

CHAPTER 822

UNIFORM CHILD CUSTODY JURISDICTION AND ENFORCEMENT ACT

	SUBCHAPTER I		
	GENERAL PROVISIONS		
822.01	Short title; purposes; construction of provisions.	822.27	Inconvenient forum.
822.02	Definitions.	822.28	Jurisdiction declined by reason of conduct.
822.03	Proceedings governed by other law.	822.29	Information to be submitted to court.
822.04	Application to Indian tribes.	822.295	Appearance of parties and child.
822.05	International application.		SUBCHAPTER III
822.06	Effect of child custody determination.		ENFORCEMENT
822.07	Priority.	822.31	Definitions.
822.08	Notice to persons outside state.	822.32	Enforcement under Hague Convention.
822.09	Appearance and limited immunity.	822.33	Duty to enforce.
822.10	Communication between courts.	822.34	Temporary physical placement or visitation.
822.11	Taking testimony in another state.	822.35	Registration of child custody determination.
822.12	Cooperation between courts; preservation of records.	822.36	Enforcement of registered determination.
	SUBCHAPTER II	822.37	Simultaneous proceedings.
	JURISDICTION	822.38	Expedited enforcement of child custody determination.
822.21	Initial child custody jurisdiction.	822.39	Service of petition and order.
822.22	Exclusive, continuing jurisdiction.	822.40	Hearing and order.
822.23	Jurisdiction to modify determination.	822.41	Warrant to take physical custody of child.
822.24	Temporary emergency jurisdiction.	822.42	Costs, fees, and expenses.
822.25	Notice; opportunity to be heard; joinder.	822.43	Recognition and enforcement.
822.26	Simultaneous proceedings.	822.44	Appeals.
		822.45	Role of prosecutor.
		822.46	Role of law enforcement.
		822.47	Costs and expenses.

SUBCHAPTER I

GENERAL PROVISIONS

822.01 Short title; purposes; construction of provisions. (1) This chapter may be cited as the “Uniform Child Custody Jurisdiction and Enforcement Act.”

(2) The general purposes of this chapter are to do all of the following:

- (a) Avoid jurisdictional competition and conflict with courts of other states in matters of child custody that have in the past resulted in the shifting of children from state to state with harmful effects on their well-being.
- (b) Promote cooperation with the courts of other states to the end that a custody decree is rendered in the state that can best decide the case in the interest of the child.
- (c) Discourage the use of the interstate system for continuing controversies over child custody.
- (d) Deter abductions of children.
- (e) Avoid relitigation in this state of custody decisions of other states.
- (f) Facilitate the enforcement of custody decrees of other states.

History: 2005 a. 130.

822.02 Definitions. In this chapter:

- (1) “Abandoned” means left without provision for reasonable and necessary care or supervision.
- (2) “Child” means an individual who has not attained 18 years of age.
- (3) “Child custody determination” means a judgment, decree, or other order of a court providing for legal custody, physical custody, or visitation with respect to a child. The term includes a permanent, temporary, initial, and modification order. The term does not include an order relating to child support or other monetary obligation of an individual.
- (4) “Child custody proceeding” means a proceeding in which legal custody, physical custody, or visitation with respect to a child is an issue. The term includes a proceeding for divorce, legal separation, neglect, abuse, dependency, guardianship, paternity, ter-

mination of parental rights, and protection from domestic violence, in which the issue may appear. “Child custody proceeding” does not include a proceeding involving juvenile delinquency, contractual emancipation, or enforcement under subch. III.

(5) “Commencement” means the filing of the first pleading in a proceeding, provided that service is completed in accordance with the applicable provisions of ch. 801.

(6) “Court” means an entity authorized under the law of a state to establish, enforce, or modify a child custody determination.

(7) “Home state” means the state in which a child lived with a parent or a person acting as a parent for at least 6 consecutive months immediately before the commencement of a child custody proceeding. In the case of a child less than 6 months of age, the term means the state in which the child lived from birth with any of the persons mentioned in this subsection. A period of temporary absence of any of the persons mentioned in this subsection is part of the period.

(8) “Initial determination” means the first child custody determination concerning a particular child.

(9) “Issuing court” means the court that makes a child custody determination for which enforcement is sought under this chapter.

(10) “Issuing state” means the state in which a child custody determination is made.

(11) “Modification” means a child custody determination that changes, replaces, supersedes, or is otherwise made after a previous determination concerning the same child, whether or not it is made by the court that made the previous determination.

(12) “Person” means an individual, corporation, business trust, estate, trust, partnership, limited liability company, association, joint venture, or government; governmental subdivision, agency, or instrumentality; public corporation; or any other legal or commercial entity.

(13) “Person acting as a parent” means a person, other than a parent, to whom all of the following apply:

(a) He or she has physical custody of the child or has had physical custody for a period of 6 consecutive months, including any temporary absence, within one year immediately before the commencement of a child custody proceeding.

(b) He or she has been awarded legal custody by a court or claims a right to legal custody under the law of this state.

822.02 UNIFORM CHILD CUSTODY JURISDICTION AND ENFORCEMENT

Updated 17–18 Wis. Stats. 2

(14) “Physical custody” means the physical care and supervision of a child and, unless the context otherwise requires, includes physical placement.

(14c) “Physical placement” has the meaning given in s. 767.001 (5).

