

CHAPTER 280.

NUISANCES.

280.01	Jurisdiction over nuisances.	280.09	Bawdyhouses declared nuisances.
280.02	Injunction against public nuisance, time extension.	280.10	Disorderly house, action for abatement.
280.03	Judgment.	280.11	Evidence; dismissal of action; costs.
280.04	Execution and warrant.	280.12	Punishment for violation of injunction.
280.05	Warrant may be stayed.	280.13	Judgment and execution; sale of fixtures.
280.06	Expense of abating, how collected.	280.14	Application of proceeds of sale; liens pendens.
280.065	Repeated violations of a city ordinance a public nuisance.	280.15	Undertaking to release building.
280.07	Violations of ordinances or resolutions relating to noxious business.		
280.08	Fence may be a private nuisance; abatement.		

280.01 Jurisdiction over nuisances. Any person may maintain an action to recover damages for and to abate a private nuisance or any person, county, city, village or town may maintain an action to recover damages or to abate a public nuisance from which injuries peculiar to the complainant are suffered, so far as necessary to protect the complainant's rights and to obtain an injunction to prevent the same.

The operation of the defendant's commercial airport and authorized flying school, in flying aircraft over the plaintiff's adjoining property at times at low altitudes when necessary for taking off or landing, which was not in violation of flying regulations, did not constitute a nuisance in fact, and where the plaintiff had suffered no irreparable injury and his chief benefit from an injunction would be the prevention of a possible future loss of chickens from stampeding, and he had an adequate remedy at law for damages, the denial of injunctive relief was not an abuse of discretion. *Kuntz v. Werner Flying Service, Inc.* 257 W 405, 43 NW (2d) 476.

In an action in equity to abate an alleged nuisance of barking dogs, the verdict of the jury was merely advisory, and the trial court had the right to disregard it in whole or in part, injunctive relief being addressed to the discretion of the court. *Schneider v. Fromm Laboratories, Inc.* 262 W 21, 53 NW (2d) 737.

Whether a particular noise under particular circumstances constitutes a nuisance is for the trier of the facts. In an action to abate an alleged nuisance of barking dogs kept for use in connection with the produc-

tion of serums at the defendant's laboratories, located in an unzoned area, mainly agricultural in character, lying generally one-half mile from the plaintiff's properties, which most of them used for week-end and vacation residences, the trial court, on disputed evidence, could find that the barking of the defendant's dogs did not cause any substantial impairment of the use and comfort and enjoyment of the property of persons of ordinary sensibilities, circumstanced as the plaintiffs. *Schneider v. Fromm Laboratories, Inc.* 262 W 21, 53 NW (2d) 737.

To recover damages for injury to the plaintiffs' farm from effluent and raw sewage which flowed from a city's sewage-disposal plant down a valley and across such farm so as to create a wide ditch thereon and a private nuisance, the plaintiffs were not required to proceed under the statutes relating to eminent domain, but the plaintiffs could bring an action for the abatement of such nuisance and the recovery of damages, and the trial court had the power in such case to award damages as an incident to the pending action in equity to abate a private nuisance, and in lieu of granting injunctive relief. *Briggson v. Viroqua*, 264 W 47, 53 NW (2d) 546.

280.02 Injunction against public nuisance, time extension. An action to enjoin a public nuisance may be commenced and prosecuted in the name of the state, either by the attorney-general upon his own information, or upon the relation of a private individual, or a county, having first obtained leave therefor from the court. An action to enjoin a public nuisance may also be commenced and prosecuted by a city, village or town in its own name, and it shall not be necessary to obtain leave from the court to commence or prosecute such action. The same rule as to liability for costs shall govern as in other actions brought by the state. No stay of any order or judgment enjoining or abating, in any action under this section, may be had unless the appeal be taken within 5 days after notice of entry of such judgment or order or service of the injunction. Upon appeal and stay, the return to the supreme court shall be made immediately.

This section must be construed strictly. Where the complaint in an action to enjoin a public nuisance alleged that the relator was the president of the Wisconsin board of examiners in optometry and commenced the action on behalf of the board, and the record also showed that the relator petitioned for leave, and was granted permission, to commence the action as such official, a demurrer to the complaint should have been sustained for lack of the relator's legal capacity to sue. *State ex rel. Abbott v. House of Vision*, etc. 259 W 87, 47 NW (2d) 321.

Acts, including those in violation of penal statutes, if in fact constituting a public nuisance, may be abated whether or not they are declared by statute to be a public nuisance, and every place where a public statute is openly, continuously and intentionally violated is a public nuisance; and such rule is not confined in its application to acts which are absolutely and completely prohibited, as distinguished from acts which are merely regulated and only conditionally forbidden, but applies to acts repeatedly performed and with the avowed purpose of continuing, which violate a statute, whether or not they might be lawful under other and different circumstances. (*State ex rel. Attorney-General v. Thekan*, 184 W 42; *State ex rel. Cowie v. La Crosse Theaters Co.* 232 W 153, followed.) *State ex rel. Abbott v. House of Vision*, etc. 259 W 87, 47 NW (2d) 321.

280.03 Judgment. In such actions, when the plaintiff prevails, he shall, in addition to judgment for damages and costs, also have judgment that the nuisance be abated unless the court shall otherwise order.

280.04 Execution and warrant. In case of judgment that the nuisance be abated and removed the plaintiff shall have execution in the common form for his damages and costs and a separate warrant to the proper officer requiring him to abate and remove the nuisance at the expense of the defendant.

280.05 Warrant may be stayed. The court may, on the application of the defendant, order a stay of such warrant for such time as may be necessary, not exceeding six months, to give him an opportunity to remove the nuisance, upon his giving satisfactory security to do so within the time specified in the order.

