter |
| North Carolina | $5 ¢$ per milliliter | WISCONSIN** | $5 ¢$ per milliliter |
| States That Impose Tax That Differs by Type of Product |  |  |  |
| Connecticut | Open: $10 \%$ of wholesale price Closed: $40 \notin / \mathrm{mL}$ | New Hampshire | Open: $8 \%$ wholesale price Closed: $30 \propto$ per milliliter |
| Georgia | Open: 7\% of wholesale price | New Jersey | Open: $10 \%$ of retail price |
|  | Closed: 5¢ per milliliter |  | Closed: 10¢ per milliliter |
| Kentucky | Open: $15 \%$ of wholesale price | New Mexico | Open: $12.5 \%$ of wholesale price |
|  | Closed: $\$ 1.50$ per cartridge |  | Closed: $50 \notin$ per cartridge |
| Indiana | Open: $15 \%$ of retail price | Washington | Open $>5 \mathrm{~mL}$ : $9 ¢ ¢$ per milliliter |
|  | Closed: $15 \%$ of wholesale price |  | Closed: 27¢ per milliliter |

* Rate in Colorado increases to 56\% on Jan. 1, 2024.
** Tax only imposed on liquid that functions as part of a vapor product or is sold with a vapor product as one packaged item.
Sources: National Conference of State Legislators, Tax Foundation, Federation of Tax Administrators, state tax publications and state websites.

Table 15: Liquor Tax Rates for License States as of December, 2022

|  | Tax <br> Per Gallon | State | Tax <br> Per Gallon | State | Tax |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| State | $\$ 14.27$ | Delaware | $\$ 4.50$ | Indiana | $\$ 2.68$ |
| Washington | 12.80 | Tennessee | 4.40 | Arkansas | 2.50 |
| Alaska | 8.55 | Massachusetts | 4.05 | Kansas | 2.50 |
| Illinois | 6.50 | South Dakota | 3.93 | North Dakota | 2.50 |
| Florida | 6.44 | Georgia | 3.79 | Texas | 2.40 |
| New York | 6.06 | Nebraska | 3.75 | Colorado | 2.28 |
| New Mexico | 5.98 | Nevada | 3.60 | Missouri | 2.00 |
| Hawaii | 5.94 | California | 3.30 | Kentucky | 1.92 |
| Connecticut | 5.56 | WISCONSIN | 3.25 | District of Columbia | 1.50 |
| Oklahoma | 5.50 | Louisiana | 3.03 | Maryland | 1.50 |
| New Jersey | 5.40 | Arizona | 3.00 |  |  |
| Rhode Island | 5.03 | South Carolina | 2.72 |  |  |
| Minnesota |  |  |  |  |  |

Sources: State tax publications and state websites.

Table 16: Beer Tax Rates by State as of December, 2022

| State | Tax in $\varnothing$ Per Gallon | Tax in \$ Per Barrel | State | Tax in $\varnothing$ Per Gallon | Tax in \$ Per Barrel |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tennessee* | 128.7¢ | \$39.89 | California | $20.0 ¢$ | \$6.20 |
| Alaska | 107.0 | 33.17 | Texas | 19.4 | 6.00 |
| Alabama** | 105.3 | 32.64 | Iowa | 19.0 | 5.89 |
| Georgia*** | 101.3 | 31.41 | Kansas | 18.0 | 5.58 |
| Hawaii | 93.0 | 28.83 | Ohio | 18.0 | 5.58 |
| South Carolina | 76.8 | 23.81 | West Virginia | 17.7 | 5.50 |
| North Carolina | 61.7 | 19.13 | Arizona | 16.0 | 4.96 |
| Florida | 48.0 | 14.88 | Nevada | 16.0 | 4.96 |
| Mississippi | 42.7 | 13.23 | North Dakota | 16.0 | 4.96 |
| Utah | 42.3 | 13.10 | Idaho | 15.0 | 4.65 |
| New Mexico | 41.0 | 12.71 | Minnesota | 14.8 | 4.60 |
| Louisiana | 40.3 | 12.50 | New York | 14.0 | 4.34 |
| Oklahoma | 40.3 | 12.50 | Montana | 13.9 | 4.30 |
| Maine | 35.0 | 10.85 | New Jersey | 12.0 | 3.72 |
| Nebraska | 31.0 | 9.61 | Indiana | 11.5 | 3.57 |
| New Hampshire | 30.0 | 9.30 | Massachusetts | 10.6 | 3.30 |
| South Dakota | 27.4 | 8.50 | Rhode Island | 10.6 | 3.30 |
| Vermont | 26.5 | 8.22 | District of Columbia | 9.0 | 2.79 |
| Delaware | 26.3 | 8.15 | Maryland | 9.0 | 2.79 |
| Washington | 26.1 | 8.08 | Oregon | 8.4 | 2.60 |
| Virginia | 25.7 | 7.95 | Kentucky | 8.1 | 2.50 |
| Connecticut | 24.0 | 7.44 | Colorado | 8.0 | 2.48 |
| Arkansas | 23.4 | 7.27 | Pennsylvania | 8.0 | 2.48 |
| Illinois | 23.1 | 7.16 | WISCONSIN | 6.5 | 2.00 |
| Michigan | 20.3 | 6.30 | Missouri | 6.0 | 1.86 |
|  |  |  | Wyoming | 1.9 | 0.59 |