(15) “State” means a state of the United States, the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico, the U.S. Virgin Islands, or any territory or insular possession subject to the jurisdiction of the United States.

(16) “Tribe” means an American Indian tribe or band, or Alaskan Native village, that is recognized by federal law or formally acknowledged by a state.

(17) “Warrant” means an order issued by a court authorizing law enforcement officers to take physical custody of a child.

History: 2005 a. 130.

A grandparent who had physical custody of a child for a period of six consecutive months within one year of the commencement of the proceedings, but had never been awarded legal custody and did not claim a right to legal custody, no longer cared for the child, and had no plans to resume caring for the child was not a “person acting as a parent” under sub. (13). *Stephen R. v. Ilana C.* 2011 WI App 13, 331 Wis. 2d 108, 794 N.W.2d 533, 10–0363.

822.03 Proceedings governed by other law. This chapter does not govern a proceeding pertaining to the authorization of emergency medical care for a child.

History: 2005 a. 130.

822.04 Application to Indian tribes. (1) A child custody proceeding that pertains to an Indian child as defined in the Indian Child Welfare Act, 25 USC 1901 et seq., is not subject to this chapter to the extent that it is governed by the Indian Child Welfare Act.

(2) A court of this state shall treat a tribe as if it were a state for the purpose of applying subchs. I and II.

(3) A child custody determination made by a tribe under factual circumstances in substantial conformity with the jurisdictional standards of this chapter shall be recognized and enforced under subch. III.

History: 2005 a. 130.

822.05 International application. (1) A court of this state shall treat a foreign country as if it were a state for the purpose of applying this subchapter and subch. II.

(2) Except as provided in sub. (3), a child custody determination made in a foreign country under factual circumstances in substantial conformity with the jurisdictional standards of this chapter shall be recognized and enforced under subch. III.

(3) A court of this state need not apply this chapter if the child custody law of a foreign country violates fundamental principles of human rights.

History: 2005 a. 130.

822.06 Effect of child custody determination. A child custody determination made by a court of this state that had jurisdiction under this chapter binds all persons who have been served in accordance with the laws of this state or notified in accordance with s. 822.08 or who have submitted to the jurisdiction of the court, and who have been given an opportunity to be heard. As to those persons, the determination is conclusive as to all decided issues of law and fact except to the extent that the determination is modified.

History: 2005 a. 130.

822.07 Priority. If a question of existence or exercise of jurisdiction under this chapter is raised in a child custody proceeding, the question, upon request of a party, shall be given priority on the calendar and handled expeditiously.

History: 2005 a. 130.

822.08 Notice to persons outside state. (1) Notice required for the exercise of jurisdiction when a person is outside this state may be given in a manner prescribed by the law of this state for service of process or by the law of the state in which the service is made. Notice shall be given in a manner reasonably cal-

culated to give actual notice but may be by publication if other means are not effective.

(2) Proof of service may be made in the manner prescribed by law of this state or by the law of the state in which the service is made.

(3) Notice is not required for the exercise of jurisdiction with respect to a person who submits to the jurisdiction of the court.

History: 2005 a. 130.

Traditional personal jurisdiction is not required in child custody proceedings. Child custody proceedings under ch. 822 are valid even in the absence of minimum contacts over an out-of-state parent. Section 801.05 (11) provides sufficient due process protection to out-of-state parents based on notice and an opportunity to be heard. *Tammie J. C. v. Robert T. R.* 2003 WI 61, 262 Wis. 2d 217, 663 N.W.2d 734, 01–2787.

NOTE: The above annotation cites to the Uniform Child Custody Jurisdiction Act, the predecessor statute to the current Uniform Child Custody Jurisdiction and Enforcement Act.

822.09 Appearance and limited immunity. (1) A party to a child custody proceeding, including a modification proceeding, or a petitioner or respondent in a proceeding to enforce or register a child custody determination, is not subject to personal jurisdiction in this state for another proceeding or purpose solely by reason of having participated, or of having been physically present for the purpose of participating, in the proceeding.

(2) A person who is subject to personal jurisdiction in this state on a basis other than physical presence is not immune from service of process in this state. A party present in this state who is subject to the jurisdiction of another state is not immune from service of process allowable under the laws of that state.

(3) The immunity granted by sub. (1) does not extend to civil litigation based on acts unrelated to the participation in a proceeding under this chapter committed by an individual while present in this state.

History: 2005 a. 130.

822.10 Communication between courts. (1) In this section, “record” means information that is inscribed on a tangible medium or that is stored in an electronic or other medium and is retrievable in perceivable form.

(2) A court of this state may communicate with a court in another state concerning a proceeding arising under this chapter.

(3) The court may allow the parties to participate in the communication. If the parties are not able to participate in the communication, they shall be given the opportunity to present facts and legal arguments before a decision on jurisdiction is made.

(4) Communication between courts on schedules, calendars, court records, and similar matters may occur without informing the parties. A record need not be made of the communication.

(5) Except as provided in sub. (4), a record shall be made of a communication under this section. The parties shall be informed promptly of the communication and granted access to the record.

History: 2005 a. 130.

822.11 Taking testimony in another state. (1) In addition to other procedures available to a party, a party to a child custody proceeding may offer testimony of witnesses who are located in another state, including testimony of the parties and the child, by deposition or other means allowable in this state for testimony taken in another state. The court on its own motion may order that the testimony of a person be taken in another state and may prescribe the manner in which and the terms upon which the testimony is taken.

