## Fiscal Estimate - 2021 Session

☑ Original ☐ Updated	☐ Corrected ☐ Supplemental
LRB Number <b>21-0119/1</b>	Introduction Number SB-256
<b>Description</b> providing permanency plan and comments to ou review or hearing	t-of-home care providers in advance of a permanency plan
Fiscal Effect	
AppropriationsReve	ease Existing absorb within agency's budget
Permissive Mandatory Perm  2. Decrease Costs 4. Decre Permissive Mandatory Perm	5.Types of Local Government  ase Revenue  issive Mandatory ease Revenue  issive Mandatory  Districts  5.Types of Local Government  Units Affected  Towns  Counties  Others  School  WTCS  Districts
Fund Sources Affected  GPR FED PRO PRS	Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations  SEG SEGS
Agency/Prepared By	Authorized Signature Date
CTS/ Caitlin Frederick (608) 267-1940	Karley Downing (608) 267-5277 5/4/2021

## Fiscal Estimate Narratives CTS 5/4/2021

LRB Number	21-0119/1	Introduction Number	SB-256	Estimate Type	Original	
Description providing permanency plan and comments to out-of-home care providers in advance of a permanency plan review or hearing						

## **Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate**

This bill allows an agency to provide a copy of a child's permanency plan and comments on the plan to a child's out-of-home care provider in the context of a permanency review and a permanency hearing. An out-of 虐ome care provider includes a foster parent, guardian, relative other than a parent, or non-relative in whose home a child or juvenile is placed, or the operator of a group home, residential care center for children and youth, or shelter care facility in which a child or juvenile is placed.

Under this bill, any information that is required to remain confidential under federal or state law must be redacted from the permanency plan before it is provided to the out-of-home care provider.

The bill does not provide a procedure for addressing situations where the out-of-home care provider is denied access to/copy of the permanency plan or the out-of-home care provider believes that some of the information should not be redacted. It is possible that some of these disagreements could be raised to the court.

## Long-Range Fiscal Implications

The courts do not anticipate any long range fiscal effects from the bill; however, if disputes are raised with the courts it may increase the workload.