[^2]Table 17: Recreational Marijuana Tax Rates by State as of December, 2022

States That Impose a Uniform Tax at a Fixed Rate

| State | Tax | State | Tax |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Arizona | $16 \%$ of retail price | Nevada | $10 \%$ of retail price; and |
| California | $15 \%$ of retail gross receipts |  | $15 \%$ of wholesale fair market value |
| Colorado | $15 \%$ of retail price; and | New Jersey* | $\$ 1.52$ per ounce of cannabis |
|  | $15 \%$ of wholesale average market rate | New Mexico | $12 \%$ of retail price |
| Massachusetts | $10.75 \%$ of retail price | Oregon | $17 \%$ of retail price |
| Michigan | $10 \%$ of retail price | Rhode Island | $10 \%$ of retail price |
| Montana | $20 \%$ of retail price | Vermont | $14 \%$ of retail price |
|  |  | Washington | $37 \%$ of retail price |

## Table 17: Recreational Marijuana Tax Rates by State as of December, 2022 (continued)

## States That Impose Tax That Differs by Product and/or THC Content

| State/Product | Range of Tax Rates | State/Product | Range of Tax Rates |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | Maine |  |
| Alaska | $\$ 50$ per ounce | Flowers | $\$ 335$ per pound |
| Mature Flowers | $\$ 25$ per ounce | Trim | $\$ 94$ per pound |
| Immature Flowers | $\$ 1$ per ounce | Mature Plant | $\$ 35$ per plant |
| Trim |  | Seedling/Immature Plant | $\$ 1.50$ per seedling or plant |
| Clone |  | Seeds | $\$ 0.35$ per seed |
|  |  | All Retail Sales | $10 \%$ of retail price |
| Connecticut | $0.625 \phi$ per milligram of THC |  |  |
| Flower | New York |  |  |
| Edibles | $0.75 \phi$ per milligram of THC | Flower | $0.5 \phi$ per milligram of THC |
| All other products |  | Concentrates | $0.8 \notin$ per milligram of THC |
|  |  | Edibles | $3 \phi$ per milligram of THC |
| Illinois | All Retail Sales | $9 \%$ of retail price |  |
| Products with $<35 \%$ THC | $10 \%$ of price |  |  |
| Products with $>35 \%$ THC | $25 \%$ of price |  |  |
| Products Infused with Cannabis | $20 \%$ of price | $7 \%$ of value |  |
| All Wholesale Sales |  |  |  |

* New Jersey's rate is adjusted annually, and is set at $1 / 3$ of $1 \%$ of the statewide average retail price of cannabis.

Note: Missouri and Virginia are expected to impose excise taxes on recreational marijuana at rates of $6 \%$ and $21 \%$ of retail price, respectively, once legal sales begin.

Sources: Federation of Tax Administrators, Tax Foundation, state tax publications and state websites.


[^0]:    * Rate includes $\$ 0.52$ surtax for each pack of cigarettes, which replaces the sales tax on cigarettes.
    ** Rate includes $\$ 0.692$ cigarette sales tax, which is recalculated every year effective January 1. *** Rate increases to $\$ 2.24$ per pack on Jan. 1, 2024.
    \# Little cigars are taxed at the same rate as cigarettes.

[^1]:    * Rate in Colorado increases to 56\% on Jan. 1, 2024.
    ** Tax not imposed on cigars.
    *** Cap on cigar tax.
    Sources: National Association of Tobacco Outlets, Campaign for Tobacco-Free Kids, state tax publications, and state websites.

[^2]:    * Includes a local beer wholesale tax of $\$ 35.60$ per barrel.
    ** Includes uniform local tax rate of $\$ 0.52$ per gallon.
    *** Includes a uniform local tax rate of $\$ 16.50$ per barrel, though additional local excise taxes may apply.
    Sources: State tax publications and state websites.