(2) A court of this state may permit an individual residing in another state to be deposed or to testify by telephone, audiovisual means, or other electronic means before a designated court or at another location in that state. A court of this state shall cooperate with courts of other states in designating an appropriate location for the deposition or testimony.

(3) Documentary evidence transmitted from another state to a court of this state by technological means that do not produce an

original writing may not be excluded from evidence on an objection based on the means of transmission.

History: 2005 a. 130.

822.12 Cooperation between courts; preservation of records. (1) A court of this state may request the appropriate court of another state to do any of the following:

- (a) Hold an evidentiary hearing.
- (b) Order a person to produce or give evidence under procedures of that state.
- (c) Order that an evaluation be made with respect to the custody of a child involved in a pending proceeding.
- (d) Forward to the court of this state a certified copy of the transcript of the record of the hearing, the evidence otherwise presented, and any evaluation prepared in compliance with the request.
- (e) Order a party to a child custody proceeding or any person having physical custody of the child to appear in the proceeding with or without the child.

(2) Upon request of a court of another state, a court of this state may hold a hearing or enter an order described in sub. (1).

(3) Travel and other necessary and reasonable expenses incurred under subs. (1) and (2) may be assessed against the parties according to the law of this state.

(4) A court of this state shall preserve the pleadings, orders, decrees, records of hearings, evaluations, and other pertinent records with respect to a child custody proceeding until the child attains 18 years of age. Upon appropriate request by a court or law enforcement official of another state, the court shall forward a certified copy of those records.

History: 2005 a. 130.

SUBCHAPTER II

JURISDICTION

822.21 Initial child custody jurisdiction. (1) Except as provided in s. 822.24, a court of this state has jurisdiction to make an initial determination only if any of the following applies:

(a) This state is the home state of the child on the date of the commencement of the proceeding, or was the home state of the child within 6 months before the commencement of the proceeding and the child is absent from this state but a parent or person acting as a parent continues to live in this state.

(b) A court of another state does not have jurisdiction under par. (a), or a court of the home state of the child has declined to exercise jurisdiction on the ground that this state is the more appropriate forum under s. 822.27 or 822.28, and all of the following apply:

1. The child and the child's parents, or the child and at least one parent or a person acting as a parent, have a significant connection with this state other than mere physical presence.

2. Substantial evidence is available in this state concerning the child's care, protection, training, and personal relationships.

(c) All courts having jurisdiction under par. (a) or (b) have declined to exercise jurisdiction on the ground that a court of this state is the more appropriate forum to determine the custody of the child under s. 822.27 or 822.28.

(d) No court of any other state would have jurisdiction under the criteria specified in par. (a), (b), or (c).

(2) Subsection (1) is the exclusive jurisdictional basis for making a child custody determination by a court of this state.

(3) Physical presence of, or personal jurisdiction over, a party or a child is not necessary or sufficient to make a child custody determination.

History: 2005 a. 130.

When children were abducted from their home state to Wisconsin, the trial court properly assumed emergency jurisdiction but erred in failing to stay the proceedings under s. 822.07 (5). *Vorpahl v. Lee*, 99 Wis. 2d 7, 298 N.W.2d 222 (Ct. App. 1980).

The court did not abuse its discretion in refusing to decline jurisdiction when a mother violated a foreign decree by transporting children to Wisconsin. In *Matter of Custody of R. J. G.* 107 Wis. 2d 704, 321 N.W.2d 354 (Ct. App. 1982).

Guardianship and TPR proceedings are custody proceedings, guardianship and TPR determinations are custody determinations, and guardianship and TPR decrees are custody decrees, all governed by UCCJA. In *Interest of A.E.H.* 161 Wis. 2d 277, 468 N.W.2d 190 (1991).

UCCJA jurisdictional requirements must be met only at the commencement of proceedings in this state. A TPR action commenced after the entry of a guardianship decree constituted a request for modification of custody that required reexamination of jurisdiction. In *Interest of A.E.H.* 161 Wis. 2d 277, 468 N.W.2d 190 (1991).

The determination of jurisdiction when two states have asserted jurisdiction is discussed. A child whose sole connection with Wisconsin was summer visitation did not have a significant connection with the state under sub. (1) (b). In *Interest of J.T.* 168 Wis. 2d 646, 485 N.W.2d 70 (Ct. App. 1992).

The Federal Parental Kidnapping Prevention Act preempts conflicting provisions of the UCCJA. *Michalik v. Michalik*, 172 Wis. 2d 640, 494 N.W.2d 391 (1992).

Chapter 822 does not, in and of itself, establish a sufficient statutory basis for personal jurisdiction over a nonresident defendant in a paternity proceeding. *Paula M.S. v. Neal A. R.* 226 Wis. 2d 79, 593 N.W.2d 486 (Ct. App. 1999), 98–1158.

NOTE: The above annotations cite to the Uniform Child Custody Jurisdiction Act, the predecessor statute to the current Uniform Child Custody Jurisdiction and Enforcement Act.

Under prior law, there were 4 different bases for initial jurisdiction, which conceivably could allow more than one state initial jurisdiction. The current uniform act changed this rule. Under the uniform act, home state jurisdiction always receives priority, and other jurisdictional bases are available only when there is no home state, or when the home state declines jurisdiction. *Hatch v. Hatch*, 2007 WI App 136, 302 Wis. 2d 215, 733 N.W.2d 648, 06–2259.