280.06 Expense of abating, how collected. The expense of abating such nuisance pursuant to such warrant shall be collected by the officer in the same manner as damages and costs are collected upon execution; and such officer may sell any material of any fences, buildings or other things abated or removed as a nuisance as personal property is sold upon execution and apply the proceeds to pay the expenses of such abatement, paying the residue, if any, to the defendant.

280.065 Repeated violations of a city ordinance a public nuisance. Repeated or continuous violation of a municipal ordinance relating to naphtha, benzol, gasoline, kerosene or any other inflammable liquid or combustible material is declared a public nuisance, and an action may be maintained by the municipality to abate such nuisance and enjoin such violation.

280.07 Violations of ordinances or resolutions relating to noxious business. Repeated or continuous violations of a city, village or town resolution or ordinance enacted pursuant to the provisions of section 66.052 (1) is declared a public nuisance and an action may be maintained by any such municipality to abate or remove such nuisance and enjoin such violation.

280.08 Fence may be a private nuisance; abatement. (1) Any fence or other structure in the nature of a fence unnecessarily exceeding six feet in height, maliciously erected or maintained for the purpose of annoying the owners or occupants of adjoining property, shall be deemed a private nuisance.

(2) Any such owner or occupant injured either in his comfort or in the enjoyment of his estate by such fence or other structure, may have an action of tort for the damages sustained thereby; and the provisions of the statutes, concerning actions for private nuisances, shall be applicable thereto.

280.09 Bawdyhouses declared nuisances. Whoever shall erect, establish, continue, maintain, use, occupy or lease any building or part of building, erection or place to be used for the purpose of lewdness, assignation or prostitution, or permit the same to be used, in the state of Wisconsin, shall be guilty of a nuisance and the building, erection, or place, in or upon which such lewdness, assignation or prostitution is conducted, permitted, carried on, continued or exists, and the furniture, fixtures, musical instruments and contents used therewith for the same purpose are declared a nuisance, and shall be enjoined and abated.

280.10 Disorderly house, action for abatement. Whenever a nuisance, as defined in section 280.09, exists the district attorney or any citizen of the county may maintain an action in the circuit court in the name of the state to abate the nuisance and to perpetually enjoin every person guilty thereof from continuing, maintaining or permitting such nuisance. All temporary injunctions issued in such actions begun by district attorneys shall be issued without requiring the undertaking specified in section 268.06, and in actions instituted by citizens it shall be discretionary with the court or presiding judge to issue them without such undertaking. The conviction of any person, of the offense of lewdness, assignation, or prostitution committed in the building or part of a building, erection or place shall be sufficient proof of the existence of a nuisance in such building or part of a building, erection or place, in an action for abatement commenced within sixty days after the conviction.

280.11 Evidence; dismissal of action; costs. In actions begun under section 280.10 the existence of any nuisance defined by section 280.09 shall constitute prima facie evidence that the owner of the premises affected has permitted the same to be used as a nuisance; and evidence of the general reputation of the place shall be admissible to prove the existence of such nuisance. If the complaint is filed by a citizen, it shall not be dismissed, except upon a sworn statement made by the complainant and his attorney, setting forth the reasons why the action should be dismissed, and the dismissal shall be approved by the

district attorney of the county in writing or in open court. If the court is of the opinion that the action ought not to be dismissed it may direct the district attorney of the county to prosecute said action to judgment. If the action is brought by a citizen, and the court finds that there was no reasonable ground or cause for said action the costs shall be taxed to such citizen.

280.12 Punishment for violation of injunction. A party found guilty of contempt for the violation of any injunction granted under the provisions of sections 280.09 to 280.15 shall be punished by a fine of not less than two hundred nor more than one thousand dollars or by imprisonment in the county jail not less than three nor more than six months or by both such fine and imprisonment.

280.13 Judgment and execution; sale of fixtures. If the existence of the nuisance be established in an action under section 280.09, or in a criminal proceeding, an order of abatement shall be entered as a part of the judgment in the case, which order shall direct the removal from the building or place of all fixtures, furniture, musical instruments, or movable property used in conducting the nuisance, and shall direct the sale thereof in the manner provided for the sale of chattels under execution, and the effectual closing of the building or place against its use for any purpose, and so keeping it closed for a period of one year, unless sooner released. If any person shall break and enter or use a building, erection, or place so directed to be closed he shall be punished as for contempt, as provided in section 280.12.

280.14 Application of proceeds of sale; lis pendens. The proceeds of the sale of such personal property, shall be applied in the payment of the costs of the action and abatement and the balance, if any, shall be paid to the defendant. The plaintiff may file a notice of the pendency of the action as in actions affecting the title to real estate; and if the owner of the premises affected be adjudged guilty of the nuisance, the judgment for costs shall constitute a lien thereon prior to any other lien created after the filing of such lis pendens.

280.15 Undertaking to release building. The owner of any building or part of building affected by an action under section 280.10 may appear at any time after the commencement thereof and file an undertaking in such sum and with such sureties as shall be required by the court to the effect that he will immediately abate the alleged nuisance, if it exists, and prevent the same from being re-established in the building or part of building aforesaid, and will pay all costs that may be awarded against him in the action. Thereupon the court may dismiss the action as to such building or part of building and revoke any order previously made closing the same; but such dismissal and revocation shall not release the property from any judgment, lien, penalty, or liability to which it may be subject by law. Acceptance of any such undertaking, the sum, supervision, satisfaction, and all other conditions thereof shall all be within the discretion of the court, but the period for which such undertaking shall run shall be not less than one year.