## Fiscal Estimate - 2021 Session

☐ Updated	Corrected Suppl	emental			
LRB Number <b>21-1149/1</b>	Introduction Number AB-0	139			
Description prostitution crime surcharge and making an appropriation					
Fiscal Effect					
Appropriations	ease Existing absorb within agency's				
No Local Government Costs     Indeterminate     1.	5.Types of Local Governments  ase Revenue  issive Mandatory ease Revenue  issive Mandatory  Districts  5.Types of Local Governments  Units Affected  Towns  Counties  Other  School  Districts  Districts	ge Cities ers CS			
Fund Sources Affected	Affected Ch. 20 Approp	riations			
GPR FED PRO PRS	SEG SEGS				
Agency/Prepared By	Authorized Signature	Date			
DA/ Kasey Deiss (608) 267-2700	Jana Steinmetz (608) 267-9634	3/10/2021			

## Fiscal Estimate Narratives DA 3/10/2021

LRB Number <b>21-1149/1</b> .	Introduction Number	AB-0139	Estimate Type	Original	
Description					
prostitution crime surcharge and making an appropriation					

## Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

This fiscal estimate is submitted by the Director of the State Prosecutors Office on behalf of Agency 475 – District Attorneys. This fiscal estimate is responsive only to the fiscal and resource effect of the proposed legislation on the District Attorney program.

This bill creates a \$5,000 surcharge to be imposed on persons who are convicted of patronizing or soliciting prostitutes, pandering, or keeping a place of prostitution. Under this bill the surcharge amounts collected are used for treatment and services for sex-trafficking victims and for criminal investigative operations and law enforcement relating to Internet crimes against children.

Responsive District Attorneys, while appreciative of the concept of creating a surcharge to pay for treatment and services for sex-trafficking victims, expressed concern as to the amount of the surcharge. A surcharge set at a mandatory \$5,000 may make it more likely that a defendant would set a matter for trial rather than enter a plea. As the surcharge only takes effect if a fine is levied, judges understanding the economic realities of many defendants may be more apt to impose a jail sentence than levy a fine. Increasing the jail population would increase costs to local government costs.

## Long-Range Fiscal Implications

Data with which to make a long-range fiscal estimate as to the effect of this bill is not available. It is expected that additional prosecutorial resources would be utilized in the District Attorney offices and costs would increase to local governments. The scope of either increase is unknown.