A claim must be affirmatively asserted by the caretaker in the context of a custody proceeding in order for the caretaker's residence to drive a jurisdictional determination of the child's home state under sub. (1). A grandparent who had physical custody of a child for a period of six consecutive months within one year of the commencement of the proceedings, but had never been awarded and did not claim legal custody, no longer cared for the child, and had no plans to resume caring for the child was not a "person acting as a parent" as defined under s. 802.02 (13). *Stephen R. v. Ilana C.* 2011 WI App 13, 331 Wis. 2d 108, 794 N.W.2d 533, 10–0363.

822.22 Exclusive, continuing jurisdiction. (1) Except as provided in s. 822.24, a court of this state that has made a child custody determination consistent with s. 822.21 or 822.23 has exclusive, continuing jurisdiction over the determination until any of the following occurs:

(a) A court of this state determines that neither the child, nor the child and one parent, nor the child and a person acting as a parent have a significant connection with this state and that substantial evidence is no longer available in this state concerning the child's care, protection, training, and personal relationships.

(b) A court of this state or a court of another state determines that the child, the child's parents, and all persons acting as parents do not presently reside in this state.

(2) A court of this state that has made a child custody determination and that does not have exclusive, continuing jurisdiction under this section may modify that determination only if it has jurisdiction to make an initial determination under s. 822.21.

History: 2005 a. 130.

822.23 Jurisdiction to modify determination. Except as provided in s. 822.24, a court of this state may not modify a child custody determination made by a court of another state unless a court of this state has jurisdiction to make an initial determination under s. 822.21 (1) (a) or (b) and one of the following applies:

(1) The court of the other state determines that it no longer has exclusive, continuing jurisdiction under s. 822.22 or that a court of this state would be a more convenient forum under s. 822.27.

(2) A court of this state or a court of the other state determines that the child, the child's parents, and all persons acting as parents do not presently reside in the other state.

History: 2005 a. 130.

An action for legal custody commenced in Wisconsin after entry of a custody decree in California was an action to modify the California custody decree requiring Wisconsin jurisdiction and no California jurisdiction at the time of commencement. In *Interest of A.E.H.*, 161 Wis. 2d 277, 468 N.W.2d 190 (1991).

NOTE: The above annotation cites to the Uniform Child Custody Jurisdiction Act, the predecessor statute to the current Uniform Child Custody Jurisdiction and Enforcement Act.

822.24 Temporary emergency jurisdiction. (1) A court of this state has temporary emergency jurisdiction if the child is present in this state and the child has been abandoned or it is necessary in an emergency to protect the child because the child, or a

822.24 UNIFORM CHILD CUSTODY JURISDICTION AND ENFORCEMENT

Updated 17–18 Wis. Stats. 4

sibling or parent of the child, is subjected to or threatened with mistreatment or abuse.

(2) If there is no previous child custody determination that is entitled to be enforced under this chapter and a child custody proceeding has not been commenced in a court of a state having jurisdiction under ss. 822.21 to 822.23, a child custody determination made under this section remains in effect until an order is obtained from a court of a state having jurisdiction under ss. 822.21 to 822.23. If a child custody proceeding has not been or is not commenced in a court of a state having jurisdiction under ss. 822.21 to 822.23, a child custody determination made under this section becomes a final determination, if it so provides and this state becomes the home state of the child.

(3) If a previous child custody determination is entitled to be enforced under this chapter, or a child custody proceeding has been commenced in a court of a state having jurisdiction under ss. 822.21 to 822.23, any order issued by a court of this state under this section shall specify in the order a period that the court considers adequate to allow the person seeking an order to obtain an order from the state having jurisdiction under ss. 822.21 to 822.23. The order issued in this state remains in effect until an order is obtained from the other state within the period specified or until the period expires.

(4) A court of this state that has been asked to make a child custody determination under this section, upon being informed that a child custody proceeding has been commenced in, or that a child custody determination has been made by, a court of a state having jurisdiction under ss. 822.21 to 822.23, shall immediately communicate with the other court. A court of this state that is exercising jurisdiction under ss. 822.21 to 822.23, upon being informed that a child custody proceeding has been commenced in, or that a child custody determination has been made by, a court of another state under a statute similar to this section, shall immediately communicate with the court of that state to resolve the emergency, protect the safety of the parties and the child, and determine a period for the duration of the temporary order.

History: 2005 a. 130.

822.25 Notice; opportunity to be heard; joinder.

(1) Before a child custody determination is made under this chapter, notice and an opportunity to be heard in accordance with the standards of s. 822.08 shall be given to all persons entitled to notice under the law of this state as in child custody proceedings between residents of this state, any parent whose parental rights have not been previously terminated, and any person having physical custody of the child.

(2) This chapter does not govern the enforceability of a child custody determination made without notice or an opportunity to be heard.

(3) The obligation to join a party and the right to intervene as a party in a child custody proceeding under this chapter are governed by the law of this state as in child custody proceedings between residents of this state.

History: 2005 a. 130.

