

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

<p>1. Type of Estimate and Analysis <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Original <input type="checkbox"/> Updated <input type="checkbox"/> Corrected</p>	<p>2. Date 1/23/2024</p>
<p>3. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number (and Clearinghouse Number if applicable) DCF 55, Subsidized Guardianship</p>	
<p>4. Subject Tribal subsidized guardianship</p>	
<p>5. Fund Sources Affected <input type="checkbox"/> GPR <input type="checkbox"/> FED <input type="checkbox"/> PRO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PRS <input type="checkbox"/> SEG <input type="checkbox"/> SEG-S</p>	<p>6. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected 20.437 (1)(kL)</p>
<p>7. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule <input type="checkbox"/> No Fiscal Effect <input type="checkbox"/> Increase Existing Revenues <input type="checkbox"/> Increase Costs <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Costs <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Indeterminate <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Existing Revenues <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget</p>	
<p>8. The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> State's Economy <input type="checkbox"/> Specific Businesses/Sectors <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Local Government Units <input type="checkbox"/> Public Utility Rate Payers <input type="checkbox"/> Small Businesses (if checked, complete Attachment A)</p>	
<p>9. Estimate of Implementation and Compliance to Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals, per s. 227.137(3)(b)(1). \$indeterminate</p>	
<p>10. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals Be \$10 Million or more Over Any 2-year Period, per s. 227.137(3)(b)(2)? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No</p>	
<p>11. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule The proposed rules bring the subsidized guardianship rule into conformance with s. 48.623, Stats., as affected by 2023 Wisconsin Act 19, which allows federally-recognized tribes located in Wisconsin to determine eligibility for and make payments under the subsidized guardianship program.</p>	
<p>12. Summary of the Businesses, Business Sectors, Associations Representing Business, Local Governmental Units, and Individuals that may be Affected by the Proposed Rule that were Contacted for Comments. The department solicited comments from the state's 11 federally-recognized tribes and the Wisconsin County Human Services Association.</p>	
<p>13. Identify the Local Governmental Units that Participated in the Development of this EIA. No comments were received from a local governmental unit or a tribe.</p>	
<p>14. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred) Guardians who are eligible to receive subsidized guardianship payments from federally-recognized tribes located in Wisconsin will receive monthly benefit payments to support the cost of care for the child. Such payments are reimbursed by the state to tribes from the appropriation under s. 20.437(1)(kL), Stats.</p>	
<p>15. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule The proposed rules bring the subsidized guardianship rule into conformance with s. 48.623, Stats., as affected by 2023 Wisconsin Act 19, which allows federally-recognized tribes located in Wisconsin to determine eligibility for and make payments under the subsidized guardianship program. Implementing this rule creates equal access for tribes to operate this program and receive reimbursement for benefits paid to eligible families.</p>	
<p>16. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule</p>	
<p>17. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government</p>	

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES
Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

There is no federal law specific to tribal administration of the subsidized guardianship program. General provisions on the subsidized guardianship program are in 42 USC 671 (a) (28) and 42 USC 673 (d).

18. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota)
Not applicable

19. Contact Name
Elaine Pridgen, Rules Coordinator

20. Contact Phone Number
(608) 422-7077

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request.

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES
Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

ATTACHMENT A

1. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Small Businesses (Separately for each Small Business Sector, Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)

N/A

2. Summary of the data sources used to measure the Rule's impact on Small Businesses

NA

3. Did the agency consider the following methods to reduce the impact of the Rule on Small Businesses?

- Less Stringent Compliance or Reporting Requirements
- Less Stringent Schedules or Deadlines for Compliance or Reporting
- Consolidation or Simplification of Reporting Requirements
- Establishment of performance standards in lieu of Design or Operational Standards
- Exemption of Small Businesses from some or all requirements
- Other, describe:

NA

4. Describe the methods incorporated into the Rule that will reduce its impact on Small Businesses

NA

5. Describe the Rule's Enforcement Provisions

NA

6. Did the Agency prepare a Cost Benefit Analysis (if Yes, attach to form)

Yes No
