# Fiscal Estimate - 2021 Session

☑ Original ☐ Updated	Corrected Supplem	nental						
LRB Number <b>21-2445/1</b>	Introduction Number AB-025	4						
Description changes to the electronic waste recycling program and granting rule-making authority								
Fiscal Effect								
Appropriations Rever	absorb within agency's bu							
Local:  No Local Government Costs  Indeterminate  1. Increase Costs Permissive Mandatory  2. Decrease Costs Permissive Mandatory Permissive Mandatory Permissive Mandatory Permissive Mandatory Permissive Mandatory Districts  5. Types of Local Government Units Affected Towns Counties Others School Districts Districts								
Fund Sources Affected  Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations  GPR FED PRO PRS SEG SEGS 20.370 (4)(hr)								
Agency/Prepared By	Authorized Signature	Date						
DNR/ Paul Neumann (608) 266-0818	Paul Neumann (608) 266-0818	4/9/2021						

# Fiscal Estimate Narratives DNR 4/9/2021

LRB Number	21-2445/1	Introduction Number	AB-0254	Estimate Type	Original		
Description							
changes to the electronic waste recycling program and granting rule-making authority							

## Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

The bill makes changes to the electronic waste (e-waste) recycling program.

Fiscal Effect

#### 1. Revenues

The main fiscal impact comes from a change to the tiered annual registration fees paid by electronics manufacturers to the DNR. The current law has three manufacturer registration fee levels, based on the units of covered electronics sold to Wisconsin households and schools during a program year: \$0, \$1,250 and \$5,000. The bill would change the ranges for the number of units sold under which each of these fee levels would apply.

- \$0 fee: current law is fewer than 25 units sold; bill would adjust to fewer than 250 units
- \$1,250 fee; current law is 25 to 249 units sold; bill would adjust to 250 to 499 units
- \$5,000 fee: current law is 250 or more units sold; bill would adjust to 500 or more units

There would be a reduction in e-waste revenue from manufacturers in the 25 to 249 units category, since under the proposed thresholds those manufacturers would no longer pay a fee. In FY2021, there are 28 manufacturers in this category, paying a total of \$35,000. This compares with 27 manufacturers in this category in FY2019 and 33 in in FY2018.Based on the average of about 32 manufacturers per year in this category, each paying a \$1,250 fee, annual revenues are estimated to decrease by \$40,000 (\$1,250 x 32).

There would be additional, but indeterminate, revenue loss from manufacturers that sell between 250 and 500 units, since under the bill they would pay an annual fee of \$1,250 instead of the current fee of \$5,000. Since the DNR does not have data on the specific number of units each manufacturer sells, there is no reliable way to estimate this additional revenue loss.

### 2. Costs

Because more manufacturers have registered than originally estimated when the law was passed in 2009, the DNR does not expect the bill and the corresponding revenue loss to have a significant effect on core work.

The bill directs DNR to create a grant program to improve electronics collection and recycling infrastructure in areas of the state that have lacked consistent access to collection sites under E-Cycle Wisconsin. The DNR anticipates funding the grants using shortfall fees paid by manufacturers that do not meet their annual recycling targets, along with a portion of the annual registration fees paid by manufacturers, if sufficient. The DNR anticipates allocating approximately \$50,000 to \$75,000 in grants during the first year of the program (using reserve funds in the electronics recycling account), and granting a total of \$25,000 to \$30,000 annually in subsequent years. The reduced revenue from manufacturer registration fees may limit the DNR's ability to provide grants under the newly authorized program in future years, if the revenue loss is more significant than anticipated.

The law does not add significant workload or long-term costs for the DNR; however, because electronic reporting forms would need to be updated, there would be one-time costs for IT work to implement those updates during the first 1-2 years. A rough estimate of the additional one-time costs is \$3,000 in FY2022 and \$4,000 in FY2023.

## Long-Range Fiscal Implications

# Fiscal Estimate Worksheet - 2021 Session

Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect

$\boxtimes$	Original 🔲 L	Jpdated		Corrected		Supplem	nental		
LR	B Number <b>21-2445</b>	/1	Intro	oduction	Number	AB-02	54		
Description changes to the electronic waste recycling program and granting rule-making authority									
	ne-time Costs or Revenue li ualized fiscal effect):	mpacts for St	ate and/or	Local Gove	ernment (d	o not includ	le in		
\$3,0	000 in FY 2022 and \$4,000 in	FY 2023 for IT	implemen	tation costs					
II. Annualized Costs:				Annualized Fiscal Impact on funds from:					
				Increased	Costs	Decre	ased Costs		
A. S	State Costs by Category								
S	tate Operations - Salaries and	Fringes			\$		\$		
(F	TE Position Changes)								
S	tate Operations - Other Costs								
L	ocal Assistance								
Α	ids to Individuals or Organizat								
	TOTAL State Costs by Cate	gory			\$		\$		
В. 8	State Costs by Source of Fu	nds							
G	PR								
F	ED								
Р	RO/PRS								
S	EG/SEG-S			01-46-45-10-48-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11					
	State Revenues - Complete f J., tax increase, decrease in			l will increa	se or decr	ease state r	evenues		
				Increase	ed Rev	Decr	eased Rev		
G	PR Taxes				\$		\$		
G	PR Earned								
F	ED								
Р	RO/PRS								
S	EG/SEG-S	ORGENSONS TO THE OWNER WHEN THE					-40,000		
	TOTAL State Revenues			No.	\$		\$-40,000		
NET ANNUALIZED FISCAL IMPACT									
					<u>State</u>		<u>Local</u>		
NET CHANGE IN COSTS				\$	\$				
NE.	T CHANGE IN REVENUE			\$-4	10,000		\$		
Agency/Prepared By Aut		Authorized	horized Signature			Date			
DNR/ Paul Neumann (608) 266-0818 Pau			Paul Neum	ul Neumann (608) 266-0818			4/9/2021		