822.26 Simultaneous proceedings. (1) Except as provided in s. 822.24, a court of this state may not exercise its jurisdiction under this subchapter if, at the time of the commencement of the proceeding, a proceeding concerning the custody of the child has been commenced in a court of another state having jurisdiction substantially in conformity with this chapter, unless the proceeding has been terminated or is stayed by the court of the other state because a court of this state is a more convenient forum under s. 822.27.

(2) Except as provided in s. 822.24, a court of this state, before hearing a child custody proceeding, shall examine the court documents and other information supplied by the parties under s. 822.29. If the court determines that a child custody proceeding has been commenced in a court in another state having jurisdiction substantially in accordance with this chapter, the court of this state

shall stay its proceeding and communicate with the court of the other state. If the court of the state having jurisdiction substantially in accordance with this chapter does not determine that the court of this state is a more appropriate forum, the court of this state shall dismiss the proceeding.

(3) In a proceeding to modify a child custody determination, a court of this state shall determine whether a proceeding to enforce the determination has been commenced in another state. If a proceeding to enforce a child custody determination has been commenced in another state, the court may do any of the following:

(a) Stay the proceeding for modification pending the entry of an order of a court of the other state enforcing, staying, denying, or dismissing the proceeding for enforcement.

(b) Enjoin the parties from continuing with the proceeding for enforcement.

(c) Proceed with the modification under conditions it considers appropriate.

History: 2005 a. 130.

An Indian tribal court custody order is given full force and effect under the doctrine of comity. Custody of Sengstock, 165 Wis. 2d 86, 477 N.W.2d 310 (Ct. App. 1991).

NOTE: The above annotation cites to the Uniform Child Custody Jurisdiction Act, the predecessor statute to the current Uniform Child Custody Jurisdiction and Enforcement Act.

822.27 Inconvenient forum. (1) A court of this state that has jurisdiction under this chapter to make a child custody determination may decline to exercise its jurisdiction at any time if it determines that it is an inconvenient forum under the circumstances and that a court of another state is a more appropriate forum. The issue of inconvenient forum may be raised upon the motion of a party, the court's own motion, or the request of another court.

(2) Before determining whether it is an inconvenient forum, a court of this state shall consider whether it is appropriate for a court of another state to exercise jurisdiction. For this purpose, the court shall allow the parties to submit information and shall consider all relevant factors, including all of the following:

(a) Whether domestic violence has occurred and is likely to continue in the future and which state could best protect the parties and the child.

(b) The length of time that the child has resided outside this state.

(c) The distance between the court in this state and the court in the state that would assume jurisdiction.

(d) The relative financial circumstances of the parties.

(e) Any agreement of the parties as to which state should assume jurisdiction.

(f) The nature and location of the evidence required to resolve the pending litigation, including testimony of the child.

(g) The ability of the court of each state to decide the issue expeditiously and the procedures necessary to present the evidence.

(h) The familiarity of the court of each state with the facts and issues in the pending litigation.

(3) If a court of this state determines that it is an inconvenient forum and that a court of another state is a more appropriate forum, the court shall stay the proceedings upon condition that a child custody proceeding be promptly commenced in another designated state and may impose any other condition that the court considers just and proper.

(4) A court of this state may decline to exercise its jurisdiction under this chapter if a child custody determination is incidental to an action for divorce or another proceeding while still retaining jurisdiction over the divorce or other proceeding.

History: 2005 a. 130.

Section 801.63 does not control inconvenient forum motions in custody proceedings. Mayer v. Mayer, 91 Wis. 2d 342, 283 N.W.2d 591 (Ct. App. 1979).

When children were abducted from their home state to Wisconsin, the trial court properly assumed emergency jurisdiction but erred in failing to stay the proceedings under s. 822.07 (5). Vorpahl v. Lee, 99 Wis. 2d 7, 298 N.W.2d 222 (Ct. App. 1980).

Contempt proceedings in a visitation matter do not involve a custody determination or modification, and this section is not applicable to a jurisdictional objection. *In re Paternity of J.L.V.* 145 Wis. 2d 308, 426 N.W.2d 112 (Ct. App. 1988).

UCCJA jurisdictional requirements must be met only at the commencement of proceedings in this state. A TPR action commenced after the entry of a guardianship decree constituted a request for modification of custody that required reexamination of jurisdiction. *In Interest of A.E.H.* 161 Wis. 2d 277, 468 N.W.2d 190 (1991).

NOTE: The above annotation cites to the Uniform Child Custody Jurisdiction Act, the predecessor statute to the current Uniform Child Custody Jurisdiction and Enforcement Act.

822.28 Jurisdiction declined by reason of conduct.

(1) Except as provided in s. 822.24, if a court of this state has jurisdiction under this chapter because a person seeking to invoke its jurisdiction has engaged in unjustifiable conduct, the court shall decline to exercise its jurisdiction unless any of the following occurs:

(a) The parents and all persons acting as parents have acquiesced in the exercise of jurisdiction.

(b) A court of the state otherwise having jurisdiction under ss. 822.21 to 822.23 determines that this state is a more appropriate forum under s. 822.27.

(c) No court of any other state would have jurisdiction under the criteria specified in ss. 822.21 to 822.23.

(2) If a court of this state declines to exercise its jurisdiction under sub. (1), it may fashion an appropriate remedy to ensure the safety of the child and prevent a repetition of the unjustifiable conduct, including staying the proceeding until a child custody proceeding is commenced in a court having jurisdiction under ss. 822.21 to 822.23.

(3) If a court dismisses a petition or stays a proceeding because it declines to exercise its jurisdiction under sub. (1), it shall assess against the party seeking to invoke its jurisdiction necessary and reasonable expenses including costs, communication expenses, attorney fees, investigative fees, expenses for witnesses, travel expenses, and expenses for child care during the course of the proceedings, unless the party from whom fees are sought establishes that the assessment would be clearly inappropriate. The court may not assess fees, costs, or expenses against this state unless authorized by law other than this chapter.

History: 2005 a. 130.

822.29 Information to be submitted to court. (1) In a child custody proceeding, each party, in its first pleading or in an attached affidavit, shall give information, if reasonably ascertainable, under oath as to the child's present address or whereabouts, the places where the child has lived during the last 5 years, and the names and present addresses of the persons with whom the child has lived during that period. The pleading or affidavit shall state whether the party:

(a) Has participated, as a party or witness or in any other capacity, in any other proceeding concerning the custody of or physical placement or visitation with the child and, if so, shall identify the court, the case number, and the date of the child custody determination, if any.

(b) Knows of any proceeding that could affect the current proceeding, including proceedings for enforcement and proceedings relating to domestic violence, protective orders, termination of parental rights, and adoptions and, if so, shall identify the court, the case number, and the nature of the proceeding.

(c) Knows the names and addresses of any person not a party to the proceeding who has physical custody of the child or claims rights of legal custody or physical custody of, or visitation with, the child and, if so, the names and addresses of those persons.

(2) If the information required by sub. (1) is not furnished, the court, upon motion of a party or its own motion, may stay the proceeding until the information is furnished.

(3) If the declaration as to any of the items described in sub. (1) (a) to (c) is in the affirmative, the declarant shall give additional information under oath as required by the court. The court may examine the parties under oath as to details of the information

furnished and other matters pertinent to the court's jurisdiction and the disposition of the case.

(4) Each party has a continuing duty to inform the court of any proceeding in this or any other state that could affect the current proceeding.

(5) If a party alleges in an affidavit or a pleading under oath that the health, safety, or liberty of a party or child would be jeopardized by disclosure of identifying information, the information shall be sealed and may not be disclosed to the other party or the public unless the court orders the disclosure to be made after a hearing in which the court takes into consideration the health, safety, or liberty of the party or child and determines that the disclosure is in the interest of justice.

History: 2005 a. 130.

822.295 Appearance of parties and child. (1) In a child custody proceeding in this state, the court may order a party to the proceeding who is in this state to appear before the court in person with or without the child. The court may order any person who is in this state and who has physical custody or control of the child to appear in person with the child.

(2) If a party to a child custody proceeding whose presence is desired by the court is outside this state, the court may order that a notice given under s. 822.08 include a statement directing the party to appear in person with or without the child and informing the party that failure to appear may result in a decision adverse to the party.

(3) The court may enter any orders necessary to ensure the safety of the child and of any person ordered to appear under this section.

(4) If a party to a child custody proceeding who is outside this state is directed to appear under sub. (2) or desires to appear personally before the court with or without the child, the court may require another party to pay reasonable and necessary travel and other expenses of the party so appearing and of the child.

History: 2005 a. 130.

SUBCHAPTER III

ENFORCEMENT

822.31 Definitions. In this subchapter:

(1) "Judicial day" means each day except Saturday, Sunday, or a legal holiday under s. 995.20.

(2) "Petitioner" means a person who seeks enforcement of an order for the return of a child under the Hague Convention on the Civil Aspects of International Child Abduction or enforcement of a child custody determination.

(3) "Respondent" means a person against whom a proceeding has been commenced for enforcement of an order for the return of a child under the Hague Convention on the Civil Aspects of International Child Abduction or enforcement of a child custody determination.

History: 2005 a. 130; 2007 a. 97.

822.32 Enforcement under Hague Convention. Under this subchapter, a court of this state may enforce an order for the return of the child made under the Hague Convention on the Civil Aspects of International Child Abduction as if it were a child custody determination.

History: 2005 a. 130.

822.33 Duty to enforce. (1) A court of this state shall recognize and enforce a child custody determination of a court of another state if the latter court exercised jurisdiction in substantial conformity with this chapter or the determination was made under factual circumstances meeting the jurisdictional standards of this chapter and the determination has not been modified in accordance with this chapter.

(2) A court of this state may utilize any remedy available under other law of this state to enforce a child custody determination made by a court of another state. The remedies provided in this subchapter are cumulative and do not affect the availability of other remedies to enforce a child custody determination

History: 2005 a. 130.

822.34 Temporary physical placement or visitation.

(1) A court of this state that does not have jurisdiction to modify a child custody determination may issue a temporary order enforcing any of the following:

(a) A physical placement or visitation schedule made by a court of another state.

(b) The physical placement or visitation provisions of a child custody determination of another state that does not provide for a specific physical placement or visitation schedule.

(2) If a court of this state makes an order under sub. (1) (b), it shall specify in the order a period that it considers adequate to allow the petitioner to obtain an order from a court having jurisdiction under the criteria specified in subch. II. The order remains in effect until an order is obtained from the other court or until the period expires.

History: 2005 a. 130.

822.35 Registration of child custody determination.

(1) A child custody determination issued by a court of another state may be registered in this state, with or without a simultaneous request for enforcement, by sending to the office of the clerk of any circuit court in this state all of the following:

(a) A letter or other document requesting registration.

(b) Two copies, including one certified copy, of the determination sought to be registered, and a statement under penalty of perjury that to the best of the knowledge and belief of the person seeking registration the determination has not been modified.

(c) Except as provided in s. 822.29, the name and address of the person seeking registration and any parent or person acting as a parent who has been awarded custody, physical placement, or visitation in the child custody determination sought to be registered.

(2) On receipt of the documents required by sub. (1), the registering court shall do all of the following:

(a) Cause the determination to be filed as a foreign judgment, together with one copy of any accompanying documents and information, regardless of their form.

(b) Serve notice upon the persons named under sub. (1) (c) and provide them with an opportunity to contest the registration in accordance with this section.

(3) The notice required by sub. (2) (b) shall state all of the following:

(a) That a registered determination is enforceable as of the date of the registration in the same manner as a determination issued by a court of this state.

(b) That a hearing to contest the validity of the registered determination shall be requested within 20 days after service of the notice.

(c) That failure to contest the registration will result in confirmation of the child custody determination and preclude further contest of that determination with respect to any matter that could have been asserted.

(4) A person seeking to contest the validity of a registered determination shall request a hearing within 20 days after service of the notice. At that hearing, the court shall confirm the registered determination unless the person contesting registration establishes any of the following:

(a) The issuing court did not have jurisdiction under subch. II.

(b) The child custody determination sought to be registered has been vacated, stayed, or modified by a court having jurisdiction to do so under subch. II.

(c) The person contesting registration was entitled to notice, but notice was not given in accordance with the standards of s. 822.08 in the proceedings before the court that issued the determination for which registration is sought.

(5) If a timely request for a hearing to contest the validity of the registration is not made, the registration is confirmed as a matter of law and the person requesting registration and all persons served must be notified of the confirmation.

(6) Confirmation of a registered determination, whether by operation of law or after notice and hearing, precludes further contest of the determination with respect to any matter that could have been asserted at the time of registration.

History: 2005 a. 130.

822.36 Enforcement of registered determination.

(1) A court of this state may grant any relief normally available under the law of this state to enforce a registered child custody determination made by a court of another state.

(2) A court of this state shall recognize and enforce, but may not modify, except in accordance with subch. II, a registered child custody determination of a court of another state.

History: 2005 a. 130.

822.37 Simultaneous proceedings.

If a proceeding for enforcement under this subchapter is commenced in a court of this state and the court determines that a proceeding to modify the determination is pending in a court of another state having jurisdiction to modify the determination under subch. II, the enforcing court shall immediately communicate with the modifying court. The proceeding for enforcement continues unless the enforcing court, after consultation with the modifying court, stays or dismisses the proceeding.

History: 2005 a. 130.

822.38 Expedited enforcement of child custody determination.

(1) A petition under this subchapter must be verified. Certified copies of all orders sought to be enforced and any order confirming registration must be attached to the petition. A copy of a certified copy of an order may be attached instead of the original.

(2) A petition for enforcement of a child custody determination shall state all of the following:

(a) Whether the court that issued the determination identified the jurisdictional basis it relied upon in exercising jurisdiction and, if so, what the basis was.

(b) Whether the determination for which enforcement is sought has been vacated, stayed, or modified by a court whose decision shall be enforced under this chapter and, if so, shall identify the court, the case number, and the nature of the proceeding.

(c) Whether any proceeding has been commenced that could affect the current proceeding, including proceedings relating to domestic violence, protective orders, determination of parental rights, and adoptions and, if so, shall identify the court, the case number, and the nature of the proceeding.

(d) The present physical address of the child and the respondent, if known.

(e) Whether relief, in addition to the immediate physical custody of the child and attorney fees is sought, including a request for assistance from law enforcement officials and, if so, the relief sought.

(f) If the child custody determination has been registered and confirmed under s. 822.35, the date and place of registration.

(3) Upon the filing of a petition, the court shall issue an order directing the respondent to appear in person with or without the child at a hearing and may enter any order necessary to ensure the safety of the parties and the child. The hearing shall be held on the next judicial day after service of the order unless that date is impossible. In that event, the court shall hold the hearing on the

first judicial day possible. The court may extend the date of hearing at the request of the petitioner.

(4) An order issued under sub. (3) shall state the time and place of the hearing and advise the respondent that at the hearing the court will order that the petitioner may take immediate physical custody of the child and the payment of costs, fees, and expenses under s. 822.42, and the court may schedule a hearing to determine whether further relief is appropriate, unless the respondent appears and establishes one of the following:

(a) The child custody determination has not been registered and confirmed under s. 822.35 and any of the following:

1. The issuing court did not have jurisdiction under subch. II.
2. The child custody determination for which enforcement is sought has been vacated, stayed, or modified by a court having jurisdiction to do so under subch. II.
3. The respondent was entitled to notice, but notice was not given in accordance with the standards of s. 822.08 in the proceedings before the court that issued the order for which enforcement is sought.

(b) The child custody determination for which enforcement is sought was registered and confirmed under s. 822.35, but has been vacated, stayed, or modified by a court of a state having jurisdiction to do so under subch. II.

History: 2005 a. 130.

822.39 Service of petition and order. Except as provided in s. 822.41, the petition and order must be served, by any method authorized by the laws of this state, upon the respondent and any person who has physical custody of the child.

History: 2005 a. 130.

822.40 Hearing and order. (1) Unless the court issues a temporary emergency order under s. 822.24, upon a finding that a petitioner is entitled to immediate physical custody of the child, the court shall order that the petitioner may take immediate physical custody of the child unless the respondent establishes one of the following:

(a) The child custody determination has not been registered and confirmed under s. 822.35 and any of the following:

1. The issuing court did not have jurisdiction under subch. II.
2. The child custody determination for which enforcement is sought has been vacated, stayed, or modified by a court of a state having jurisdiction to do so under subch. II.
3. The respondent was entitled to notice, but notice was not given in accordance with the standards of s. 822.08 in the proceedings before the court that issued the order for which enforcement is sought.

(b) The child custody determination for which enforcement is sought was registered and confirmed under s. 822.35, but has been vacated, stayed, or modified by a court of a state having jurisdiction to do so under subch. II.

(2) The court shall award the costs, fees, and expenses authorized under s. 822.42 and may grant additional relief, including a request for the assistance of law enforcement officials, and may set a further hearing to determine whether additional relief is appropriate.

(3) If a party called to testify refuses to answer on the ground that the testimony may be self-incriminating, the court may draw an adverse inference from the refusal.

(4) A privilege against disclosure of communications between spouses and a defense of immunity based on the relationship of husband and wife or parent and child may not be invoked in a proceeding under this subchapter.

History: 2005 a. 130.

822.41 Warrant to take physical custody of child.

(1) Upon the filing of a petition seeking enforcement of a child custody determination, the petitioner may file a verified application for the issuance of a warrant to take physical custody of the

child if the child is imminently likely to suffer serious physical harm or be removed from this state.

(2) If the court, upon the testimony of the petitioner or other witness, finds that the child is imminently likely to suffer serious physical harm or be removed from this state, it may issue a warrant to take physical custody of the child. The petition shall be heard on the next judicial day after the warrant is executed unless that date is impossible. In that event, the court shall hold the hearing on the first judicial day possible. The application for the warrant must include the statements required by s. 822.38 (2).

(3) A warrant to take physical custody of a child shall do all of the following:

(a) Recite the facts upon which a conclusion of imminent serious physical harm or removal from the state is based.

(b) Direct law enforcement officers to take physical custody of the child immediately.

(c) Provide for the placement of the child pending final relief.

(4) The respondent shall be served with the petition, warrant, and order immediately after the child is taken into physical custody.

(5) A warrant to take physical custody of a child is enforceable throughout this state. If the court finds on the basis of the testimony of the petitioner or other witness that a less intrusive remedy is not effective, it may authorize law enforcement officers to enter private property to take physical custody of the child. If required by exigent circumstances of the case, the court may authorize law enforcement officers to make a forcible entry at any hour.

(6) The court may impose conditions upon placement of a child to ensure the appearance of the child and the child's custodian.

History: 2005 a. 130.

822.42 Costs, fees, and expenses. (1) The court shall award the prevailing party, including a state, necessary and reasonable expenses incurred by or on behalf of the party, including costs, communication expenses, attorney fees, investigative fees, expenses for witnesses, travel expenses, and expenses for child care during the course of the proceedings, unless the party from whom fees or expenses are sought establishes that the award would be clearly inappropriate.

(2) The court may not assess fees, costs, or expenses against a state unless authorized by law other than this chapter.

History: 2005 a. 130.

822.43 Recognition and enforcement. A court of this state shall accord full faith and credit to an order issued by another state and consistent with this chapter that enforces a child custody determination by a court of another state unless the order has been vacated, stayed, or modified by a court having jurisdiction to do so under subch. II.

History: 2005 a. 130.

822.44 Appeals. An appeal may be taken from a final order in a proceeding under this subchapter in accordance with ch. 809. Unless the court enters a temporary emergency order under s. 822.24, the enforcing court may not stay an order enforcing a child custody determination pending appeal.

History: 2005 a. 130.

822.45 Role of prosecutor. (1) A prosecutor, in a case arising under this chapter or involving the Hague Convention on the Civil Aspects of International Child Abduction, may take any lawful action, including resort to a proceeding under this subchapter or any other available civil proceeding to locate a child, obtain the return of a child, or enforce a child custody determination if any of the following exists:

(a) A child custody determination.

(b) A request to do so from a court in a pending child custody proceeding.

(c) A reasonable belief that a criminal statute has been violated.

822.45 UNIFORM CHILD CUSTODY JURISDICTION AND ENFORCEMENT

Updated 17–18 Wis. Stats. 8

(d) A reasonable belief that the child has been wrongfully removed or retained in violation of the Hague Convention on the Civil Aspects of International Child Abduction.

(2) A prosecutor acting under this section acts on behalf of the court and may not represent any party.

History: 2005 a. 130.

822.46 Role of law enforcement. At the request of a prosecutor acting under s. 822.45, a law enforcement officer may take

any lawful action reasonably necessary to locate a child or a party and assist a prosecutor with responsibilities under s. 822.45.

History: 2005 a. 130.

822.47 Costs and expenses. If the respondent is not the prevailing party, the court may assess against the respondent all direct expenses and costs incurred by the prosecutor and law enforcement officers under s. 822.45 or 822.46.

History: 2005 a. 130.